

However, the bill is a significant improvement over the No Child Left Behind Act and the ESEA reauthorization that passed out of the House earlier this year. For example, I was heartened to see that the bill includes academic standards that will prepare students for college and careers, requirements for states to intervene in schools in need of government support, removal of No Child Left Behind's most punitive provisions, and increased monitoring, regulation, and focus on the unique needs of English Language Learners. These provisions are critical to helping underserved students achieve academic and lifelong success.

I was also pleased to see that the ESSA includes strong language to address violence in our schools and communities. For example, it maintains dedicated funding for afterschool programs and makes violence prevention and trauma support efforts eligible for federal funds, provisions which Congresswoman KAREN BASS and I urged in a letter to education leaders last month.

For these reasons, I am proud to stand in support of this bipartisan legislation in order to improve the quality of education received by our country's most vulnerable students.

Mr. ROKITA. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to offer the following Joint Statement of Legislative Intent on the Conference Report to accompany S. 1177, the Every Student Succeeds Act, on behalf of myself and Mr. JOHN KLINE, Chairman of the Education and the Workforce Committee.

JOINT STATEMENT OF LEGISLATIVE INTENT ON
CONFERENCE REPORT TO ACCOMPANY S. 1177,
THE EVERY STUDENT SUCCEEDS ACT

Like our colleagues, we support this conference report because we believe states and school districts should be left to set their own education priorities. The House-passed bill included strong prohibitions that clearly did just that. The conference report maintains strong, unprecedented prohibitions on the Secretary of Education. For example,

Section 1111(e) clearly states the Secretary may not add any requirements or criteria outside the scope of this act, and further says the Secretary may not "be in excess of statutory authority given to the Secretary." This section goes on to lay out specific terms the Secretary cannot prescribe, sets clear limits on the guidance the Secretary may offer, and also clearly states that the Secretary is prohibited from defining terms that are inconsistent with or outside the scope of this Act.

Then there are provisions in Titles I and VIII that ensure standards and curriculum are left to the discretion of states without federal control or mandates, and the same is true for assessments.

Finally, the conference report also includes a Sense of Congress that states and local educational agencies retain the right and responsibility of determining educational curriculum, programs of instruction, and assessments.

The conference report makes it clear the Secretary is not to put any undue limits on the ability of states to determine their accountability systems, their standards, or what tests they give their students. The clear intent and legislative language of this report devolves authority over education decisions back to the states and severely limits the Secretary's ability to interfere in any way.

Ensuring a limited role for the U.S. Secretary of Education was a critically important priority throughout the reauthorization process and this agreement meets that priority.

For example, the Secretary may not limit the ability of states to determine how the measures of student performance are weighted within state accountability systems. The Secretary also cannot prescribe school support and improvement strategies, or any aspect of a state's teacher evaluation system, or the methodology used to differentiate schools in a state.

Also, the Secretary may not create new policy by creatively defining terms in the law. Let us say definitively, as the Chairman of the Education and the Workforce Committee and Subcommittee Chairman of the subcommittee of jurisdiction, this new law reins in the Secretary and ensures state and local education officials make the decisions about their schools under this new law.

Over the past few years, the Secretary has exceeded his authority by placing conditions on waivers to states and local educational agencies. The conference report prevents the Secretary from applying any new conditions on waivers or the state plans required in the law by including language that clearly states the Secretary may not add any new conditions for the approval of waivers or state plans that are outside the scope of the law. In plain English, this means if the law does not give the Secretary the authority to require something, then he may not unilaterally create an ability to do that.

We are glad to be able to support a bill that will return control to states, where it should always be, and appreciate the strong support of colleagues as well.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. All time for debate has expired.

Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the previous question is ordered.

The question is on the conference report.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. KLINE. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF
CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 22,
SURFACE TRANSPORTATION RE-AUTHORIZATION AND REFORM
ACT OF 2015

Mr. WOODALL, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 114-360) on the resolution (H. Res. 546) providing for consideration of the conference report to accompany the bill (H.R. 22) to authorize funds for Federal-aid highways, highway safety programs, and transit programs, and for other purposes, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

NORTH AMERICAN ENERGY SECURITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE
ACT OF 2015

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may

have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous material on the bill, H.R. 8.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. POLIQUIN). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan? There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 542 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 8.

Will the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. DOLD) kindly take the chair.

□ 1541

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 8) to modernize energy infrastructure, build a 21st century energy and manufacturing workforce, bolster America's energy security and diplomacy, and promote energy efficiency and government accountability, and for other purposes, with Mr. DOLD (Acting Chair) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Acting CHAIR. When the Committee of the Whole rose on Tuesday, December 1, 2015, all time for general debate pursuant to House Resolution 539 had expired.

Pursuant to House Resolution 542, no further general debate shall be in order.

In lieu of the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Energy and Commerce, printed in the bill, it shall be in order to consider as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the 5-minute rule an amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 114-36. That amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be considered as read.

The text of the amendment in the nature of a substitute is as follows:

H.R. 8

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) *SHORT TITLE.*—This Act may be cited as the "North American Energy Security and Infrastructure Act of 2015".

(b) *TABLE OF CONTENTS.*—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

TITLE I—MODERNIZING AND PROTECTING INFRASTRUCTURE

Subtitle A—Energy Delivery, Reliability, and Security

Sec. 1101. FERC process coordination.

Sec. 1102. Resolving environmental and grid reliability conflicts.

Sec. 1103. Emergency preparedness for energy supply disruptions.

Sec. 1104. Critical electric infrastructure security.

Sec. 1105. Strategic Transformer Reserve.

Sec. 1106. Cyber Sense.

Sec. 1107. State coverage and consideration of PURPA standards for electric utilities.

Sec. 1108. Reliability analysis for certain rules that affect electric generating facilities.

Sec. 1109. Carbon capture, utilization, and sequestration technologies.

Sec. 1110. Reliability and performance assurance in Regional Transmission Organizations.

Subtitle B—Energy Security and Infrastructure Modernization

Sec. 1201. Energy Security and Infrastructure Modernization Fund.

Subtitle C—Hydropower Regulatory Modernization

Sec. 1301. Hydroelectric production and efficiency incentives.

Sec. 1302. Protection of private property rights in hydropower licensing.

Sec. 1303. Extension of time for FERC project involving W. Kerr Scott Dam.

Sec. 1304. Hydropower licensing and process improvements.

Sec. 1305. Judicial review of delayed Federal authorizations.

Sec. 1306. Licensing study improvements.

Sec. 1307. Closed-loop pumped storage projects.

Sec. 1308. License amendment improvements.

Sec. 1309. Promoting hydropower development at existing nonpowered dams.

TITLE II—21ST CENTURY WORKFORCE

Sec. 2001. Energy and manufacturing workforce development.

TITLE III—ENERGY SECURITY AND DIPLOMACY

Sec. 3001. Sense of Congress.

Sec. 3002. Energy security valuation.

Sec. 3003. North American energy security plan.

Sec. 3004. Collective energy security.

Sec. 3005. Strategic Petroleum Reserve mission readiness plan.

Sec. 3006. Authorization to export natural gas.

TITLE IV—ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY

Subtitle A—Energy Efficiency

CHAPTER 1—FEDERAL AGENCY ENERGY EFFICIENCY

Sec. 4111. Energy-efficient and energy-saving information technologies.

Sec. 4112. Energy efficient data centers.

Sec. 4113. Report on energy and water savings potential from thermal insulation.

Sec. 4114. Federal purchase requirement.

Sec. 4115. Energy performance requirement for Federal buildings.

Sec. 4116. Federal building energy efficiency performance standards; certification system and level for Federal buildings.

Sec. 4117. Operation of battery recharging stations in parking areas used by Federal employees.

CHAPTER 2—ENERGY EFFICIENT TECHNOLOGY AND MANUFACTURING

Sec. 4121. Inclusion of Smart Grid capability on Energy Guide labels.

Sec. 4122. Voluntary verification programs for air conditioning, furnace, boiler, heat pump, and water heater products.

Sec. 4123. Facilitating consensus furnace standards.

Sec. 4124. Future of Industry program.

Sec. 4125. No warranty for certain certified Energy Star products.

Sec. 4126. Clarification to effective date for regional standards.

Sec. 4127. Internet of Things report.

CHAPTER 3—ENERGY PERFORMANCE CONTRACTING

Sec. 4131. Use of energy and water efficiency measures in Federal buildings.

CHAPTER 4—SCHOOL BUILDINGS

Sec. 4141. Coordination of energy retrofitting assistance for schools.

CHAPTER 5—BUILDING ENERGY CODES

Sec. 4151. Greater energy efficiency in building codes.

Sec. 4152. Voluntary nature of building asset rating program.

CHAPTER 6—EPCA TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS

Sec. 4161. Modifying product definitions.

Sec. 4162. Clarifying rulemaking procedures.

CHAPTER 7—ENERGY AND WATER EFFICIENCY

Sec. 4171. Smart energy and water efficiency pilot program.

Sec. 4172. WaterSense.

Subtitle B—Accountability

CHAPTER 1—MARKET MANIPULATION, ENFORCEMENT, AND COMPLIANCE

Sec. 4211. FERC Office of Compliance Assistance and Public Participation.

CHAPTER 2—MARKET REFORMS

Sec. 4221. GAO study on wholesale electricity markets.

Sec. 4222. Clarification of facility merger authorization.

CHAPTER 3—CODE MAINTENANCE

Sec. 4231. Repeal of off-highway motor vehicles study.

Sec. 4232. Repeal of methanol study.

Sec. 4233. Repeal of residential energy efficiency standards study.

Sec. 4234. Repeal of weatherization study.

Sec. 4235. Repeal of report to Congress.

Sec. 4236. Repeal of report by General Services Administration.

Sec. 4237. Repeal of intergovernmental energy management planning and coordination workshops.

Sec. 4238. Repeal of Inspector General audit survey and President's Council on Integrity and Efficiency report to Congress.

Sec. 4239. Repeal of procurement and identification of energy efficient products program.

Sec. 4240. Repeal of national action plan for demand response.

Sec. 4241. Repeal of national coal policy study.

Sec. 4242. Repeal of study on compliance problem of small electric utility systems.

Sec. 4243. Repeal of study of socioeconomic impacts of increased coal production and other energy development.

Sec. 4244. Repeal of study of the use of petroleum and natural gas in combustors.

Sec. 4245. Repeal of submission of reports.

Sec. 4246. Repeal of electric utility conservation plan.

Sec. 4247. Technical amendment to Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978.

Sec. 4248. Emergency energy conservation repeals.

Sec. 4249. Repeal of State utility regulatory assistance.

Sec. 4250. Repeal of survey of energy saving potential.

Sec. 4251. Repeal of photovoltaic energy program.

Sec. 4252. Repeal of energy auditor training and certification.

CHAPTER 4—USE OF EXISTING FUNDS

Sec. 4261. Use of existing funds.

TITLE V—NATIONAL ENERGY SECURITY CORRIDORS

Sec. 5001. Short title.

Sec. 5002. Designation of National Energy Security Corridors on Federal lands.

Sec. 5003. Notification requirement.

TITLE VI—ELECTRICITY RELIABILITY AND FOREST PROTECTION

Sec. 6001. Short title.

Sec. 6002. Vegetation management, facility inspection, and operation and maintenance on Federal lands containing electric transmission and distribution facilities.

TITLE I—MODERNIZING AND PROTECTING INFRASTRUCTURE

Subtitle A—Energy Delivery, Reliability, and Security

SEC. 1101. FERC PROCESS COORDINATION.

Section 15 of the Natural Gas Act (15 U.S.C. 717n) is amended—

(1) by amending subsection (b)(2) to read as follows:

“(2) OTHER AGENCIES.—
“(A) IN GENERAL.—Each Federal and State agency considering an aspect of an application for Federal authorization shall cooperate with the Commission and comply with the deadlines established by the Commission.

“(B) IDENTIFICATION.—The Commission shall identify, as early as practicable after it is notified by a prospective applicant of a potential project requiring Commission authorization, any Federal or State agency, local government, or Indian tribe that may consider an aspect of an application for that Federal authorization.

“(C) NOTIFICATION.—
“(i) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall notify any agency identified under subparagraph (B) of the opportunity to cooperate or participate in the review process.

“(ii) DEADLINE.—A notification issued under clause (i) shall establish a deadline by which a response to the notification shall be submitted, which may be extended by the Commission for good cause.”;

(2) in subsection (c)—
(A) in paragraph (1)—
(i) by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (A);

(ii) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraph (C); and

(iii) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following new subparagraph:

“(B) set deadlines for all such Federal authorizations; and”;

(B) by striking paragraph (2); and
(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(2) DEADLINE FOR FEDERAL AUTHORIZATIONS.—A final decision on a Federal authorization is due no later than 90 days after the Commission issues its final environmental document, unless a schedule is otherwise established by Federal law.

“(3) CONCURRENT REVIEWS.—Each Federal and State agency considering an aspect of an application for a Federal authorization shall—

“(A) carry out the obligations of that agency under applicable law concurrently, and in conjunction, with the review required by the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), unless doing so would impair the ability of the agency to conduct needed analysis or otherwise carry out those obligations;

“(B) formulate and implement administrative, policy, and procedural mechanisms to enable the agency to ensure completion of required Federal authorizations no later than 90 days after the Commission issues its final environmental document; and

“(C) transmit to the Commission a statement—
“(i) acknowledging receipt of the schedule established under paragraph (1); and
“(ii) setting forth the plan formulated under subparagraph (B) of this paragraph.

“(4) ISSUE IDENTIFICATION AND RESOLUTION.—
“(A) IDENTIFICATION.—Federal and State agencies that may consider an aspect of an application for Federal authorization shall identify, as early as possible, any issues of concern that may delay or prevent an agency from working with the Commission to resolve such issues and granting such authorization.

“(B) ISSUE RESOLUTION.—The Commission may forward any issue of concern identified under subparagraph (A) to the heads of the relevant agencies (including, in the case of a failure by the State agency, the Federal agency overseeing the delegated authority) for resolution.

“(5) FAILURE TO MEET SCHEDULE.—If a Federal or State agency does not complete a proceeding for an approval that is required for a Federal authorization in accordance with the schedule established by the Commission under paragraph (1)—

“(A) the applicant may pursue remedies under section 19(d); and

“(B) the head of the relevant Federal agency (including, in the case of a failure by a State agency, the Federal agency overseeing the delegated authority) shall notify Congress and the Commission of such failure and set forth a recommended implementation plan to ensure completion of the proceeding for an approval.”;

(3) by redesignating subsections (d) through (f) as subsections (g) through (i), respectively; and

(4) by inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsections:

“(d) REMOTE SURVEYS.—If a Federal or State agency considering an aspect of an application for Federal authorization requires the applicant to submit environmental data, the agency shall consider any such data gathered by aerial or other remote means that the applicant submits. The agency may grant a conditional approval for Federal authorization, conditioned on the verification of such data by subsequent onsite inspection.

“(e) APPLICATION PROCESSING.—The Commission, and Federal and State agencies, may allow an applicant seeking Federal authorization to fund a third-party contractor to assist in reviewing the application.

“(f) ACCOUNTABILITY, TRANSPARENCY, EFFICIENCY.—For applications requiring multiple Federal authorizations, the Commission, with input from any Federal or State agency considering an aspect of an application, shall track and make available to the public on the Commission’s website information related to the actions required to complete permitting, reviews, and other actions required. Such information shall include the following:

“(1) The schedule established by the Commission under subsection (c)(1).

“(2) A list of all the actions required by each applicable agency to complete permitting, reviews, and other actions necessary to obtain a final decision on the Federal authorization.

“(3) The expected completion date for each such action.

“(4) A point of contact at the agency accountable for each such action.

“(5) In the event that an action is still pending as of the expected date of completion, a brief explanation of the reasons for the delay.”.

SEC. 1102. RESOLVING ENVIRONMENTAL AND GRID RELIABILITY CONFLICTS.

(a) COMPLIANCE WITH OR VIOLATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS WHILE UNDER EMERGENCY ORDER.—Section 202(c) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824a(c)) is amended—

(1) by inserting “(1)” after “(c)”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) With respect to an order issued under this subsection that may result in a conflict with a requirement of any Federal, State, or local environmental law or regulation, the Commission shall ensure that such order requires generation, delivery, interchange, or transmission of electric energy only during hours necessary to meet the emergency and serve the public interest, and, to the maximum extent practicable, is consistent with any applicable Federal, State, or local environmental law or regulation and minimizes any adverse environmental impacts.

“(3) To the extent any omission or action taken by a party, that is necessary to comply with an order issued under this subsection, including any omission or action taken to voluntarily comply with such order, results in non-compliance with, or causes such party to not comply with, any Federal, State, or local environmental law or regulation, such omission or action shall not be considered a violation of such environmental law or regulation, or subject

such party to any requirement, civil or criminal liability, or a citizen suit under such environmental law or regulation.

“(4)(A) An order issued under this subsection that may result in a conflict with a requirement of any Federal, State, or local environmental law or regulation shall expire not later than 90 days after it is issued. The Commission may renew or reissue such order pursuant to paragraphs (1) and (2) for subsequent periods, not to exceed 90 days for each period, as the Commission determines necessary to meet the emergency and serve the public interest.

“(B) In renewing or reissuing an order under subparagraph (A), the Commission shall consult with the primary Federal agency with expertise in the environmental interest protected by such law or regulation, and shall include in any such renewed or reissued order such conditions as such Federal agency determines necessary to minimize any adverse environmental impacts to the extent practicable. The conditions, if any, submitted by such Federal agency shall be made available to the public. The Commission may exclude such a condition from the renewed or reissued order if it determines that such condition would prevent the order from adequately addressing the emergency necessitating such order and provides in the order, or otherwise makes publicly available, an explanation of such determination.

“(5) If an order issued under this subsection is subsequently stayed, modified, or set aside by a court pursuant to section 313 or any other provision of law, any omission or action previously taken by a party that was necessary to comply with the order while the order was in effect, including any omission or action taken to voluntarily comply with the order, shall remain subject to paragraph (3).”.

(b) TEMPORARY CONNECTION OR CONSTRUCTION BY MUNICIPALITIES.—Section 202(d) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824a(d)) is amended by inserting “or municipality” before “engaged in the transmission or sale of electric energy”.

SEC. 1103. EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS FOR ENERGY SUPPLY DISRUPTIONS.

(a) FINDING.—Congress finds that recent natural disasters have underscored the importance of having resilient oil and natural gas infrastructure and effective ways for industry and government to communicate to address energy supply disruptions.

(b) AUTHORIZATION FOR ACTIVITIES TO ENHANCE EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS FOR NATURAL DISASTERS.—The Secretary of Energy shall develop and adopt procedures to—

(1) improve communication and coordination between the Department of Energy’s energy response team, Federal partners, and industry;

(2) leverage the Energy Information Administration’s subject matter expertise within the Department’s energy response team to improve supply chain situation assessments;

(3) establish company liaisons and direct communication with the Department’s energy response team to improve situation assessments;

(4) streamline and enhance processes for obtaining temporary regulatory relief to speed up emergency response and recovery;

(5) facilitate and increase engagement among States, the oil and natural gas industry, and the Department in developing State and local energy assurance plans;

(6) establish routine education and training programs for key government emergency response positions with the Department and States; and

(7) involve States and the oil and natural gas industry in comprehensive drill and exercise programs.

(c) COOPERATION.—The activities carried out under subsection (b) shall include collaborative efforts with State and local government officials and the private sector.

(d) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of

Energy shall submit to Congress a report describing the effectiveness of the activities authorized under this section.

SEC. 1104. CRITICAL ELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE SECURITY.

(a) CRITICAL ELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE SECURITY.—Part II of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824 et seq.) is amended by adding after section 215 the following new section:

“SEC. 215A. CRITICAL ELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE SECURITY.

“(a) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section:

“(1) BULK-POWER SYSTEM; ELECTRIC RELIABILITY ORGANIZATION; REGIONAL ENTITY.—The terms ‘bulk-power system’, ‘Electric Reliability Organization’, and ‘regional entity’ have the meanings given such terms in paragraphs (1), (2), and (7) of section 215(a), respectively.

“(2) CRITICAL ELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE.—The term ‘critical electric infrastructure’ means a system or asset of the bulk-power system, whether physical or virtual, the incapacity or destruction of which would negatively affect national security, economic security, public health or safety, or any combination of such matters.

“(3) CRITICAL ELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE INFORMATION.—The term ‘critical electric infrastructure information’ means information related to critical electric infrastructure, or proposed critical electrical infrastructure, generated by or provided to the Commission or other Federal agency, other than classified national security information, that is designated as critical electric infrastructure information by the Commission under subsection (d)(2). Such term includes information that qualifies as critical energy infrastructure information under the Commission’s regulations.

“(4) DEFENSE CRITICAL ELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE.—The term ‘defense critical electric infrastructure’ means any electric infrastructure located in the United States (including the territories) that serves a facility designated by the Secretary pursuant to subsection (c), but is not owned or operated by the owner or operator of such facility.

“(5) ELECTROMAGNETIC PULSE.—The term ‘electromagnetic pulse’ means 1 or more pulses of electromagnetic energy emitted by a device capable of disabling or disrupting operation of, or destroying, electronic devices or communications networks, including hardware, software, and data, by means of such a pulse.

“(6) GEOMAGNETIC STORM.—The term ‘geomagnetic storm’ means a temporary disturbance of the Earth’s magnetic field resulting from solar activity.

“(7) GRID SECURITY EMERGENCY.—The term ‘grid security emergency’ means the occurrence or imminent danger of—

“(A)(i) a malicious act using electronic communication or an electromagnetic pulse, or a geomagnetic storm event, that could disrupt the operation of those electronic devices or communications networks, including hardware, software, and data, that are essential to the reliability of critical electric infrastructure or of defense critical electric infrastructure; and

“(ii) disruption of the operation of such devices or networks, with significant adverse effects on the reliability of critical electric infrastructure or of defense critical electric infrastructure, as a result of such act or event; or

“(B)(i) a direct physical attack on critical electric infrastructure or on defense critical electric infrastructure; and

“(ii) significant adverse effects on the reliability of critical electric infrastructure or of defense critical electric infrastructure as a result of such physical attack.

“(8) SECRETARY.—The term ‘Secretary’ means the Secretary of Energy.

“(b) AUTHORITY TO ADDRESS GRID SECURITY EMERGENCY.—

“(1) AUTHORITY.—Whenever the President issues and provides to the Secretary a written

directive or determination identifying a grid security emergency, the Secretary may, with or without notice, hearing, or report, issue such orders for emergency measures as are necessary in the judgment of the Secretary to protect or restore the reliability of critical electric infrastructure or of defense critical electric infrastructure during such emergency. As soon as practicable but not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary shall, after notice and opportunity for comment, establish rules of procedure that ensure that such authority can be exercised expeditiously.

“(2) NOTIFICATION OF CONGRESS.—Whenever the President issues and provides to the Secretary a written directive or determination under paragraph (1), the President shall promptly notify congressional committees of relevant jurisdiction, including the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate, of the contents of, and justification for, such directive or determination.

“(3) CONSULTATION.—Before issuing an order for emergency measures under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall, to the extent practicable in light of the nature of the grid security emergency and the urgency of the need for action, consult with appropriate governmental authorities in Canada and Mexico, entities described in paragraph (4), the Electricity Sub-sector Coordinating Council, the Commission, and other appropriate Federal agencies regarding implementation of such emergency measures.

“(4) APPLICATION.—An order for emergency measures under this subsection may apply to—

“(A) the Electric Reliability Organization;

“(B) a regional entity; or

“(C) any owner, user, or operator of critical electric infrastructure or of defense critical electric infrastructure within the United States.

“(5) EXPIRATION AND REISSUANCE.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), an order for emergency measures issued under paragraph (1) shall expire no later than 15 days after its issuance.

“(B) EXTENSIONS.—The Secretary may reissue an order for emergency measures issued under paragraph (1) for subsequent periods, not to exceed 15 days for each such period, provided that the President, for each such period, issues and provides to the Secretary a written directive or determination that the grid security emergency identified under paragraph (1) continues to exist or that the emergency measure continues to be required.

“(6) COST RECOVERY.—

“(A) CRITICAL ELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE.—If the Commission determines that owners, operators, or users of critical electric infrastructure have incurred substantial costs to comply with an order for emergency measures issued under this subsection and that such costs were prudently incurred and cannot reasonably be recovered through regulated rates or market prices for the electric energy or services sold by such owners, operators, or users, the Commission shall, consistent with the requirements of section 205, after notice and an opportunity for comment, establish a mechanism that permits such owners, operators, or users to recover such costs.

“(B) DEFENSE CRITICAL ELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE.—To the extent the owner or operator of defense critical electric infrastructure is required to take emergency measures pursuant to an order issued under this subsection, the owners or operators of a critical defense facility or facilities designated by the Secretary pursuant to subsection (c) that rely upon such infrastructure shall bear the full incremental costs of the measures.

“(7) TEMPORARY ACCESS TO CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.—The Secretary, and other appropriate Federal agencies, shall, to the extent practicable and consistent with their obligations to protect classified information, provide temporary access

to classified information related to a grid security emergency for which emergency measures are issued under paragraph (1) to key personnel of any entity subject to such emergency measures to enable optimum communication between the entity and the Secretary and other appropriate Federal agencies regarding the grid security emergency.

“(C) DESIGNATION OF CRITICAL DEFENSE FACILITIES.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary, in consultation with other appropriate Federal agencies and appropriate owners, users, or operators of infrastructure that may be defense critical electric infrastructure, shall identify and designate facilities located in the United States (including the territories) that are—

“(1) critical to the defense of the United States; and

“(2) vulnerable to a disruption of the supply of electric energy provided to such facility by an external provider.

The Secretary may, in consultation with appropriate Federal agencies and appropriate owners, users, or operators of defense critical electric infrastructure, periodically revise the list of designated facilities as necessary.

“(D) PROTECTION AND SHARING OF CRITICAL ELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE INFORMATION.—

“(1) PROTECTION OF CRITICAL ELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE INFORMATION.—Critical electric infrastructure information—

“(A) shall be exempt from disclosure under section 552(b)(3) of title 5, United States Code; and

“(B) shall not be made available by any Federal, State, political subdivision or tribal authority pursuant to any Federal, State, political subdivision or tribal law requiring public disclosure of information or records.

“(2) DESIGNATION AND SHARING OF CRITICAL ELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE INFORMATION.—Not later than one year after the date of enactment of this section, the Commission, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, shall promulgate such regulations and issue such orders as necessary to—

“(A) designate information as critical electric infrastructure information;

“(B) prohibit the unauthorized disclosure of critical electric infrastructure information;

“(C) ensure there are appropriate sanctions in place for Commissioners, officers, employees, or agents of the Commission who knowingly and willfully disclose critical electric infrastructure information in a manner that is not authorized under this section; and

“(D) taking into account standards of the Electric Reliability Organization, facilitate voluntary sharing of critical electric infrastructure information with, between, and by—

“(i) Federal, State, political subdivision, and tribal authorities;

“(ii) the Electric Reliability Organization;

“(iii) regional entities;

“(iv) information sharing and analysis centers established pursuant to Presidential Decision Directive 63;

“(v) owners, operators, and users of critical electric infrastructure in the United States; and

“(vi) other entities determined appropriate by the Commission.

“(3) CONSIDERATIONS.—In promulgating regulations and issuing orders under paragraph (2), the Commission shall take into consideration the role of State commissions in reviewing the prudence and cost of investments, determining the rates and terms of conditions for electric services, and ensuring the safety and reliability of the bulk-power system and distribution facilities within their respective jurisdictions.

“(4) PROTOCOLS.—The Commission shall, in consultation with Canadian and Mexican authorities, develop protocols for the voluntary sharing of critical electric infrastructure information with Canadian and Mexican authorities and owners, operators, and users of the bulk-power system outside the United States.

“(5) NO REQUIRED SHARING OF INFORMATION.—Nothing in this section shall require a person or entity in possession of critical electric infrastructure information to share such information with Federal, State, political subdivision, or tribal authorities, or any other person or entity.

“(6) SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION TO CONGRESS.—Nothing in this section shall permit or authorize the withholding of information from Congress, any committee or subcommittee thereof, or the Comptroller General.

“(7) DISCLOSURE OF NONPROTECTED INFORMATION.—In implementing this section, the Commission shall protect from disclosure only the minimum amount of information necessary to protect the security and reliability of the bulk-power system and distribution facilities. The Commission shall segregate critical electric infrastructure information within documents and electronic communications, wherever feasible, to facilitate disclosure of information that is not designated as critical electric infrastructure information.

“(8) DURATION OF DESIGNATION.—Information may not be designated as critical electric infrastructure information for longer than 5 years, unless specifically re-designated by the Commission.

“(9) REMOVAL OF DESIGNATION.—The Commission shall remove the designation of critical electric infrastructure information, in whole or in part, from a document or electronic communication if the Commission determines that the unauthorized disclosure of such information could no longer be used to impair the security or reliability of the bulk-power system or distribution facilities.

“(10) JUDICIAL REVIEW OF DESIGNATIONS.—Notwithstanding section 313(b), any determination by the Commission concerning the designation of critical electric infrastructure information under this subsection shall be subject to review under chapter 7 of title 5, United States Code, except that such review shall be brought in the district court of the United States in the district in which the complainant resides, or has his principal place of business, or in the District of Columbia. In such a case the court shall examine in camera the contents of documents or electronic communications that are the subject of the determination under review to determine whether such documents or any part thereof were improperly designated or not designated as critical electric infrastructure information.

“(e) SECURITY CLEARANCES.—The Secretary shall facilitate and, to the extent practicable, expedite the acquisition of adequate security clearances by key personnel of any entity subject to the requirements of this section, to enable optimum communication with Federal agencies regarding threats to the security of the critical electric infrastructure. The Secretary, the Commission, and other appropriate Federal agencies shall, to the extent practicable and consistent with their obligations to protect classified and critical electric infrastructure information, share timely actionable information regarding grid security with appropriate key personnel of owners, operators, and users of the critical electric infrastructure.

“(f) CLARIFICATIONS OF LIABILITY.—

“(1) COMPLIANCE WITH OR VIOLATION OF THIS ACT.—Except as provided in paragraph (4), to the extent any action or omission taken by an entity that is necessary to comply with an order for emergency measures issued under subsection (b)(1), including any action or omission taken to voluntarily comply with such order, results in noncompliance with, or causes such entity not to comply with any rule, order, regulation, or provision of this Act, including any reliability standard approved by the Commission pursuant to section 215, such action or omission shall not be considered a violation of such rule, order, regulation, or provision.

“(2) RELATION TO SECTION 202(c).—Except as provided in paragraph (4), an action or omission taken by an owner, operator, or user of critical

electric infrastructure or of defense critical electric infrastructure to comply with an order for emergency measures issued under subsection (b)(1) shall be treated as an action or omission taken to comply with an order issued under section 202(c) for purposes of such section.

“(3) SHARING OR RECEIPT OF INFORMATION.—No cause of action shall lie or be maintained in any Federal or State court for the sharing or receipt of information under, and that is conducted in accordance with, subsection (d).

“(4) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to require dismissal of a cause of action against an entity that, in the course of complying with an order for emergency measures issued under subsection (b)(1) by taking an action or omission for which they would be liable but for paragraph (1) or (2), takes such action or omission in a grossly negligent manner.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) JURISDICTION.—Section 201(b)(2) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824(b)(2)) is amended by inserting “215A,” after “215,” each place it appears.

(2) PUBLIC UTILITY.—Section 201(e) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824(e)) is amended by inserting “215A,” after “215.”.

SEC. 1105. STRATEGIC TRANSFORMER RESERVE.

(a) FINDING.—Congress finds that the storage of strategically located spare large power transformers and emergency mobile substations will reduce the vulnerability of the United States to multiple risks facing electric grid reliability, including physical attack, cyber attack, electromagnetic pulse, geomagnetic disturbances, severe weather, and seismic events.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) BULK-POWER SYSTEM.—The term “bulk-power system” has the meaning given such term in section 215(a) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824o(a)).

(2) CRITICALLY DAMAGED LARGE POWER TRANSFORMER.—The term “critically damaged large power transformer” means a large power transformer that—

(A) has sustained extensive damage such that—

(i) repair or refurbishment is not economically viable; or

(ii) the extensive time to repair or refurbish the large power transformer would create an extended period of instability in the bulk-power system; and

(B) prior to sustaining such damage, was part of the bulk-power system.

(3) CRITICAL ELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE.—The term “critical electric infrastructure” has the meaning given that term in section 215A of the Federal Power Act.

(4) ELECTRIC RELIABILITY ORGANIZATION.—The term “Electric Reliability Organization” has the meaning given such term in section 215(a) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824o(a)).

(5) EMERGENCY MOBILE SUBSTATION.—The term “emergency mobile substation” means a mobile substation or mobile transformer that is—

(A) assembled and permanently mounted on a trailer that is capable of highway travel and meets relevant Department of Transportation regulations; and

(B) intended for express deployment and capable of being rapidly placed into service.

(6) LARGE POWER TRANSFORMER.—The term “large power transformer” means a power transformer with a maximum nameplate rating of 100 megavolt-amperes or higher, including related critical equipment, that is, or is intended to be, a part of the bulk-power system.

(7) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Energy.

(8) SPARE LARGE POWER TRANSFORMER.—The term “spare large power transformer” means a large power transformer that is stored within the Strategic Transformer Reserve to be available to temporarily replace a critically damaged large power transformer.

(c) STRATEGIC TRANSFORMER RESERVE PLAN.—

(1) PLAN.—Not later than one year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary, acting through the Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability, shall, in consultation with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the Electricity Sub-sector Coordinating Council, the Electric Reliability Organization, and owners and operators of critical electric infrastructure and defense and military installations, prepare and submit to Congress a plan to establish a Strategic Transformer Reserve for the storage, in strategically located facilities, of spare large power transformers and emergency mobile substations in sufficient numbers to temporarily replace critically damaged large power transformers and substations that are critical electric infrastructure or serve defense and military installations.

(2) INCLUSIONS.—The Strategic Transformer Reserve plan shall include a description of—

(A) the appropriate number and type of spare large power transformers necessary to provide or restore sufficient resiliency to the bulk-power system, critical electric infrastructure, and defense and military installations to mitigate significant impacts to the electric grid resulting from—

- (i) physical attack;
- (ii) cyber attack;
- (iii) electromagnetic pulse attack;
- (iv) geomagnetic disturbances;
- (v) severe weather; or
- (vi) seismic events;

(B) other critical electric grid equipment for which an inventory of spare equipment, including emergency mobile substations, is necessary to provide or restore sufficient resiliency to the bulk-power system, critical electric infrastructure, and defense and military installations;

(C) the degree to which utility sector actions or initiatives, including individual utility ownership of spare equipment, joint ownership of spare equipment inventory, sharing agreements, or other spare equipment reserves or arrangements, satisfy the needs identified under subparagraphs (A) and (B);

(D) the potential locations for, and feasibility and appropriate number of, strategic storage locations for reserve equipment, including consideration of—

- (i) the physical security of such locations;
- (ii) the protection of the confidentiality of such locations; and

(iii) the proximity of such locations to sites of potentially critically damaged large power transformers and substations that are critical electric infrastructure or serve defense and military installations, so as to enable efficient delivery of equipment to such sites;

(E) the necessary degree of flexibility of spare large power transformers to be included in the Strategic Transformer Reserve to conform to different substation configurations, including consideration of transformer—

- (i) power and voltage rating for each winding;
- (ii) overload requirements;
- (iii) impedance between windings;
- (iv) configuration of windings; and
- (v) tap requirements;

(F) an estimate of the direct cost of the Strategic Transformer Reserve, as proposed, including—

- (i) the cost of storage facilities;
- (ii) the cost of the equipment; and
- (iii) management, maintenance, and operation costs;

(G) the funding options available to establish, stock, manage, and maintain the Strategic Transformer Reserve, including consideration of fees on owners and operators of bulk-power system facilities, critical electric infrastructure, and defense and military installations relying on the Strategic Transformer Reserve, use of Federal appropriations, and public-private cost-sharing options;

(H) the ease and speed of transportation, installation, and energization of spare large power

transformers to be included in the Strategic Transformer Reserve, including consideration of factors such as—

- (i) transformer transportation weight;
- (ii) transformer size;
- (iii) topology of critical substations;
- (iv) availability of appropriate transformer mounting pads;

(v) flexibility of the spare large power transformers as described in subparagraph (E); and

(vi) ability to rapidly transition a spare large power transformer from storage to energization;

(I) eligibility criteria for withdrawal of equipment from the Strategic Transformer Reserve;

(J) the process by which owners or operators of critically damaged large power transformers or substations that are critical electric infrastructure or serve defense and military installations may apply for a withdrawal from the Strategic Transformer Reserve;

(K) the process by which equipment withdrawn from the Strategic Transformer Reserve is returned to the Strategic Transformer Reserve or is replaced;

(L) possible fees to be paid by users of equipment withdrawn from the Strategic Transformer Reserve;

(M) possible fees to be paid by owners and operators of large power transformers and substations that are critical electric infrastructure or serve defense and military installations to cover operating costs of the Strategic Transformer Reserve;

(N) the domestic and international large power transformer supply chain;

(O) the potential reliability, cost, and operational benefits of including emergency mobile substations in any Strategic Transformer Reserve established under this section; and

(P) other considerations for designing, constructing, stocking, funding, and managing the Strategic Transformer Reserve.

(d) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary may establish a Strategic Transformer Reserve in accordance with the plan prepared pursuant to subsection (c) after the date that is 6 months after the date on which such plan is submitted to Congress.

(e) DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION.—Any information included in the Strategic Transformer Reserve plan, or shared in the preparation and development of such plan, the disclosure of which could cause harm to critical electric infrastructure, shall be exempt from disclosure under section 552(b)(3) of title 5, United States Code, and any State, tribal, or local law requiring disclosure of information or records.

SEC. 1106. CYBER SENSE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Energy shall establish a voluntary Cyber Sense program to identify and promote cyber-secure products intended for use in the bulk-power system, as defined in section 215(a) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824o(a)).

(b) PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out subsection (a), the Secretary of Energy shall—

(1) establish a Cyber Sense testing process to identify products and technologies intended for use in the bulk-power system, including products relating to industrial control systems, such as supervisory control and data acquisition systems;

(2) for products tested and identified under the Cyber Sense program, establish and maintain cybersecurity vulnerability reporting processes and a related database;

(3) promulgate regulations regarding vulnerability reporting processes for products tested and identified under the Cyber Sense program;

(4) provide technical assistance to utilities, product manufacturers, and other electric sector stakeholders to develop solutions to mitigate identified vulnerabilities in products tested and identified under the Cyber Sense program;

(5) biennially review products tested and identified under the Cyber Sense program for vulnerabilities and provide analysis with respect

to how such products respond to and mitigate cyber threats;

(6) develop procurement guidance for utilities for products tested and identified under the Cyber Sense program;

(7) provide reasonable notice to the public, and solicit comments from the public, prior to establishing or revising the Cyber Sense testing process;

(8) oversee Cyber Sense testing carried out by third parties; and

(9) consider incentives to encourage the use in the bulk-power system of products tested and identified under the Cyber Sense program.

(c) **DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION.**—Any vulnerability reported pursuant to regulations promulgated under subsection (b)(3), the disclosure of which could cause harm to critical electric infrastructure (as defined in section 215A of the Federal Power Act), shall be exempt from disclosure under section 552(b)(3) of title 5, United States Code, and any State, tribal, or local law requiring disclosure of information or records.

(d) **FEDERAL GOVERNMENT LIABILITY.**—Consistent with other voluntary Federal Government certification programs, nothing in this section shall be construed to authorize the commencement of an action against the United States Government with respect to the testing and identification of a product under the Cyber Sense program.

SEC. 1107. STATE COVERAGE AND CONSIDERATION OF PURPA STANDARDS FOR ELECTRIC UTILITIES.

(a) **STATE CONSIDERATION OF RESILIENCY AND ADVANCED ENERGY ANALYTICS TECHNOLOGIES AND RELIABLE GENERATION.**—

(1) **CONSIDERATION.**—Section 111(d) of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (16 U.S.C. 2621(d)) is amended by adding the following at the end:

“(20) **IMPROVING THE RESILIENCE OF ELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Each electric utility shall develop a plan to use resiliency-related technologies, upgrades, measures, and other approaches designed to improve the resilience of electric infrastructure, mitigate power outages, continue delivery of vital services, and maintain the flow of power to facilities critical to public health, safety, and welfare, to the extent practicable using the most current data, metrics, and frameworks related to current and future threats, including physical and cyber attacks, electromagnetic pulse attacks, geomagnetic disturbances, seismic events, and severe weather and other environmental stressors.

“(B) **RESILIENCY-RELATED TECHNOLOGIES.**—For purposes of this paragraph, examples of resiliency-related technologies, upgrades, measures, and other approaches include—

“(i) hardening, or other enhanced protection, of utility poles, wiring, cabling, and other distribution components, facilities, or structures;

“(ii) advanced grid technologies capable of isolating or repairing problems remotely, such as advanced metering infrastructure, high-tech sensors, grid monitoring and control systems, and remote reconfiguration and redundancy systems;

“(iii) cybersecurity products and components;

“(iv) distributed generation, including backup generation to power critical facilities and essential services, and related integration components, such as advanced inverter technology;

“(v) microgrid systems, including hybrid microgrid systems for isolated communities;

“(vi) combined heat and power;

“(vii) waste heat resources;

“(viii) non-grid-scale energy storage technologies;

“(ix) wiring, cabling, and other distribution components, including submersible distribution components, and enclosures;

“(x) electronically controlled reclosers and similar technologies for power restoration, including emergency mobile substations, as defined in section 1105 of the North American Energy Security and Infrastructure Act of 2015;

“(xi) advanced energy analytics technology, such as Internet-based and cloud-based computing solutions and subscription licensing models;

“(xii) measures that enhance resilience through planning, preparation, response, and recovery activities;

“(xiii) operational capabilities to enhance resilience through rapid response recovery; and

“(xiv) measures to ensure availability of key critical components through contracts, cooperative agreements, stockpiling and prepositioning, or other measures.

“(C) **RATE RECOVERY.**—Each State regulatory authority (with respect to each electric utility for which it has ratemaking authority) shall consider authorizing each such electric utility to recover any capital, operating expenditure, or other costs of the electric utility related to the procurement, deployment, or use of resiliency-related technologies, including a reasonable rate of return on the capital expenditures of the electric utility for the procurement, deployment, or use of resiliency-related technologies.

“(21) **PROMOTING INVESTMENTS IN ADVANCED ENERGY ANALYTICS TECHNOLOGY.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Each electric utility shall develop and implement a plan for deploying advanced energy analytics technology.

“(B) **RATE RECOVERY.**—Each State regulatory authority (with respect to each electric utility for which it has ratemaking authority) shall consider confirming and clarifying, if necessary, that each such electric utility is authorized to recover the costs of the electric utility relating to the procurement, deployment, or use of advanced energy analytics technology, including a reasonable rate of return on all such costs incurred by the electric utility for the procurement, deployment, or use of advanced energy analytics technology, provided such technology is used by the electric utility for purposes of realizing operational efficiencies, cost savings, enhanced energy management and customer engagement, improvements in system reliability, safety, and cybersecurity, or other benefits to ratepayers.

“(C) **ADVANCED ENERGY ANALYTICS TECHNOLOGY.**—For purposes of this paragraph, examples of advanced energy analytics technology include Internet-based and cloud-based computing solutions and subscription licensing models, including software as a service that uses cyber-physical systems to allow the correlation of data aggregated from appropriate data sources and smart grid sensor networks, employs analytics and machine learning, or employs other advanced computing solutions and models.

“(22) **ASSURING ELECTRIC RELIABILITY WITH RELIABLE GENERATION.**—

“(A) **ASSURANCE OF ELECTRIC RELIABILITY.**—Each electric utility shall adopt or modify policies to ensure that such electric utility incorporates reliable generation into its integrated resource plan to assure the availability of electric energy over a 10-year planning period.

“(B) **RELIABLE GENERATION.**—For purposes of this paragraph, ‘reliable generation’ means electric generation facilities with reliability attributes that include—

“(i) possession of adequate fuel on-site to enable operation for an extended period of time;

“(ii) the operational ability to generate electric energy from more than one source; or

“(iii) fuel certainty, through firm contractual obligations, that ensures adequate fuel supply to enable operation, for an extended period of time, for the duration of an emergency or severe weather conditions;

“(iv) operational characteristics that enable the generation of electric energy for the duration of an emergency or severe weather conditions; and

“(v) unless procured through other procurement mechanisms, essential reliability services, including frequency support and regulation services.

“(23) **SUBSIDIZATION OF CUSTOMER-SIDE TECHNOLOGY.**—

“(A) **CONSIDERATION.**—To the extent that a State regulatory authority may require or allow rates charged by any electric utility for which it has ratemaking authority to electric consumers that do not use a customer-side technology to include any cost, fee, or charge that directly or indirectly cross-subsidizes the deployment, construction, maintenance, or operation of that customer-side technology, such authority shall evaluate whether subsidizing the deployment, construction, maintenance, or operation of a customer-side technology would—

“(i) result in benefits predominately enjoyed by only the users of that customer-side technology;

“(ii) shift costs of a customer-side technology to electricity consumers that do not use that customer-side technology, particularly where disparate economic or resource conditions exist among the electricity consumers cross-subsidizing the customer-side technology;

“(iii) negatively affect resource utilization, fuel diversity, or grid security;

“(iv) provide any unfair competitive advantage to market the customer-side technology; and

“(v) be necessary to fulfill an obligation to serve electric consumers.

“(B) **PUBLIC NOTICE.**—Each State regulatory authority shall make available to the public the evaluation completed under subparagraph (A) at least 90 days prior to any proceedings in which such authority considers the cross-subsidization of a customer-side technology.

“(C) **CUSTOMER-SIDE TECHNOLOGY.**—For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘customer-side technology’ means a device connected to the electricity distribution system—

“(i) at, or on the customer side of, the meter; or

“(ii) that, if owned or operated by or on behalf of an electric utility, would otherwise be at, or on the customer side of, the meter.”.

(2) **COMPLIANCE.**—

(A) **TIME LIMITATIONS.**—Section 112(b) of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (16 U.S.C. 2622(b)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(7)(A) Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this paragraph, each State regulatory authority (with respect to each electric utility for which it has ratemaking authority) and each nonregulated electric utility, as applicable, shall commence the consideration referred to in section 111, or set a hearing date for consideration, with respect to the standards established by paragraphs (20), (22), and (23) of section 111(d).

“(B) Not later than 2 years after the date of the enactment of this paragraph, each State regulatory authority (with respect to each electric utility for which it has ratemaking authority) and each nonregulated electric utility, as applicable, shall complete the consideration, and shall make the determination, referred to in section 111 with respect to each standard established by paragraphs (20), (22), and (23) of section 111(d).

“(8)(A) Not later than 6 months after the date of enactment of this paragraph, each State regulatory authority (with respect to each electric utility for which it has ratemaking authority) and each nonregulated electric utility shall commence the consideration referred to in section 111, or set a hearing date for consideration, with respect to the standard established by paragraph (21) of section 111(d).

“(B) Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this paragraph, each State regulatory authority (with respect to each electric utility for which it has ratemaking authority) and each nonregulated electric utility shall complete the consideration, and shall make the determination, referred to in section 111 with respect to the standard established by paragraph (21) of section 111(d).”.

(B) **FAILURE TO COMPLY.**—Section 112(c) of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of

1978 (16 U.S.C. 2622(c)) is amended by adding the following at the end: "In the case of the standards established by paragraphs (20) through (23) of section 111(d), the reference contained in this subsection to the date of enactment of this Act shall be deemed to be a reference to the date of enactment of such paragraphs."

(C) **PRIOR STATE ACTIONS.**—Section 112 of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (16 U.S.C. 2622) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(g) **PRIOR STATE ACTIONS.**—Subsections (b) and (c) of this section shall not apply to a standard established by paragraph (20), (21), (22), or (23) of section 111(d) in the case of any electric utility in a State if—

"(1) before the date of enactment of this subsection, the State has implemented for such utility the standard concerned (or a comparable standard);

"(2) the State regulatory authority for such State or relevant nonregulated electric utility has conducted a proceeding to consider implementation of the standard concerned (or a comparable standard) for such utility during the 3-year period ending on the date of enactment of this subsection; or

"(3) the State legislature has voted on the implementation of the standard concerned (or a comparable standard) for such utility during the 3-year period ending on the date of enactment of this subsection."

(b) **COVERAGE FOR COMPETITIVE MARKETS.**—Section 102 of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (16 U.S.C. 2612) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(d) **COVERAGE FOR COMPETITIVE MARKETS.**—The requirements of this title do not apply to the operations of an electric utility, or to proceedings respecting such operations, to the extent that such operations or proceedings, or any portion thereof, relate to the competitive sale of retail electric energy that is unbundled or separated from the regulated provision or sale of distribution service."

SEC. 1108. RELIABILITY ANALYSIS FOR CERTAIN RULES THAT AFFECT ELECTRIC GENERATING FACILITIES.

(a) **APPLICABILITY.**—This section shall apply with respect to any proposed or final covered rule issued by a Federal agency for which compliance with the rule may impact an electric utility generating unit or units, including by resulting in closure or interruption to operations of such a unit or units.

(b) **RELIABILITY ANALYSIS.**—

(1) **ANALYSIS OF RULES.**—The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, in consultation with the Electric Reliability Organization, shall conduct an independent reliability analysis of a proposed or final covered rule under this section to evaluate the anticipated effects of implementation and enforcement of the rule on—

(A) electric reliability and resource adequacy;

(B) the electric generation portfolio of the United States;

(C) the operation of wholesale electricity markets; and

(D) energy delivery and infrastructure, including electric transmission facilities and natural gas pipelines.

(2) **RELEVANT INFORMATION.**—

(A) **MATERIALS FROM FEDERAL AGENCIES.**—A Federal agency shall provide to the Commission materials and information relevant to the analysis required under paragraph (1) for a rule, including relevant data, modeling, and resource adequacy and reliability assessments, prepared or relied upon by such agency in developing the rule.

(B) **ANALYSES FROM OTHER ENTITIES.**—The Electric Reliability Organization, regional entities, regional transmission organizations, independent system operators, and other reliability coordinators and planning authorities shall timely conduct analyses and provide such information as may be reasonably requested by the Commission.

(3) **NOTICE.**—A Federal agency shall provide to the Commission notice of the issuance of any proposed or final covered rule not later than 15 days after the date of such issuance.

(c) **PROPOSED RULES.**—Not later than 150 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register of a proposed rule described in subsection (a), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission shall make available to the public an analysis of the proposed rule conducted in accordance with subsection (b), and any relevant special assessment or seasonal or long-term reliability assessment completed by the Electric Reliability Organization.

(d) **FINAL RULES.**—

(1) **INCLUSION.**—A final rule described in subsection (a) shall include, if available at the time of issuance, a copy of the analysis conducted pursuant to subsection (c) of the rule as proposed.

(2) **ANALYSIS.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register of a final rule described in subsection (a), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission shall make available to the public an analysis of the final rule conducted in accordance with subsection (b), and any relevant special assessment or seasonal or long-term reliability assessment completed by the Electric Reliability Organization.

(e) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **ELECTRIC RELIABILITY ORGANIZATION.**—The term "Electric Reliability Organization" has the meaning given to such term in section 215(a) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824(a)).

(2) **FEDERAL AGENCY.**—The term "Federal agency" means an agency, as that term is defined in section 551 of title 5, United States Code.

(3) **COVERED RULE.**—The term "covered rule" means a proposed or final rule that is estimated by the Federal agency issuing the rule, or the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, to result in an annual effect on the economy of \$1,000,000,000 or more.

SEC. 1109. CARBON CAPTURE, UTILIZATION, AND SEQUESTRATION TECHNOLOGIES.

(a) **AMENDMENTS TO THE ENERGY POLICY ACT OF 2005.**—

(1) **FOSSIL ENERGY.**—Section 961(a) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 16291(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(8) Improving the conversion, use, and storage of carbon dioxide produced from fossil fuels."

(2) **COAL AND RELATED TECHNOLOGIES PROGRAM.**—Section 962(b)(1) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 16292(b)(1)) is amended—

(A) by striking "during each of calendar years 2008, 2010, 2012, and 2016, and during each fiscal year beginning after September 30, 2021," and inserting "during each fiscal year beginning after September 30, 2016,";

(B) by inserting "allow for large-scale demonstration and" after "technologies that would"; and

(C) by inserting "commercial use," after "use of coal for".

(b) **INCREASED ACCOUNTABILITY WITH RESPECT TO CARBON CAPTURE, UTILIZATION, AND SEQUESTRATION PROJECTS.**—

(1) **DOE EVALUATION.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Energy (in this subsection referred to as the "Secretary") shall, in accordance with this subsection, annually conduct an evaluation, and make recommendations, with respect to each project conducted by the Secretary for research, development, demonstration, or deployment of carbon capture, utilization, and sequestration technologies (also known as carbon capture and storage and utilization technologies).

(B) **SCOPE.**—For purposes of this subsection, a project includes any contract, lease, cooperative agreement, or other similar transaction with a public agency or private organization or person, entered into or performed, or any payment made, by the Secretary for research, develop-

ment, demonstration, or deployment of carbon capture, utilization, and sequestration technologies.

(2) **REQUIREMENTS FOR EVALUATION.**—In conducting an evaluation of a project under this subsection, the Secretary shall—

(A) examine if the project has made advancements toward achieving any specific goal of the project with respect to a carbon capture, utilization, and sequestration technology; and

(B) evaluate and determine if the project has made significant progress in advancing a carbon capture, utilization, and sequestration technology.

(3) **RECOMMENDATIONS.**—For each evaluation of a project conducted under this subsection, if the Secretary determines that—

(A) significant progress in advancing a carbon capture, utilization, and sequestration technology has been made, the Secretary shall assess the funding of the project and make a recommendation as to whether increased funding is necessary to advance the project; or

(B) significant progress in advancing a carbon capture, utilization, and sequestration technology has not been made, the Secretary shall—

(i) assess the funding of the project and make a recommendation as to whether increased funding is necessary to advance the project;

(ii) assess and determine if the project has reached its full potential; and

(iii) make a recommendation as to whether the project should continue.

(4) **REPORTS.**—

(A) **REPORT ON EVALUATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.**—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, and every 2 years thereafter, the Secretary shall—

(i) issue a report on the evaluations conducted and recommendations made during the previous year pursuant to this subsection; and

(ii) make each such report available on the Internet website of the Department of Energy.

(B) **REPORT.**—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, and every 3 years thereafter, the Secretary shall submit to the Subcommittee on Energy and Power of the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate a report on—

(i) the evaluations conducted and recommendations made during the previous 3 years pursuant to this subsection; and

(ii) the progress of the Department of Energy in advancing carbon capture, utilization, and sequestration technologies, including progress in achieving the Department of Energy's goal of having an array of advanced carbon capture and sequestration technologies ready by 2020 for large-scale demonstration.

SEC. 1110. RELIABILITY AND PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE IN REGIONAL TRANSMISSION ORGANIZATIONS.

Part II of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824 et seq.), as amended by section 1104, is further amended by adding after section 215A the following new section:

"SEC. 215B. RELIABILITY AND PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE IN REGIONAL TRANSMISSION ORGANIZATIONS.

"(a) EXISTING CAPACITY MARKETS.—

"(1) ANALYSIS CONCERNING CAPACITY MARKET DESIGN.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this section, each Regional Transmission Organization, and each Independent System Operator, that operates a capacity market, or a comparable market intended to ensure the procurement and availability of sufficient future electric energy resources, that is subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, shall provide to the Commission an analysis of how the structure of such market meets the following criteria:

"(A) The structure of such market utilizes competitive market forces to the extent practicable in procuring capacity resources.

“(B) Consistent with subparagraph (A), the structure of such market includes resource-neutral performance criteria that ensure the procurement of sufficient capacity from physical generation facilities that have reliability attributes that include—

“(i)(I) possession of adequate fuel on-site to enable operation for an extended period of time;

“(II) the operational ability to generate electric energy from more than one fuel source; or

“(III) fuel certainty, through firm contractual obligations, that ensures adequate fuel supply to enable operation, for an extended period of time, for the duration of an emergency or severe weather conditions;

“(ii) operational characteristics that enable the generation of electric energy for the duration of an emergency or severe weather conditions; and

“(iii) unless procured through other markets or procurement mechanisms, essential reliability services, including frequency support and regulation services.

“(2) COMMISSION EVALUATION AND REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this section, the Commission shall make publicly available, and submit to the Committee on Energy and Commerce in the House of Representatives and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources in the Senate, a report containing—

“(A) evaluation of whether the structure of each market addressed in an analysis submitted pursuant to paragraph (1) meets the criteria under such paragraph, based on the analysis; and

“(B) to the extent a market so addressed does not meet such criteria, any recommendations with respect to the procurement of sufficient capacity, as described in paragraph (1)(B).

“(b) COMMISSION EVALUATION AND REPORT FOR NEW SCHEDULES.—

“(1) INCLUSION OF ANALYSIS IN FILING.—Except as provided in subsection (a)(2), whenever a Regional Transmission Organization or Independent System Operator files a new schedule under section 205 to establish a market described in subsection (a)(1), or that substantially modifies the capacity market design of a market described in subsection (a)(1), the Regional Transmission Organization or Independent System Operator shall include in any such filing the analysis required by subsection (a)(1).

“(2) EVALUATION AND REPORT.—Not later than 180 days of receiving an analysis under paragraph (1), the Commission shall make publicly available, and submit to the Committee on Energy and Commerce in the House of Representatives and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources in the Senate, a report containing—

“(A) an evaluation of whether the structure of the market addressed in the analysis meets the criteria under subsection (a)(1), based on the analysis; and

“(B) to the extent the market does not meet such criteria, any recommendations with respect to the procurement of sufficient capacity, as described in subsection (a)(1)(B).

“(c) EFFECT ON EXISTING APPROVALS.—Nothing in this section shall be considered to—

“(1) require a modification of the Commission's approval of the capacity market design approved pursuant to docket numbers ER15-623-000, EL15-29-000, EL14-52-000, and ER14-2419-000; or

“(2) provide grounds for the Commission to grant rehearing or otherwise modify orders issued in those dockets.”

Subtitle B—Energy Security and Infrastructure Modernization

SEC. 1201. ENERGY SECURITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE MODERNIZATION FUND.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is hereby established in the Treasury of the United States a fund to be known as the Energy Security and Infrastructure Modernization Fund (referred to in this section as the “Fund”), consisting of—

(1) collections deposited in the Fund under subsection (c); and

(2) amounts otherwise appropriated to the Fund.

(b) PURPOSE.—The purpose of the Fund is—

(1) to provide for the construction, maintenance, repair, and replacement of Strategic Petroleum Reserve facilities; and

(2) for carrying out non-Strategic Petroleum Reserve projects needed to enhance the energy security of the United States by increasing the resilience, reliability, safety, and security of energy supply, transmission, storage, or distribution infrastructure.

(c) COLLECTION AND DEPOSIT OF SALE PROCEEDS IN FUND.—

(1) DRAWDOWN AND SALE.—Notwithstanding section 161 of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6241), to the extent provided in advance in appropriation Acts, the Secretary of Energy shall draw down and sell crude oil from the Strategic Petroleum Reserve in amounts as authorized under subsection (e), except as provided in paragraphs (2) and (3). Amounts received for a sale under this subsection shall be deposited into the Fund during the fiscal year in which the sale occurs. Such amounts shall remain available in the Fund without fiscal year limitation.

(2) EMERGENCY PROTECTION.—The Secretary shall not draw down and sell crude oil under this subsection in amounts that would limit the authority to sell petroleum products under section 161(h) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6241(h)) in the full amount authorized by that subsection.

(3) INVESTMENT PROTECTION.—The Secretary shall not draw down and sell crude oil under this subsection at a price lower than the average price paid for oil in the Strategic Petroleum Reserve.

(d) AUTHORIZED USES OF FUND.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Amounts in the Fund may be used for, or may be credited as offsetting collections for amounts used for, carrying out the programs described in paragraphs (2), (3), and (4), to the extent provided in advance in appropriation Acts.

(2) PROGRAM TO MODERNIZE THE STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE.—

(A) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(i) The Strategic Petroleum Reserve is one of the Nation's most valuable energy security assets.

(ii) The age and condition of the Strategic Petroleum Reserve have diminished its value as a Federal energy security asset.

(iii) Global oil markets and the location and amount of United States oil production and refining capacity have dramatically changed in the 40 years since the establishment of the Strategic Petroleum Reserve.

(iv) Maximizing the energy security value of the Strategic Petroleum Reserve requires a modernized infrastructure that meets the drawdown and distribution needs of changed domestic and international oil and refining market conditions.

(B) REAFFIRMATION OF POLICY.—Congress reaffirms the continuing strategic importance and need for the Strategic Petroleum Reserve as found and declared in section 151 of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6231).

(C) PROGRAM.—The Secretary of Energy shall establish a Strategic Petroleum Reserve modernization program to protect the United States economy from the impacts of emergency petroleum product supply disruptions. The program shall include—

(i) operational improvements to extend the useful life of surface and subsurface infrastructure;

(ii) maintenance of cavern storage integrity; and

(iii) addition of infrastructure and facilities to maximize the drawdown and incremental distribution capacity of the Strategic Petroleum Reserve.

(3) PROGRAM TO ENHANCE SAFETY, PERFORMANCE, AND RESILIENCE OF NATURAL GAS DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS.—

(A) PROGRAM.—The Secretary of Energy shall establish a grant program to provide financial assistance to States to offset the incremental rate increases paid by eligible households resulting from the implementation of State-approved infrastructure replacement, repair, and maintenance programs designed to accelerate the necessary replacement, repair, or maintenance of natural gas distribution systems.

(B) DATE OF ELIGIBILITY.—Awards may be provided under this paragraph to offset rate increases described in subsection (a) occurring on or after July 1, 2015.

(C) PRIORITIZATION.—The Secretary shall collaborate with States to prioritize the distribution of grants made under this paragraph. At a minimum, the Secretary shall consider prioritizing the distribution of grants to States which have—

(i) authorized or adopted enhanced infrastructure replacement programs or innovative rate recovery mechanisms, such as infrastructure cost trackers and riders, infrastructure base rate surcharges, deferred regulatory asset programs, and earnings stability mechanisms; and

(ii) a viable means for delivering financial assistance to eligible households.

(D) DEFINITION.—In this paragraph, the term “eligible household” means a household that is eligible to receive payments under section 8624(b)(2) of title 42, United States Code.

(4) PROGRAM TO ENHANCE ELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE RESILIENCE, RELIABILITY, AND ENERGY SECURITY.—

(A) PROGRAM.—The Secretary shall establish a competitive grant program to provide grants to States, units of local government, and Indian tribe economic development entities to enhance energy security through measures for electricity delivery infrastructure hardening and enhanced resilience and reliability.

(B) PURPOSE OF GRANTS.—The Secretary may make grants on a competitive basis to enable broader use of resiliency-related technologies, upgrades, and institutional measures and practices designed to—

(i) improve the resilience, reliability, and security of electricity delivery infrastructure;

(ii) improve preparedness and restoration time to mitigate power disturbances resulting from physical and cyber attacks, electromagnetic pulse attacks, geomagnetic disturbances, seismic events, and severe weather and other environmental stressors;

(iii) continue delivery of power to facilities critical to public health, safety, and welfare, including hospitals, assisted living facilities, and schools;

(iv) continue delivery of power to electricity-dependent essential services, including fueling stations and pumps, wastewater and sewage treatment facilities, gas pipeline infrastructure, communications systems, transportation services and systems, and services provided by emergency first responders; and

(v) enhance regional grid resilience and the resilience of electricity-dependent regional infrastructure.

(C) EXAMPLES.—Resiliency-related technologies, upgrades, and measures with respect to which grants may be made under this paragraph include—

(i) hardening, or other enhanced protection, of utility poles, wiring, cabling, and other distribution components, facilities, or structures;

(ii) advanced grid technologies capable of isolating or repairing problems remotely, such as advanced metering infrastructure, high-tech sensors, grid monitoring and control systems, and remote reconfiguration and redundancy systems;

(iii) cybersecurity products and components;

(iv) distributed generation, including back-up generation to power critical facilities and essential services, and related integration components, such as advanced inverter technology;

(v) microgrid systems, including hybrid microgrid systems for isolated communities;

(vi) combined heat and power;

(vii) waste heat resources;

(viii) non-grid-scale energy storage technologies;

(ix) wiring, cabling, and other distribution components, including submersible distribution components, and enclosures;

(x) electronically controlled reclosers and similar technologies for power restoration, including emergency mobile substations, as defined in section 1105 of the North American Energy Security and Infrastructure Act of 2015;

(xi) advanced energy analytics technology, such as Internet-based and cloud-based computing solutions and subscription licensing models;

(xii) measures that enhance resilience through planning, preparation, response, and recovery activities;

(xiii) operational capabilities to enhance resilience through rapid response recovery; and

(xiv) measures to ensure availability of key critical components through contracts, cooperative agreements, stockpiling and prepositioning, or other measures.

(D) IMPLEMENTATION.—Specific projects or programs established, or to be established, pursuant to awards provided under this paragraph shall be implemented through the States by public and publicly regulated entities on a cost-shared basis.

(E) COOPERATION.—In carrying out projects or programs established, or to be established, pursuant to awards provided under this paragraph, award recipients shall cooperate, as applicable, with—

(i) State public utility commissions;

(ii) State energy offices;

(iii) electric infrastructure owners and operators; and

(iv) other entities responsible for maintaining electric reliability.

(F) DATA AND METRICS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—To the extent practicable, award recipients shall utilize the most current data, metrics, and frameworks related to—

(I) electricity delivery infrastructure hardening and enhancing resilience and reliability; and

(II) current and future threats, including physical and cyber attacks, electromagnetic pulse, geomagnetic disturbances, seismic events, and severe weather and other environmental stressors.

(ii) METRICS.—Award recipients shall demonstrate to the Secretary with measurable and verifiable data how the deployment of resiliency-related technologies, upgrades, and technologies achieve improvements in the resiliency and recovery of electricity delivery infrastructure and related services, including a comparison of data collected before and after deployment. Metrics for demonstrating improvements in resiliency and recovery may include—

(I) power quality during power disturbances when delivered power does not meet power quality requirements of the customer;

(II) duration of customer interruptions;

(III) number of customers impacted;

(IV) cost impacts, including business and other economic losses;

(V) impacts on electricity-dependent essential services and critical facilities; and

(VI) societal impacts.

(iii) FURTHERING ENERGY ASSURANCE PLANS.—Award recipients shall demonstrate to the Secretary how projects or programs established, or to be established, pursuant to awards provided under this paragraph further applicable State and local energy assurance plans.

(G) MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS.—The Secretary may not make a grant under this paragraph unless the applicant agrees to make available non-Federal contributions (which may include in-kind contributions) in an amount not less than 50 percent of the Federal contribution.

(e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated (and drawdowns and sales under subsection (c) in an equal amount are authorized)—

(1) for carrying out subsection (d)(2), \$500,000,000 for the period encompassing fiscal years 2017 through 2020;

(2) for carrying out subsection (d)(3), \$100,000,000 for the period encompassing fiscal years 2017 through 2020, of which not more than 5 percent may be used for administrative expenses; and

(3) for carrying out subsection (d)(4), \$250,000,000 for the period encompassing fiscal years 2017 through 2020, of which not more than 5 percent may be used for administrative expenses.

(f) TRANSMISSION OF DEPARTMENT BUDGET REQUESTS.—The Secretary of Energy shall prepare and submit in the Department's annual budget request to Congress—

(1) an itemization of the amounts of funds necessary to carry out subsection (d); and

(2) a designation of any activities thereunder for which a multiyear budget authority would be appropriate.

(g) SUNSET.—The authority of the Secretary to drawdown and sell crude oil from the Strategic Petroleum Reserve under this section shall expire at the end of fiscal year 2020.

Subtitle C—Hydropower Regulatory Modernization

SEC. 1301. HYDROELECTRIC PRODUCTION AND EFFICIENCY INCENTIVES.

(a) HYDROELECTRIC PRODUCTION INCENTIVES.—Section 242 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C.15881) is amended—

(1) in subsection (c), by striking “10” and inserting “20”;

(2) in subsection (f), by striking “20” and inserting “30”;

(3) in subsection (g), by striking “each of the fiscal years 2006 through 2015” and inserting “each of fiscal years 2016 through 2025”.

(b) HYDROELECTRIC EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENT.—Section 243(c) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 15882(c)) is amended by striking “each of the fiscal years 2006 through 2015” and inserting “each of fiscal years 2016 through 2025”.

SEC. 1302. PROTECTION OF PRIVATE PROPERTY RIGHTS IN HYDROPOWER LICENSING.

(a) LICENCES.—Section 4(e) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 797(e)) is amended—

(1) by striking “and” after “recreational opportunities,”; and

(2) by inserting “, and minimizing infringement on the useful exercise and enjoyment of property rights held by nonlicensees” after “aspects of environmental quality”.

(b) PRIVATE LANDOWNERSHIP.—Section 10 of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 803) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1), by inserting “, including minimizing infringement on the useful exercise and enjoyment of property rights held by nonlicensees” after “section 4(e)”;

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(k) PRIVATE LANDOWNERSHIP.—In developing any recreational resource within the project boundary, the licensee shall consider private landownership as a means to encourage and facilitate—

“(1) private investment; and

“(2) increased tourism and recreational use.”.

SEC. 1303. EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FERC PROJECT INVOLVING W. KERR SCOTT DAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding the time period specified in section 13 of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 806) that would otherwise apply to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission project numbered 12642, the Commission may, at the request of the licensee for the project, and after reasonable notice, in accordance with the good faith, due diligence, and

public interest requirements of that section and the Commission's procedures under that section, extend the time period during which the licensee is required to commence the construction of the project for up to 3 consecutive 2-year periods from the date of the expiration of the extension originally issued by the Commission.

(b) REINSTATEMENT OF EXPIRED LICENSE.—If the period required for commencement of construction of the project described in subsection (a) has expired prior to the date of the enactment of this Act, the Commission may reinstate the license effective as of the date of its expiration and the first extension authorized under subsection (a) shall take effect on the date of such expiration.

SEC. 1304. HYDROPOWER LICENSING AND PROCESS IMPROVEMENTS.

Part I of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 792 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 34. HYDROPOWER LICENSING AND PROCESS IMPROVEMENTS.

“(a) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term ‘Federal authorization’—

“(1) means any authorization required under Federal law with respect to an application for a license, license amendment, or exemption under this part; and

“(2) includes any permits, special use authorizations, certifications, opinions, or other approvals as may be required under Federal law to approve or implement the license, license amendment, or exemption under this part.

“(b) DESIGNATION AS LEAD AGENCY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall act as the lead agency for the purposes of coordinating all applicable Federal authorizations and for the purposes of complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).

“(2) OTHER AGENCIES AND INDIAN TRIBES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Each Federal, State, and local government agency and Indian tribe considering an aspect of an application for Federal authorization shall coordinate with the Commission and comply with the deadline established in the schedule developed for the project in accordance with the rule issued by the Commission under subsection (c).

“(B) IDENTIFICATION.—The Commission shall identify, as early as practicable after it is notified by the applicant of a project or facility requiring Commission action under this part, any Federal or State agency, local government, or Indian tribe that may consider an aspect of an application for a Federal authorization.

“(C) NOTIFICATION.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall notify any agency and Indian tribe identified under subparagraph (B) of the opportunity to participate in the process of reviewing an aspect of an application for a Federal authorization.

“(ii) DEADLINE.—Each agency and Indian tribe receiving a notice under clause (i) shall submit a response acknowledging receipt of the notice to the Commission within 30 days of receipt of such notice and request.

“(D) ISSUE IDENTIFICATION AND RESOLUTION.—

“(i) IDENTIFICATION OF ISSUES.—Federal, State, and local government agencies and Indian tribes that may consider an aspect of an application for Federal authorization shall identify, as early as possible, and share with the Commission and the applicant, any issues of concern identified during the pendency of the Commission's action under this part relating to any Federal authorization that may delay or prevent the granting of such authorization, including any issues that may prevent the agency or Indian tribe from meeting the schedule established for the project in accordance with the rule issued by the Commission under subsection (c).

“(ii) ISSUE RESOLUTION.—The Commission may forward any issue of concern identified under clause (i) to the heads of the relevant

State and Federal agencies (including, in the case of scheduling concerns identified by a State or local government agency or Indian tribe, the Federal agency overseeing the delegated authority, or the Secretary of the Interior with regard to scheduling concerns identified by an Indian tribe) for resolution. The Commission and any relevant agency shall enter into a memorandum of understanding to facilitate interagency coordination and resolution of such issues of concern, as appropriate.

“(c) SCHEDULE.—

“(1) COMMISSION RULEMAKING TO ESTABLISH PROCESS TO SET SCHEDULE.—Within 180 days of the date of enactment of this section the Commission shall, in consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies, issue a rule, after providing for notice and public comment, establishing a process for setting a schedule following the filing of an application under this part for the review and disposition of each Federal authorization.

“(2) ELEMENTS OF SCHEDULING RULE.—In issuing a rule under this subsection, the Commission shall ensure that the schedule for each Federal authorization—

“(A) includes deadlines for actions by—

“(i) any Federal or State agency, local government, or Indian tribe that may consider an aspect of an application for the Federal authorization;

“(ii) the applicant;

“(iii) the Commission; and

“(iv) other participants in a proceeding;

“(B) is developed in consultation with the applicant and any agency and Indian tribe that submits a response under subsection (b)(2)(C)(ii);

“(C) provides an opportunity for any Federal or State agency, local government, or Indian tribe that may consider an aspect of an application for the applicable Federal authorization to identify and resolve issues of concern, as provided in subsection (b)(2)(D);

“(D) complies with applicable schedules established under Federal and State law;

“(E) ensures expeditious completion of all proceedings required under Federal and State law, to the extent practicable; and

“(F) facilitates completion of Federal and State agency studies, reviews, and any other procedures required prior to, or concurrent with, the preparation of the Commission’s environmental document required under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).

“(d) TRANSMISSION OF FINAL SCHEDULE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For each application for a license, license amendment, or exemption under this part, the Commission shall establish a schedule in accordance with the rule issued by the Commission under subsection (c). The Commission shall publicly notice and transmit the final schedule to the applicant and each agency and Indian tribe identified under subsection (b)(2)(B).

“(2) RESPONSE.—Each agency and Indian tribe receiving a schedule under this subsection shall acknowledge receipt of such schedule in writing to the Commission within 30 days.

“(e) ADHERENCE TO SCHEDULE.—All applicants, other licensing participants, and agencies and tribes considering an aspect of an application for a Federal authorization shall meet the deadlines set forth in the schedule established pursuant to subsection (d)(1).

“(f) APPLICATION PROCESSING.—The Commission, Federal, State, and local government agencies, and Indian tribes may allow an applicant seeking a Federal authorization to fund a third-party contractor selected by such agency or tribe to assist in reviewing the application. All costs of an agency or tribe incurred pursuant to direct funding by the applicant, including all costs associated with the third party contractor, shall not be considered costs of the United States for the administration of this part under section 10(e).

“(g) COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION ON SCOPE OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW.—For the purposes of coordinating Federal authorizations for each project, the Commission shall consult with and make a recommendation to agencies and Indian tribes receiving a schedule under subsection (d) on the scope of the environmental review for all Federal authorizations for such project. Each Federal and State agency and Indian tribe shall give due consideration and may give deference to the Commission’s recommendations, to the extent appropriate under Federal law.

“(h) FAILURE TO MEET SCHEDULE.—A Federal, State, or local government agency or Indian tribe that anticipates that it will be unable to complete its disposition of a Federal authorization by the deadline set forth in the schedule established under subsection (d)(1) may file for an extension as provided under section 313(b)(2).

“(i) CONSOLIDATED RECORD.—The Commission shall, with the cooperation of Federal, State, and local government agencies and Indian tribes, maintain a complete consolidated record of all decisions made or actions taken by the Commission or by a Federal administrative agency or officer (or State or local government agency or officer or Indian tribe acting under delegated Federal authority) with respect to any Federal authorization. Such record shall constitute the record for judicial review under section 313(b).”

SEC. 1305. JUDICIAL REVIEW OF DELAYED FEDERAL AUTHORIZATIONS.

Section 313(b) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 8251(b)) is amended—

(1) by striking “(b) Any party” and inserting the following:

“(b) JUDICIAL REVIEW.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—“Any party”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) DELAY OF A FEDERAL AUTHORIZATION.—Any Federal, State, or local government agency or Indian tribe that will not complete its disposition of a Federal authorization by the deadline set forth in the schedule by the Commission under section 34 may file for an extension in the United States court of appeals for any circuit wherein the project or proposed project is located, or in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia. Such petition shall be filed not later than 30 days prior to such deadline. The court shall only grant an extension if the agency or tribe demonstrates, based on the record maintained under section 34, that it otherwise complied with the requirements of section 34 and that complying with the schedule set by the Commission would have prevented the agency or tribe from complying with applicable Federal or State law. If the court grants the extension, the court shall set a reasonable schedule and deadline, not to exceed 90 days, for the agency to act on remand. If the court denies the extension, or if an agency or tribe does not file for an extension as provided in this subsection and does not complete its disposition of a Federal authorization by the applicable deadline, the Commission and applicant may move forward with the proposed action.”

SEC. 1306. LICENSING STUDY IMPROVEMENTS.

Part I of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 792 et seq.), as amended by section 1304, is further amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 35. LICENSING STUDY IMPROVEMENTS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—To facilitate the timely and efficient completion of the license proceedings under this part, the Commission shall, in consultation with applicable Federal and State agencies and interested members of the public—

“(1) compile current and accepted best practices in performing studies required in such license proceedings, including methodologies and the design of studies to assess the full range of environmental impacts of a project that reflect the most recent peer-reviewed science;

“(2) compile a comprehensive collection of studies and data accessible to the public that

could be used to inform license proceedings under this part; and

“(3) encourage license applicants, agencies, and Indian tribes to develop and use, for the purpose of fostering timely and efficient consideration of license applications, a limited number of open-source methodologies and tools applicable across a wide array of projects, including water balance models and streamflow analyses.

“(b) USE OF STUDIES.—To the extent practicable, the Commission and other Federal, State, and local government agencies and Indian tribes considering an aspect of an application for Federal authorization shall use current, accepted science toward studies and data in support of their actions. Any participant in a proceeding with respect to a Federal authorization shall demonstrate a study requested by the party is not duplicative of current, existing studies that are applicable to the project.

“(c) BASIN-WIDE OR REGIONAL REVIEW.—The Commission shall establish a program to develop comprehensive plans, at the request of project applicants, on a regional or basin-wide scale, in consultation with the applicants, appropriate Federal agencies, and affected States, local governments, and Indian tribes, in basins or regions with respect to which there are more than one project or application for a project. Upon such a request, the Commission, in consultation with the applicants, such Federal agencies, and affected States, local governments, and Indian tribes, may conduct or commission regional or basin-wide environmental studies, with the participation of at least 2 applicants. Any study conducted under this subsection shall apply only to a project with respect to which the applicant participates.”

SEC. 1307. CLOSED-LOOP PUMPED STORAGE PROJECTS.

Part I of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 792 et seq.), as amended by section 1306, is further amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 36. CLOSED-LOOP PUMPED STORAGE PROJECTS.

“(a) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this section, a closed-loop pumped storage project is a project—

“(1) in which the upper and lower reservoirs do not impound or directly withdraw water from navigable waters; or

“(2) that is not continuously connected to a naturally flowing water feature.

“(b) IN GENERAL.—As provided in this section, the Commission may issue and amend licenses and preliminary permits, as appropriate, for closed-loop pumped storage projects.

“(c) DAM SAFETY.—Before issuing any license for a closed-loop pumped storage project, the Commission shall assess the safety of existing dams and other structures related to the project (including possible consequences associated with failure of such structures).

“(d) LICENSE CONDITIONS.—With respect to a closed-loop pumped storage project, the authority of the Commission to impose conditions on a license under sections 4(e), 10(a), 10(g), and 10(f) shall not apply, and any condition included in or applicable to a closed-loop pumped storage project licensed under this section, including any condition or other requirement of a Federal authorization, shall be limited to those that are—

“(1) necessary to protect public safety; or

“(2) reasonable, economically feasible, and essential to prevent loss of or damage to, or to mitigate adverse effects on, fish and wildlife resources directly caused by the construction and operation of the project, as compared to the environmental baseline existing at the time the Commission completes its environmental review.

“(e) TRANSFERS.—Notwithstanding section 5, and regardless of whether the holder of a preliminary permit for a closed-loop pumped storage project claimed municipal preference under section 7(a) when obtaining the permit, the Commission may, to facilitate development of a closed-loop pumped storage project—

“(1) add entities as joint permittees following issuance of a preliminary permit; and

“(2) transfer a license in part to one or more nonmunicipal entities as co-licensees with a municipality.”.

SEC. 1308. LICENSE AMENDMENT IMPROVEMENTS.

Part I of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 792 et seq.), as amended by section 1307, is further amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 37. LICENSE AMENDMENT IMPROVEMENTS.

“(a) **QUALIFYING PROJECT UPGRADES.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—As provided in this section, the Commission may approve an application for an amendment to a license issued under this part for a qualifying project upgrade.

“(2) **APPLICATION.**—A licensee filing an application for an amendment to a project license under this section shall include in such application information sufficient to demonstrate that the proposed change to the project described in the application is a qualifying project upgrade.

“(3) **INITIAL DETERMINATION.**—Not later than 15 days after receipt of an application under paragraph (2), the Commission shall make an initial determination as to whether the proposed change to the project described in the application for a license amendment is a qualifying project upgrade. The Commission shall publish its initial determination and issue notice of the application filed under paragraph (2). Such notice shall solicit public comment on the initial determination within 45 days.

“(4) **PUBLIC COMMENT ON QUALIFYING CRITERIA.**—The Commission shall accept public comment regarding whether a proposed license amendment is for a qualifying project upgrade for a period of 45 days beginning on the date of publication of a public notice described in paragraph (3), and shall—

“(A) if no entity contests whether the proposed license amendment is for a qualifying project upgrade during such comment period, immediately publish a notice stating that the initial determination has not been contested; or

“(B) if an entity contests whether the proposed license amendment is for a qualifying project upgrade during the comment period, issue a written determination in accordance with paragraph (5).

“(5) **WRITTEN DETERMINATION.**—If an entity contests whether the proposed license amendment is for a qualifying project upgrade during the comment period under paragraph (4), the Commission shall, not later than 30 days after the date of publication of the public notice of the initial determination under paragraph (3), issue a written determination as to whether the proposed license amendment is for a qualifying project upgrade.

“(6) **PUBLIC COMMENT ON AMENDMENT APPLICATION.**—If no entity contests whether the proposed license amendment is for a qualifying project upgrade during the comment period under paragraph (4) or the Commission issues a written determination under paragraph (5) that a proposed license amendment is a qualifying project upgrade, the Commission shall—

“(A) during the 60-day period beginning on the date of publication of a notice under paragraph (4)(A) or the date on which the Commission issues the written determination under paragraph (5), as applicable, solicit comments from each Federal, State, and local government agency and Indian tribe considering an aspect of an application for Federal authorization (as defined in section 34) with respect to the proposed license amendment, as well as other interested agencies, Indian tribes, and members of the public; and

“(B) during the 90-day period beginning on the date of publication of a notice under paragraph (4)(A) or the date on which the Commission issues the written determination under paragraph (5), as applicable, consult with—

“(i) appropriate Federal agencies and the State agency exercising administrative control

over the fish and wildlife resources, and water quality and supply, of the State in which the qualifying project upgrade is located;

“(ii) any Federal department supervising any public lands or reservations occupied by the qualifying project upgrade; and

“(iii) any Indian tribe affected by the qualifying project upgrade.

“(7) **FEDERAL AUTHORIZATIONS.**—The schedule established by the Commission under section 34 for any project upgrade under this subsection shall require final disposition on all necessary Federal authorizations (as defined in section 34), other than final action by the Commission, by not later than 120 days after the date on which the Commission issues a notice under paragraph (4)(A) or a written determination under paragraph (5), as applicable.

“(8) **COMMISSION ACTION.**—Not later than 150 days after the date on which the Commission issues a notice under paragraph (4)(A) or a written determination under paragraph (5), as applicable, the Commission shall take final action on the license amendment application.

“(9) **LICENSE AMENDMENT CONDITIONS.**—Any condition included in or applicable to a license amendment approved under this subsection, including any condition or other requirement of a Federal authorization, shall be limited to those that are—

“(A) necessary to protect public safety; or

“(B) reasonable, economically feasible, and essential to prevent loss of or damage to, or to mitigate adverse effects on, fish and wildlife resources, water supply, and water quality that are directly caused by the construction and operation of the qualifying project upgrade, as compared to the environmental baseline existing at the time the Commission approves the application for the license amendment.

“(10) **PROPOSED LICENSE AMENDMENTS THAT ARE NOT QUALIFYING PROJECT UPGRADES.**—If the Commission determines under paragraph (3) or (5) that a proposed license amendment is not for a qualifying project upgrade, the procedures under paragraphs (6) through (9) shall not apply to the application.

“(11) **RULEMAKING.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this section, the Commission shall, after notice and opportunity for public comment, issue a rule to implement this subsection.

“(12) **DEFINITIONS.**—For purposes of this subsection:

“(A) **QUALIFYING PROJECT UPGRADE.**—The term ‘qualifying project upgrade’ means a change to a project licensed under this part that meets the qualifying criteria, as determined by the Commission.

“(B) **QUALIFYING CRITERIA.**—The term ‘qualifying criteria’ means, with respect to a project license under this part, a change to the project that—

“(i) if carried out, would be unlikely to adversely affect any species listed as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat, as determined in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior or Secretary of Commerce, as appropriate, in accordance with section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973;

“(ii) is consistent with any applicable comprehensive plan under section 10(a)(2);

“(iii) includes only changes to project lands, waters, or operations that, in the judgment of the Commission, would result in only insignificant or minimal cumulative adverse environmental effects;

“(iv) would be unlikely to adversely affect water quality and water supply; and

“(v) proposes to implement—

“(I) capacity increases, efficiency improvements, or other enhancements to hydropower generation at the licensed project;

“(II) environmental protection, mitigation, or enhancement measures to benefit fish and wildlife resources or other natural and cultural resources; or

“(III) improvements to public recreation at the licensed project.

“(b) **AMENDMENT APPROVAL PROCESSES.**—

“(1) **RULE.**—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this section, the Commission shall, after notice and opportunity for public comment, issue a rule establishing new standards and procedures for license amendment applications under this part. In issuing such rule, the Commission shall seek to develop the most efficient and expedient process, consultation, and review requirements, commensurate with the scope of different categories of proposed license amendments. Such rule shall account for differences in environmental effects across a wide range of categories of license amendment applications.

“(2) **CAPACITY.**—In issuing a rule under this subsection, the Commission shall take into consideration that a change in generating or hydraulic capacity may indicate the potential environmental effects of a proposed amendment but is not determinative of such effects.

“(3) **PROCESS OPTIONS.**—In issuing a rule under this subsection, the Commission shall take into consideration the range of process options available under the Commission’s regulations for new and original license applications and adapt such options to amendment applications, where appropriate.”.

SEC. 1309. PROMOTING HYDROPOWER DEVELOPMENT AT EXISTING NONPOWERED DAMS.

Part I of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 792 et seq.), as amended by section 1308, is further amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 38. PROMOTING HYDROPOWER DEVELOPMENT AT EXISTING NONPOWERED DAMS.

“(a) **EXEMPTIONS FOR QUALIFYING FACILITIES.**—

“(1) **EXEMPTION QUALIFICATIONS.**—Subject to the requirements of this subsection, the Commission may grant an exemption in whole or in part from the requirements of this part, including any license requirements contained in this part, to any facility the Commission determines is a qualifying facility.

“(2) **CONSULTATION WITH FEDERAL AND STATE AGENCIES.**—In granting any exemption under this subsection, the Commission shall consult with—

“(A) the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, the National Marine Fisheries Service, and the State agency exercising administrative control over the fish and wildlife resources of the State in which the facility will be located, in the manner provided by the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act;

“(B) any Federal department supervising any public lands or reservations occupied by the project; and

“(C) any Indian tribe affected by the project.

“(3) **EXEMPTION CONDITIONS.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The Commission shall include in any exemption granted under this subsection only such terms and conditions that the Commission determines are—

“(i) necessary to protect public safety; or

“(ii) reasonable, economically feasible, and essential to prevent loss of or damage to, or to mitigate adverse effects on, fish and wildlife resources directly caused by the construction and operation of the qualifying facility, as compared to the environmental baseline existing at the time the Commission grants the exemption.

“(B) **NO CHANGES TO RELEASE REGIME.**—No Federal authorization required with respect to a qualifying facility described in paragraph (1), including an exemption granted by the Commission under this subsection, may include any condition or other requirement that results in any material change to the storage, control, withdrawal, diversion, release, or flow operations of the associated qualifying nonpowered dam.

“(4) **ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW.**—The Commission’s environmental review under the National

Environmental Policy Act of 1969 of a proposed exemption under this subsection shall consist only of an environmental assessment, unless the Commission determines, by rule or order, that the Commission's obligations under such Act for granting exemptions under this subsection can be met through a categorical exclusion.

“(5) VIOLATION OF TERMS OF EXEMPTION.—Any violation of a term or condition of any exemption granted under this subsection shall be treated as a violation of a rule or order of the Commission under this Act.

“(6) ANNUAL CHARGES FOR ENHANCEMENT ACTIVITIES.—Exemtees under this subsection for any facility located at a non-Federal dam shall pay to the United States reasonable annual charges in an amount to be fixed by the Commission for the purpose of funding environmental enhancement projects in watersheds in which facilities exempted under this subsection are located. Such annual charges shall be equivalent to the annual charges for use of a Government dam under section 10(e), unless the Commission determines, by rule, that a lower charge is appropriate to protect exemptees' investment in the project or avoid increasing the price to consumers of power due to such charges. The proceeds of charges made by the Commission under this paragraph shall be paid into the Treasury of the United States and credited to miscellaneous receipts. Subject to annual appropriation Acts, such proceeds shall be available to Federal and State fish and wildlife agencies for purposes of carrying out specific environmental enhancement projects in watersheds in which one or more facilities exempted under this subsection are located. Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this section, the Commission shall establish rules, after notice and opportunity for public comment, for the collection and administration of annual charges under this paragraph.

“(7) EFFECT OF JURISDICTION.—The jurisdiction of the Commission over any qualifying facility exempted under this subsection shall extend only to the qualifying facility exempted and any associated primary transmission line, and shall not extend to any conduit, dam, impoundment, shoreline or other land, or any other project work associated with the qualifying facility exempted under this subsection.

“(b) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) FEDERAL AUTHORIZATION.—The term ‘Federal authorization’ has the same meaning as provided in section 34.

“(2) QUALIFYING CRITERIA.—The term ‘qualifying criteria’ means, with respect to a facility—

“(A) as of the date of enactment of this section, the facility is not licensed under, or exempted from the license requirements contained in, this part;

“(B) the facility will be associated with a qualifying nonpowered dam;

“(C) the facility will be constructed, operated, and maintained for the generation of electric power;

“(D) the facility will use for such generation any withdrawals, diversions, releases, or flows from the associated qualifying nonpowered dam, including its associated impoundment or other infrastructure; and

“(E) the operation of the facility will not result in any material change to the storage, control, withdrawal, diversion, release, or flow operations of the associated qualifying nonpowered dam.

“(3) QUALIFYING FACILITY.—The term ‘qualifying facility’ means a facility that is determined under this section to meet the qualifying criteria.

“(4) QUALIFYING NONPOWERED DAM.—The term ‘qualifying nonpowered dam’ means any dam, dike, embankment, or other barrier—

“(A) the construction of which was completed on or before the date of enactment of this section;

“(B) that is operated for the control, release, or distribution of water for agricultural, munic-

ipal, navigational, industrial, commercial, environmental, recreational, aesthetic, or flood control purposes;

“(C) that, as of the date of enactment of this section, is not equipped with hydropower generating works that are licensed under, or exempted from the license requirements contained in, this part; and

“(D) that, in the case of a non-Federal dam, has been certified by an independent consultant approved by the Commission as complying with the Commission's dam safety requirements.”

TITLE II—21ST CENTURY WORKFORCE

SEC. 2001. ENERGY AND MANUFACTURING WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Energy (in this section referred to as the “Secretary”) shall establish and carry out a comprehensive program to improve education and training for energy and manufacturing-related jobs in order to increase the number of skilled workers trained to work in energy and manufacturing-related fields, including by—

(1) encouraging underrepresented groups, including religious and ethnic minorities, women, veterans, individuals with disabilities, and socioeconomically disadvantaged individuals to enter into the science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (in this section referred to as “STEM”) fields;

(2) encouraging the Nation's education system to equip students with the skills, mentorships, training, and technical expertise necessary to fill the employment opportunities vital to managing and operating the Nation's energy and manufacturing industries;

(3) providing students and other candidates for employment with the necessary skills and certifications for skilled, semiskilled, and highly skilled energy and manufacturing-related jobs; and

(4) strengthening and more fully engaging Department of Energy programs and labs in carrying out the Department's Minorities in Energy Initiative.

(b) PRIORITY.—The Secretary shall make educating and training underrepresented groups for energy and manufacturing-related jobs a national priority under the program established under subsection (a).

(c) DIRECT ASSISTANCE.—In carrying out the program established under subsection (a), the Secretary shall provide direct assistance (including financial assistance awards, technical expertise, wraparound services, career coaching, mentorships, internships, and partnerships) to schools, community colleges, workforce development organizations, nonprofit organizations, labor organizations, apprenticeship programs, and minority serving institutions. The Secretary shall distribute direct assistance in a manner proportional to energy and manufacturing industry needs and demand for jobs, consistent with information obtained under subsections (e)(3) and (i).

(d) CLEARINGHOUSE.—In carrying out the program established under subsection (a), the Secretary shall establish a clearinghouse to—

(1) maintain and update information and resources on training and workforce development programs for energy and manufacturing-related jobs, including job training and workforce development programs available to assist displaced and unemployed energy and manufacturing workers transitioning to new employment; and

(2) act as a resource, and provide guidance, for schools, community colleges, universities (including minority serving institutions), workforce development programs, labor-management organizations, and industry organizations that would like to develop and implement energy and manufacturing-related training programs.

(e) COLLABORATION.—In carrying out the program established under subsection (a), the Secretary—

(1) shall collaborate with schools, community colleges, universities (including minority serving

institutions), workforce-training organizations, national laboratories, unions, State energy offices, workforce investment boards, and the energy and manufacturing industries;

(2) shall encourage and foster collaboration, mentorships, and partnerships among organizations (including unions, industry, schools, community colleges, workforce-development organizations, and colleges and universities) that currently provide effective job training programs in the energy and manufacturing fields and institutions (including schools, community colleges, workforce development programs, and colleges and universities) that seek to establish these types of programs in order to share best practices and approaches that best suit local, State, and national needs; and

(3) shall collaborate with the Bureau of Labor Statistics, the Department of Commerce, the Bureau of the Census, and the energy and manufacturing industries to develop a comprehensive and detailed understanding of the energy and manufacturing workforce needs and opportunities by State and by region, and publish an annual report on energy and manufacturing job creation by the sectors enumerated in subsection (i).

(f) GUIDELINES FOR EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the program established under subsection (a), the Secretary, in collaboration with the Secretary of Education, the Secretary of Commerce, the Secretary of Labor, the National Science Foundation, and industry shall develop voluntary guidelines and best practices for educational institutions of all levels, including for elementary and secondary schools and community colleges and for undergraduate, graduate, and postgraduate university programs, to help provide graduates with the skills necessary to work in energy and manufacturing-related jobs.

(2) INPUT.—The Secretary shall solicit input from the oil, gas, coal, renewable, nuclear, utility, energy-intensive and advanced manufacturing, and pipeline industries in developing guidelines under paragraph (1).

(3) ENERGY AND MANUFACTURING EFFICIENCY AND CONSERVATION INITIATIVES.—The guidelines developed under paragraph (1) shall include grade-specific guidelines for teaching energy and manufacturing efficiency and conservation initiatives to educate students and families.

(4) STEM EDUCATION.—The guidelines developed under paragraph (1) shall promote STEM education as it relates to job opportunities in energy and manufacturing-related fields of study in schools, community colleges, and universities nationally.

(g) OUTREACH TO MINORITY SERVING INSTITUTIONS.—In carrying out the program established under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

(1) give special consideration to increasing outreach to minority serving institutions (including historically black colleges and universities, predominantly black institutions, Hispanic serving institutions, and tribal institutions);

(2) make resources available to minority serving institutions with the objective of increasing the number of skilled minorities and women trained to go into the energy and manufacturing sectors;

(3) encourage industry to improve the opportunities for students of minority serving institutions to participate in industry internships and cooperative work/study programs; and

(4) partner with the Department of Energy laboratories to increase underrepresented groups' participation in internships, fellowships, traineeships, and employment at all Department of Energy laboratories.

(h) OUTREACH TO DISPLACED AND UNEMPLOYED ENERGY AND MANUFACTURING WORKERS.—In carrying out the program established under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

(1) give special consideration to increasing outreach to employers and job trainers preparing displaced and unemployed energy and

manufacturing workers for emerging energy and manufacturing jobs;

(2) make resources available to institutions serving displaced and unemployed energy and manufacturing workers with the objective of training individuals to re-enter the energy and manufacturing workforce;

(3) encourage the energy and manufacturing industries to improve opportunities for displaced and unemployed energy and manufacturing workers to participate in internships and cooperative work/study programs; and

(4) work closely with the energy and manufacturing industries to identify energy and manufacturing operations, such as coal-fired power plants and coal mines, scheduled for closure and to provide early intervention assistance to workers employed at such energy and manufacturing operations by—

(A) giving special consideration to employers and job trainers preparing such workers for emerging energy and manufacturing jobs;

(B) making resources available to institutions serving such workers with the objective of training them to re-enter the energy and manufacturing workforce; and

(C) encouraging the energy and manufacturing industries to improve opportunities for such workers to participate in internships and cooperative work-study programs.

(i) **GUIDELINES TO DEVELOP SKILLS FOR AN ENERGY AND MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY WORKFORCE.**—In carrying out the program established under subsection (a), the Secretary shall collaborate with representatives from the energy and manufacturing industries (including the oil, gas, coal, nuclear, utility, pipeline, renewable, petrochemical, manufacturing, and electrical construction sectors) to identify the areas of highest need in each sector and to develop guidelines for the skills necessary to develop a workforce trained to go into the following sectors of the energy and manufacturing sectors:

(1) Energy efficiency industry, including work in energy efficiency, conservation, weatherization, or retrofitting, or as inspectors or auditors.

(2) Pipeline industry, including work in pipeline construction and maintenance or work as engineers or technical advisors.

(3) Utility industry, including work in the generation, transmission, and distribution of electricity and natural gas, such as utility technicians, operators, lineworkers, engineers, scientists, and information technology specialists.

(4) Alternative fuels, including work in biofuel development and production.

(5) Nuclear industry, including work as scientists, engineers, technicians, mathematicians, or security personnel.

(6) Oil and gas industry, including work as scientists, engineers, technicians, mathematicians, petrochemical engineers, or geologists.

(7) Renewable industry, including work in the development, manufacturing, and production of renewable energy sources (such as solar, hydro-power, wind, or geothermal energy).

(8) Coal industry, including work as coal miners, engineers, developers and manufacturers of state-of-the-art coal facilities, technology vendors, coal transportation workers and operators, or mining equipment vendors.

(9) Manufacturing industry, including work as operations technicians, operations and design in additive manufacturing, 3-D printing, advanced composites, and advanced aluminum and other metal alloys, industrial energy efficiency management systems, including power electronics, and other innovative technologies.

(10) Chemical manufacturing industry, including work in construction (such as welders, pipefitters, and tool and die makers) or as instrument and electrical technicians, machinists, chemical process operators, chemical engineers, quality and safety professionals, and reliability engineers.

(j) **ENROLLMENT IN TRAINING AND APPRENTICESHIP PROGRAMS.**—In carrying out the program established under subsection (a), the Secretary

shall work with industry, organized labor, and community-based workforce organizations to help identify students and other candidates, including from underrepresented communities such as minorities, women, and veterans, to enroll into training and apprenticeship programs for energy and manufacturing-related jobs.

TITLE III—ENERGY SECURITY AND DIPLOMACY

SEC. 3001. SENSE OF CONGRESS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) North America's energy revolution has significantly enhanced energy security in the United States, and fundamentally changed the Nation's energy future from that of scarcity to abundance.

(2) North America's energy abundance has increased global energy supplies and reduced the price of energy for consumers in the United States and abroad.

(3) Allies and trading partners of the United States, including in Europe and Asia, are seeking stable and affordable energy supplies from North America to enhance their energy security.

(4) The United States has an opportunity to improve its energy security and promote greater stability and affordability of energy supplies for its allies and trading partners through a more integrated, secure, and competitive North American energy system.

(5) The United States also has an opportunity to promote such objectives by supporting the free flow of energy commodities and more open, transparent, and competitive global energy markets, and through greater Federal agency coordination relating to regulations or agency actions that significantly affect the supply, distribution, or use of energy.

SEC. 3002. ENERGY SECURITY VALUATION.

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT OF ENERGY SECURITY VALUATION METHODS.**—Not later than one year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Energy, in collaboration with the Secretary of State, shall develop and transmit, after public notice and comment, to the Committee on Energy and Commerce and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate a report that develops recommended United States energy security valuation methods. In developing the report, the Secretaries may consider the recommendations of the Administration's Quadrennial Energy Review released on April 21, 2015. The report shall—

(1) evaluate and define United States energy security to reflect modern domestic and global energy markets and the collective needs of the United States and its allies and partners;

(2) identify transparent and uniform or coordinated procedures and criteria to ensure that energy-related actions that significantly affect the supply, distribution, or use of energy are evaluated with respect to their potential impact on energy security, including their impact on—

(A) consumers and the economy;

(B) energy supply diversity and resiliency;

(C) well-functioning and competitive energy markets;

(D) United States trade balance; and

(E) national security objectives; and

(3) include a recommended implementation strategy that identifies and aims to ensure that the procedures and criteria referred to in paragraph (2) are—

(A) evaluated consistently across the Federal Government; and

(B) weighed appropriately and balanced with environmental considerations required by Federal law.

(b) **PARTICIPATION.**—In developing the report referred to in subsection (a), the Secretaries may consult with relevant Federal, State, private sector, and international participants, as appropriate and consistent with applicable law.

SEC. 3003. NORTH AMERICAN ENERGY SECURITY PLAN.

(a) **REQUIREMENT.**—Not later than one year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Energy, in collaboration with the Secretary of State, shall develop and transmit to the Committee on Energy and Commerce and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate the plan described in subsection (b).

(b) **PURPOSE.**—The plan referred to in subsection (a) shall include—

(1) a recommended framework and implementation strategy to—

(A) improve planning and coordination with Canada and Mexico to enhance energy integration, strengthen North American energy security, and promote efficiencies in the exploration, production, storage, supply, distribution, marketing, pricing, and regulation of North American energy resources; and

(B) address—

(i) North American energy public data, statistics, and mapping collaboration;

(ii) responsible and sustainable best practices for the development of unconventional oil and natural gas; and

(iii) modern, resilient energy infrastructure for North America, including physical infrastructure as well as institutional infrastructure such as policies, regulations, and practices relating to energy development; and

(2) a recommended framework and implementation strategy to improve collaboration with Caribbean and Central American partners on energy security, including actions to support—

(A) more open, transparent, and competitive energy markets;

(B) regulatory capacity building;

(C) improvements to energy transmission and storage; and

(D) improvements to the performance of energy infrastructure and efficiency.

(c) **PARTICIPATION.**—In developing the plan referred to in subsection (a), the Secretaries may consult with other Federal, State, private sector, and international participants, as appropriate and consistent with applicable law.

SEC. 3004. COLLECTIVE ENERGY SECURITY.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Energy and the Secretary of State shall collaborate to strengthen domestic energy security and the energy security of the allies and trading partners of the United States, including through actions that support or facilitate—

(1) energy diplomacy;

(2) the delivery of United States assistance, including energy resources and technologies, to prevent or mitigate an energy security crisis;

(3) the development of environmentally and commercially sustainable energy resources;

(4) open, transparent, and competitive energy markets; and

(5) regulatory capacity building.

(b) **ENERGY SECURITY FORUMS.**—Not later than one year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Energy, in collaboration with the Secretary of State, shall convene not less than 2 forums to promote the collective energy security of the United States and its allies and trading partners. The forums shall include participation by the Secretary of Energy and the Secretary of State. In addition, an invitation shall be extended to—

(1) appropriate representatives of foreign governments that are allies or trading partners of the United States; and

(2) independent experts and industry representatives.

(c) **REQUIREMENTS.**—The forums shall—

(1) consist of at least one Trans-Atlantic and one Trans-Pacific energy security forum;

(2) be designed to foster dialogue among government officials, independent experts, and industry representatives regarding—

(A) the current state of global energy markets;

(B) trade and investment issues relevant to energy; and

(C) barriers to more open, competitive, and transparent energy markets; and

(3) be recorded and made publicly available on the Department of Energy's website, including, not later than 30 days after each forum, publication on the website any significant outcomes.

(d) NOTIFICATION.—At least 30 days before each of the forums referred to in subsection (b), the Secretary of Energy shall send a notification regarding the forum to—

(1) the chair and the ranking minority member of the Committee on Energy and Commerce and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

SEC. 3005. STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE MISSION READINESS PLAN.

Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Energy shall conduct a long-range strategic review of the Strategic Petroleum Reserve and develop and transmit to Congress a plan that includes an analysis and implementation schedule that—

(1) specifies near-term and long-term roles of the Strategic Petroleum Reserve relative to United States energy security and economic goals and objectives;

(2) describes existing legal authorities governing the policies, configuration, and capabilities of the Strategic Petroleum Reserve;

(3) identifies Strategic Petroleum Reserve configuration and performance capabilities and recommends an action plan to achieve the optimal—

(A) capacity, location, and composition of petroleum products in the Reserve; and

(B) storage and distributional capabilities; and

(4) estimates the resources required to attain and maintain the Strategic Petroleum Reserve's long-term sustainability and operational effectiveness.

SEC. 3006. AUTHORIZATION TO EXPORT NATURAL GAS.

(a) DECISION DEADLINE.—For proposals that must also obtain authorization from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission or the United States Maritime Administration to site, construct, expand, or operate LNG export facilities, the Department of Energy shall issue a final decision on any application for the authorization to export natural gas under section 3 of the Natural Gas Act (15 U.S.C. 717b) not later than 30 days after the later of—

(1) the conclusion of the review to site, construct, expand, or operate the LNG facilities required by the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.); or

(2) the date of enactment of this Act.

(b) CONCLUSION OF REVIEW.—For purposes of subsection (a), review required by the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 shall be considered concluded—

(1) for a project requiring an Environmental Impact Statement, 30 days after publication of a Final Environmental Impact Statement;

(2) for a project for which an Environmental Assessment has been prepared, 30 days after publication by the Department of Energy of a Finding of No Significant Impact; and

(3) upon a determination by the lead agency that an application is eligible for a categorical exclusion pursuant to National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 implementing regulations.

(c) PUBLIC DISCLOSURE OF EXPORT DESTINATIONS.—Section 3 of the Natural Gas Act (15 U.S.C. 717b) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(g) PUBLIC DISCLOSURE OF LNG EXPORT DESTINATIONS.—As a condition for approval of any authorization to export LNG, the Secretary of Energy shall require the applicant to publicly

disclose the specific destination or destinations of any such authorized LNG exports.”.

TITLE IV—ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY

Subtitle A—Energy Efficiency

CHAPTER 1—FEDERAL AGENCY ENERGY EFFICIENCY

SEC. 4111. ENERGY-EFFICIENT AND ENERGY-SAVING INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES.

(a) AMENDMENT.—Subtitle C of title V of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (Public Law 110-140; 121 Stat. 1661) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 530. ENERGY-EFFICIENT AND ENERGY-SAVING INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES.

“(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) DIRECTOR.—The term ‘Director’ means the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

“(2) INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.—The term ‘information technology’ has the meaning given that term in section 11101 of title 40, United States Code.

“(b) DEVELOPMENT OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this section, each Federal agency shall coordinate with the Director, the Secretary, and the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency to develop an implementation strategy (that includes best practices and measurement and verification techniques) for the maintenance, purchase, and use by the Federal agency of energy-efficient and energy-saving information technologies, taking into consideration the performance goals established under subsection (d).

“(c) ADMINISTRATION.—In developing an implementation strategy under subsection (b), each Federal agency shall consider—

“(1) advanced metering infrastructure;

“(2) energy-efficient data center strategies and methods of increasing asset and infrastructure utilization;

“(3) advanced power management tools;

“(4) building information modeling, including building energy management;

“(5) secure telework and travel substitution tools; and

“(6) mechanisms to ensure that the agency realizes the energy cost savings brought about through increased efficiency and utilization.

“(d) PERFORMANCE GOALS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this section, the Director, in consultation with the Secretary, shall establish performance goals for evaluating the efforts of Federal agencies in improving the maintenance, purchase, and use of energy-efficient and energy-saving information technology.

“(2) BEST PRACTICES.—The Chief Information Officers Council established under section 3603 of title 44, United States Code, shall recommend best practices for the attainment of the performance goals, which shall include Federal agency consideration of, to the extent applicable by law, the use of—

“(A) energy savings performance contracting; and

“(B) utility energy services contracting.

“(e) REPORTS.—

“(1) AGENCY REPORTS.—Each Federal agency shall include in the report of the agency under section 527 a description of the efforts and results of the agency under this section.

“(2) OMB GOVERNMENT EFFICIENCY REPORTS AND SCORECARDS.—Effective beginning not later than October 1, 2017, the Director shall include in the annual report and scorecard of the Director required under section 528 a description of the efforts and results of Federal agencies under this section.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 is amended by adding after the item relating to section 529 the following:

“Sec. 530. Energy-efficient and energy-saving information technologies.”.

SEC. 4112. ENERGY EFFICIENT DATA CENTERS.

Section 453 of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (42 U.S.C. 17112) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(2)(D)(iv), by striking “determined by the organization” and inserting “proposed by the stakeholders”;

(2) by striking subsection (b)(3); and

(3) by striking subsections (c) through (g) and inserting the following:

“(c) STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT.—The Secretary and the Administrator shall carry out subsection (b) in collaboration with the information technology industry and other key stakeholders, with the goal of producing results that accurately reflect the most relevant and useful information available. In such collaboration, the Secretary and the Administrator shall pay particular attention to organizations that—

“(1) have members with expertise in energy efficiency and in the development, operation, and functionality of data centers, information technology equipment, and software, such as representatives of hardware manufacturers, data center operators, and facility managers;

“(2) obtain and address input from Department of Energy National Laboratories or any college, university, research institution, industry association, company, or public interest group with applicable expertise;

“(3) follow—

“(A) commonly accepted procedures for the development of specifications; and

“(B) accredited standards development processes; and

“(4) have a mission to promote energy efficiency for data centers and information technology.

“(d) MEASUREMENTS AND SPECIFICATIONS.—The Secretary and the Administrator shall consider and assess the adequacy of the specifications, measurements, best practices, and benchmarks described in subsection (b) for use by the Federal Energy Management Program, the Energy Star Program, and other efficiency programs of the Department of Energy or the Environmental Protection Agency.

“(e) STUDY.—The Secretary, in collaboration with the Administrator, shall, not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of the North American Energy Security and Infrastructure Act of 2015, make available to the public an update to the Report to Congress on Server and Data Center Energy Efficiency published on August 2, 2007, under section 1 of Public Law 109-431 (120 Stat. 2920), that provides—

“(1) a comparison and gap analysis of the estimates and projections contained in the original report with new data regarding the period from 2008 through 2015;

“(2) an analysis considering the impact of information technologies, including virtualization and cloud computing, in the public and private sectors;

“(3) an evaluation of the impact of the combination of cloud platforms, mobile devices, social media, and big data on data center energy usage;

“(4) an evaluation of water usage in data centers and recommendations for reductions in such water usage; and

“(5) updated projections and recommendations for best practices through fiscal year 2020.

“(f) DATA CENTER ENERGY PRACTITIONER PROGRAM.—The Secretary, in collaboration with key stakeholders and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, shall maintain a data center energy practitioner program that leads to the certification of energy practitioners qualified to evaluate the energy usage and efficiency opportunities in Federal data centers. Each Federal agency shall consider having the data centers of the agency evaluated every 4 years, in accordance with section 543(f) of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 8253), by energy practitioners certified pursuant to such program.

“(g) OPEN DATA INITIATIVE.—The Secretary, in collaboration with key stakeholders and the

Director of the Office of Management and Budget, shall establish an open data initiative for Federal data center energy usage data, with the purpose of making such data available and accessible in a manner that encourages further data center innovation, optimization, and consolidation. In establishing the initiative, the Secretary shall consider the use of the online Data Center Maturity Model.

“(h) INTERNATIONAL SPECIFICATIONS AND METRICS.—The Secretary, in collaboration with key stakeholders, shall actively participate in efforts to harmonize global specifications and metrics for data center energy and water efficiency.

“(i) DATA CENTER UTILIZATION METRIC.—The Secretary, in collaboration with key stakeholders, shall facilitate the development of an efficiency metric that measures the energy efficiency of a data center (including equipment and facilities).

“(j) PROTECTION OF PROPRIETARY INFORMATION.—The Secretary and the Administrator shall not disclose any proprietary information or trade secrets provided by any individual or company for the purposes of carrying out this section or the programs and initiatives established under this section.”

SEC. 4113. REPORT ON ENERGY AND WATER SAVINGS POTENTIAL FROM THERMAL INSULATION.

(a) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Energy, in consultation with appropriate Federal agencies and relevant stakeholders, shall submit to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives a report on the impact of thermal insulation on both energy and water use systems for potable hot and chilled water in Federal buildings, and the return on investment of installing such insulation.

(b) CONTENTS.—The report shall include—
 (1) an analysis based on the cost of municipal or regional water for delivered water and the avoided cost of new water; and
 (2) a summary of energy and water savings, including short-term and long-term (20 years) projections of such savings.

SEC. 4114. FEDERAL PURCHASE REQUIREMENT.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 203(b) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 15852(b)) is amended by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

“(2) RENEWABLE ENERGY.—The term ‘renewable energy’ means electric energy, or thermal energy if resulting from a thermal energy project placed in service after December 31, 2014, generated from, or avoided by, solar, wind, biomass, landfill gas, ocean (including tidal, wave, current, and thermal), geothermal, municipal solid waste (in accordance with subsection (e)), qualified waste heat resource, or new hydroelectric generation capacity achieved from increased efficiency or additions of new capacity at an existing hydroelectric project.

“(3) QUALIFIED WASTE HEAT RESOURCE.—The term ‘qualified waste heat resource’ means—

“(A) exhaust heat or flared gas from any industrial process;

“(B) waste gas or industrial tail gas that would otherwise be flared, incinerated, or vented;

“(C) a pressure drop in any gas for an industrial or commercial process; or

“(D) such other forms of waste heat as the Secretary determines appropriate.”

(b) PAPER RECYCLING.—Section 203 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 15852) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(e) PAPER RECYCLING.—

“(1) SEPARATE COLLECTION.—For purposes of this section, any Federal agency may consider electric energy generation purchased from a facility to be renewable energy if the municipal solid waste used by the facility to generate the electricity is—

“(A) separately collected (within the meaning of section 246.101(z) of title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, as in effect on the date of enactment of the North American Energy Security and Infrastructure Act of 2015) from paper that is commonly recycled; and

“(B) processed in a way that keeps paper that is commonly recycled segregated from non-recyclable solid waste.

“(2) INCIDENTAL INCLUSION.—Municipal solid waste used to generate electric energy that meets the conditions described in paragraph (1) shall be considered renewable energy even if the municipal solid waste contains incidental commonly recycled paper.

“(3) NO EFFECT ON EXISTING PROCESSES.—Nothing in paragraph (1) shall be interpreted to require a State or political subdivision of a State, directly or indirectly, to change the systems, processes, or equipment it uses to collect, treat, dispose of, or otherwise use municipal solid waste, within the meaning of the Solid Waste Disposal Act (42 U.S.C. 6901 et seq.), nor require a change to the regulations that implement subtitle D of such Act (42 U.S.C. 6941 et seq.).”

SEC. 4115. ENERGY PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENT FOR FEDERAL BUILDINGS.

Section 543 of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 8253) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (a) and inserting the following:

“(a) ENERGY PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENT FOR FEDERAL BUILDINGS.—

“(1) REQUIREMENT.—Subject to paragraph (2), each agency shall apply energy conservation measures to, and shall improve the design for the construction of, the Federal buildings of the agency (including each industrial or laboratory facility) so that the energy consumption per gross square foot of the Federal buildings of the agency in fiscal years 2006 through 2017 is reduced, as compared with the energy consumption per gross square foot of the Federal buildings of the agency in fiscal year 2003, by the percentage specified in the following table:

Fiscal Year	Percentage Reduction
2006	2
2007	4
2008	9
2009	12
2010	15
2011	18
2012	21
2013	24
2014	27
2015	30
2016	33
2017	36

“(2) EXCLUSION FOR BUILDINGS WITH ENERGY INTENSIVE ACTIVITIES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—An agency may exclude from the requirements of paragraph (1) any building (including the associated energy consumption and gross square footage) in which energy intensive activities are carried out.

“(B) REPORTS.—Each agency shall identify and list in each report made under section 548(a) the buildings designated by the agency for exclusion under subparagraph (A).

“(3) REVIEW.—Not later than December 31, 2017, the Secretary shall—

“(A) review the results of the implementation of the energy performance requirements established under paragraph (1); and

“(B) based on the review conducted under subparagraph (A), submit to Congress a report that addresses the feasibility of requiring each agency to apply energy conservation measures to, and improve the design for the construction of, the Federal buildings of the agency (including each industrial or laboratory facility) so that the energy consumption per gross square foot of the Federal buildings of the agency in each of fiscal years 2018 through 2030 is reduced, as compared with the energy consumption

per gross square foot of the Federal buildings of the agency in the prior fiscal year, by 3 percent.”; and

(2) in subsection (f)—
 (A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by redesignating subparagraphs (E), (F), and (G) as subparagraphs (F), (G), and (H), respectively; and

(ii) by inserting after subparagraph (D) the following:

“(E) ONGOING COMMISSIONING.—The term ‘ongoing commissioning’ means an ongoing process of commissioning using monitored data, the primary goal of which is to ensure continuous optimum performance of a facility, in accordance with design or operating needs, over the useful life of the facility, while meeting facility occupancy requirements.”;

(B) in paragraph (2), by adding at the end the following:

“(C) ENERGY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM.—An energy manager designated under subparagraph (A) shall consider use of a system to manage energy use at the facility and certification of the facility in accordance with the International Organization for Standardization standard numbered 50001 and entitled ‘Energy Management Systems’.”;

(C) by striking paragraphs (3) and (4) and inserting the following:

“(3) ENERGY AND WATER EVALUATIONS AND COMMISSIONING.—

“(A) EVALUATIONS.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), effective beginning on the date that is 180 days after the date of enactment of the North American Energy Security and Infrastructure Act of 2015, and annually thereafter, each energy manager shall complete, for each calendar year, a comprehensive energy and water evaluation and recommissioning or retrocommissioning for approximately 25 percent of the facilities of that energy manager’s agency that meet the criteria under paragraph (2)(B) in a manner that ensures that an evaluation of each facility is completed at least once every 4 years.

“(B) EXCEPTIONS.—An evaluation and recommissioning or retrocommissioning shall not be required under subparagraph (A) with respect to a facility that—

“(i) has had a comprehensive energy and water evaluation during the 8-year period preceding the date of the evaluation;

“(ii) (I) has been commissioned, recommissioned, or retrocommissioned during the 10-year period preceding the date of the evaluation; or (II) is under ongoing commissioning, recommissioning, or retrocommissioning;

“(iii) has not had a major change in function or use since the previous evaluation and commissioning, recommissioning, or retrocommissioning;

“(iv) has been benchmarked with public disclosure under paragraph (8) within the year preceding the evaluation; and

“(v) (I) based on the benchmarking, has achieved at a facility level the most recent cumulative energy savings target under subsection (a) compared to the earlier of—
 “(aa) the date of the most recent evaluation; or

“(bb) the date—
 “(AA) of the most recent commissioning, recommissioning, or retrocommissioning; or

“(BB) on which ongoing commissioning, recommissioning, or retrocommissioning began; or

“(II) has a long-term contract in place guaranteeing energy savings at least as great as the energy savings target under subclause (I).

“(4) IMPLEMENTATION OF IDENTIFIED ENERGY AND WATER EFFICIENCY MEASURES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after the date of completion of each evaluation under paragraph (3), each energy manager may—

“(i) implement any energy- or water-saving measure that the Federal agency identified in the evaluation conducted under paragraph (3) that is life-cycle cost effective; and

“(ii) bundle individual measures of varying paybacks together into combined projects.

“(B) MEASURES NOT IMPLEMENTED.—Each energy manager, as part of the certification system under paragraph (7) and using guidelines developed by the Secretary, shall provide an explanation regarding any life-cycle cost-effective measures described in subparagraph (A)(i) that have not been implemented.”; and

(D) in paragraph (7)(C), by adding at the end the following:

“(iii) SUMMARY REPORT.—The Secretary shall make publicly available a report that summarizes the information tracked under subparagraph (B)(i) by each agency and, as applicable, by each type of measure.”.

SEC. 4116. FEDERAL BUILDING ENERGY EFFICIENCY PERFORMANCE STANDARDS; CERTIFICATION SYSTEM AND LEVEL FOR FEDERAL BUILDINGS.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 303 of the Energy Conservation and Production Act (42 U.S.C. 6832) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (6), by striking “to be constructed” and inserting “constructed or altered”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(17) MAJOR RENOVATION.—The term ‘major renovation’ means a modification of building energy systems sufficiently extensive that the whole building can meet energy standards for new buildings, based on criteria to be established by the Secretary through notice and comment rulemaking.”.

(b) FEDERAL BUILDING EFFICIENCY STANDARDS.—Section 305 of the Energy Conservation and Production Act (42 U.S.C. 6834) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(3)—

(A) by striking “(3)(A) Not later than” and all that follows through the end of subparagraph (B) and inserting the following:

“(3) REVISED FEDERAL BUILDING ENERGY EFFICIENCY PERFORMANCE STANDARDS; CERTIFICATION FOR GREEN BUILDINGS.—

“(A) REVISED FEDERAL BUILDING ENERGY EFFICIENCY PERFORMANCE STANDARDS.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of the North American Energy Security and Infrastructure Act of 2015, the Secretary shall establish, by rule, revised Federal building energy efficiency performance standards that require that—

“(I) new Federal buildings and alterations and additions to existing Federal buildings—

“(aa) meet or exceed the most recent revision of the IECC (in the case of residential buildings) or ASHRAE Standard 90.1 (in the case of commercial buildings) as of the date of enactment of the North American Energy Security and Infrastructure Act of 2015; and

“(bb) meet or exceed the energy provisions of State and local building codes applicable to the building, if the codes are more stringent than the IECC or ASHRAE Standard 90.1, as applicable;

“(II) unless demonstrated not to be life-cycle cost effective for new Federal buildings and Federal buildings with major renovations—

“(aa) the buildings be designed to achieve energy consumption levels that are at least 30 percent below the levels established in the version of the ASHRAE Standard or the IECC, as appropriate, that is applied under subclause (I)(aa), including updates under subparagraph (B); and

“(bb) sustainable design principles are applied to the location, siting, design, and construction of all new Federal buildings and replacement Federal buildings;

“(III) if water is used to achieve energy efficiency, water conservation technologies shall be applied to the extent that the technologies are life-cycle cost effective; and

“(IV) if life-cycle cost effective, as compared to other reasonably available technologies, not less than 30 percent of the hot water demand for each new Federal building or Federal building

undergoing a major renovation be met through the installation and use of solar hot water heaters.

“(ii) LIMITATION.—Clause (i)(I) shall not apply to unaltered portions of existing Federal buildings and systems that have been added to or altered.

“(B) UPDATES.—Not later than 1 year after the date of approval of each subsequent revision of ASHRAE Standard 90.1 or the IECC, as appropriate, the Secretary shall determine whether the revised standards established under subparagraph (A) should be updated to reflect the revisions, based on the energy savings and life-cycle cost effectiveness of the revisions.”;

(B) in subparagraph (C), by striking “(C) In the budget request” and inserting the following:

“(C) BUDGET REQUEST.—In the budget request”; and

(C) in subparagraph (D)—

(i) by striking “(D) Not later than” and all that follows through the end of the first sentence of clause (i)(III) and inserting the following:

“(D) CERTIFICATION FOR GREEN BUILDINGS.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—”;

(ii) by striking clause (ii);

(iii) in clause (iii), by striking “(iii) In identifying” and inserting the following:

“(ii) CONSIDERATIONS.—In identifying”;

(iv) in clause (iv)—

(I) by striking “(iv) At least once” and inserting the following:

“(iii) STUDY.—At least once”; and

(II) by striking “clause (iii)” and inserting “clause (ii)”;

(v) in clause (v)—

(I) by striking “(v) The Secretary may” and inserting the following:

“(iv) INTERNAL CERTIFICATION PROCESSES.—The Secretary may”; and

(II) by striking “clause (i)(III)” each place it appears and inserting “clause (i)”;

(vi) in clause (vi)—

(I) by striking “(vi) With respect” and inserting the following:

“(v) PRIVATIZED MILITARY HOUSING.—With respect”; and

(II) by striking “develop alternative criteria to those established by subclauses (I) and (III) of clause (i) that achieve an equivalent result in terms of energy savings, sustainable design, and” and inserting “develop alternative certification systems and levels than the systems and levels identified under clause (i) that achieve an equivalent result in terms of”; and

(vii) in clause (vii), by striking “(vii) In addition to” and inserting the following:

“(vi) WATER CONSERVATION TECHNOLOGIES.—In addition to”; and

(2) by striking subsections (c) and (d) and inserting the following:

“(c) PERIODIC REVIEW.—The Secretary shall—

“(I) every 5 years, review the Federal building energy standards established under this section; and

“(2) on completion of a review under paragraph (1), if the Secretary determines that significant energy savings would result, upgrade the standards to include all new energy efficiency and renewable energy measures that are technologically feasible and economically justified.”.

SEC. 4117. OPERATION OF BATTERY RECHARGING STATIONS IN PARKING AREAS USED BY FEDERAL EMPLOYEES.

(a) AUTHORIZATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The head of any office of the Federal Government which owns or operates a parking area for the use of its employees (either directly or indirectly through a contractor) may install, construct, operate, and maintain on a reimbursable basis a battery recharging station in such area for the use of privately owned vehicles of employees of the office and others who are authorized to park in such area.

(2) USE OF VENDORS.—The head of an office may carry out paragraph (1) through a contract

with a vendor, under such terms and conditions (including terms relating to the allocation between the office and the vendor of the costs of carrying out the contract) as the head of the office and the vendor may agree to.

(b) IMPOSITION OF FEES TO COVER COSTS.—

(1) FEES.—The head of an office of the Federal Government which operates and maintains a battery recharging station under this section shall charge fees to the individuals who use the station in such amount as is necessary to ensure that office recovers all of the costs it incurs in installing, constructing, operating, and maintaining the station.

(2) DEPOSIT AND AVAILABILITY OF FEES.—Any fees collected by the head of an office under this subsection shall be—

(A) deposited monthly in the Treasury to the credit of the appropriations account for salaries and expenses of the office; and

(B) available for obligation without further appropriation during—

(i) the fiscal year collected; and

(ii) the fiscal year following the fiscal year collected.

(c) NO EFFECT ON EXISTING PROGRAMS FOR HOUSE AND SENATE.—Nothing in this section may be construed to affect the installation, construction, operation, or maintenance of battery recharging stations by the Architect of the Capitol—

(1) under Public Law 112–170 (2 U.S.C. 2171), relating to employees of the House of Representatives and individuals authorized to park in any parking area under the jurisdiction of the House of Representatives on the Capitol Grounds; or

(2) under Public Law 112–167 (2 U.S.C. 2170), relating to employees of the Senate and individuals authorized to park in any parking area under the jurisdiction of the Senate on the Capitol Grounds.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section shall apply with respect to fiscal year 2016 and each succeeding fiscal year.

CHAPTER 2—ENERGY EFFICIENT TECHNOLOGY AND MANUFACTURING

SEC. 4121. INCLUSION OF SMART GRID CAPABILITY ON ENERGY GUIDE LABELS.

Section 324(a)(2) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6294(a)(2)) is amended by adding the following at the end:

“(J) SMART GRID CAPABILITY ON ENERGY GUIDE LABELS.—

“(i) RULE.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this subparagraph, the Commission shall initiate a rulemaking to consider making a special note in a prominent manner on any Energy Guide label for any product that includes Smart Grid capability that—

“(I) Smart Grid capability is a feature of that product;

“(II) the use and value of that feature depend on the Smart Grid capability of the utility system in which the product is installed and the active utilization of that feature by the customer; and

“(III) on a utility system with Smart Grid capability, the use of the product’s Smart Grid capability could reduce the customer’s cost of the product’s annual operation as a result of the incremental energy and electricity cost savings that would result from the customer taking full advantage of such Smart Grid capability.

“(ii) DEADLINE.—Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this subparagraph, the Commission shall complete the rulemaking initiated under clause (i).”.

SEC. 4122. VOLUNTARY VERIFICATION PROGRAMS FOR AIR CONDITIONING, FURNACE, BOILER, HEAT PUMP, AND WATER HEATER PRODUCTS.

Section 326(b) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6296(b)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(6) VOLUNTARY VERIFICATION PROGRAMS FOR AIR CONDITIONING, FURNACE, BOILER, HEAT PUMP, AND WATER HEATER PRODUCTS.—

“(A) **RELIANCE ON VOLUNTARY PROGRAMS.**—For the purpose of verifying compliance with energy conservation standards established under sections 325 and 342 for covered products described in paragraphs (3), (4), (5), (9), and (11) of section 322(a) and covered equipment described in subparagraphs (B), (C), (D), (F), (I), (J), and (K) of section 340(1), the Secretary shall rely on testing conducted by recognized voluntary verification programs that are recognized by the Secretary in accordance with subparagraph (B).

“(B) **RECOGNITION OF VOLUNTARY VERIFICATION PROGRAMS.**—

“(i) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this paragraph, the Secretary shall initiate a negotiated rulemaking in accordance with subchapter III of chapter 5 of title 5, United States Code (commonly known as the ‘Negotiated Rulemaking Act of 1990’) to develop criteria that have consensus support for achieving recognition by the Secretary as an approved voluntary verification program. Any subsequent amendment to such criteria may be made only pursuant to a subsequent negotiated rulemaking in accordance with subchapter III of chapter 5 of title 5, United States Code.

“(ii) **MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS.**—The criteria developed under clause (i) shall, at a minimum, ensure that a voluntary verification program—

“(I) is nationally recognized;

“(II) is operated by a third party and not directly operated by a program participant;

“(III) satisfies any applicable elements of—

“(aa) International Organization for Standardization standard numbered 17025; and

“(bb) any other relevant International Organization for Standardization standards identified and agreed to through the negotiated rulemaking under clause (i);

“(IV) at least annually tests independently obtained products following the test procedures established under this title to verify the certified rating of a representative sample of products and equipment within the scope of the program;

“(V) maintains a publicly available list of all ratings of products subject to verification;

“(VI) requires the changing of the performance rating or removal of the product or equipment from the program if testing determines that the performance rating does not meet the levels the manufacturer has certified to the Secretary;

“(VII) requires new program participants to substantiate ratings through test data generated in accordance with Department of Energy regulations;

“(VIII) allows for challenge testing of products and equipment within the scope of the program;

“(IX) requires program participants to disclose the performance rating of all covered products and equipment within the scope of the program for the covered product or equipment;

“(X) provides to the Secretary—

“(aa) an annual report of all test results, the contents of which shall be determined through the negotiated rulemaking process under clause (i); and

“(bb) test reports, on the request of the Secretary, that note any instructions specified by the manufacturer or the representative of the manufacturer for the purpose of conducting the verification testing, to be exempted from disclosure under section 552(b)(4) of title 5, United States Code; and

“(XI) satisfies any additional requirements or standards that the Secretary shall establish consistent with this subparagraph.

“(iii) **CESSATION OF RECOGNITION.**—The Secretary may only cease recognition of a voluntary verification program as an approved program described in subparagraph (A) upon a finding that the program is not meeting its obligations for compliance through program review criteria developed during the negotiated rulemaking conducted under subparagraph (B).

“(C) **ADMINISTRATION.**—

“(i) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall not require—

“(I) manufacturers to participate in a recognized voluntary verification program described in subparagraph (A); or

“(II) participating manufacturers to provide information that has already been provided to the Secretary.

“(ii) **LIST OF COVERED PRODUCTS.**—The Secretary may maintain a publicly available list of covered products and equipment that distinguishes between products that are and are not covered products and equipment verified through a recognized voluntary verification program described in subparagraph (A).

“(iii) **PERIODIC VERIFICATION TESTING.**—The Secretary—

“(I) shall not subject products or equipment that have been verification tested under a recognized voluntary verification program described in subparagraph (A) to periodic verification testing to verify the accuracy of the certified performance rating of the products or equipment; but

“(II) may require testing of products or equipment described in subclause (I)—

“(aa) if the testing is necessary—

“(AA) to assess the overall performance of a voluntary verification program;

“(BB) to address specific performance issues;

“(CC) for use in updating test procedures and standards; or

“(DD) for other purposes consistent with this title; or

“(bb) if such testing is agreed to during the negotiated rulemaking conducted under subparagraph (B).

“(D) **EFFECT ON OTHER AUTHORITY.**—Nothing in this paragraph limits the authority of the Secretary to enforce compliance with any law.”.

SEC. 4123. FACILITATING CONSENSUS FURNACE STANDARDS.

(a) **CONGRESSIONAL FINDINGS AND DECLARATION OF PURPOSE.**—

(1) **FINDINGS.**—Congress finds that—

(A) acting pursuant to the requirements of section 325 of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6295), the Secretary of Energy is considering amending the energy conservation standards applicable to residential nonweatherized gas furnaces and mobile home gas furnaces;

(B) numerous stakeholders, representing manufacturers, distributors, and installers of residential nonweatherized gas furnaces and mobile home furnaces, natural gas utilities, home builders, multifamily property owners, and energy efficiency, environmental, and consumer advocates have begun negotiations in an attempt to agree on a consensus recommendation to the Secretary on levels for such standards that will meet the statutory criteria; and

(C) the stakeholders believe these negotiations are likely to result in a consensus recommendation, but several of the stakeholders do not support suspending the current rulemaking.

(2) **PURPOSE.**—It is the purpose of this section to provide the stakeholders described in paragraph (1) with an opportunity to continue negotiations for a limited time period to facilitate the proposal for adoption of standards that enjoy consensus support, while not delaying the current rulemaking except to the extent necessary to provide such opportunity.

(b) **OPPORTUNITY FOR A NEGOTIATED FURNACE STANDARD.**—Section 325(f)(4) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6295(f)(4)) is amended by adding after subparagraph (D) the following:

“(E)(i) Unless the Secretary has published such a notice prior to the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall publish, not later than October 31, 2015, a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking or a notice of data availability updating the proposed rule entitled ‘Energy Conservation Program for Consumer Products: Energy Furnaces’ and published in the Federal

Register on March 12, 2015 (80 Fed. Reg. 13119), to provide notice and an opportunity for comment on—

“(I) dividing nonweatherized gas furnaces into two or more product classes with separate energy conservation standards based on capacity; and

“(II) any other matters the Secretary determines appropriate.

“(ii) On receipt of a statement that is submitted on or before January 1, 2016, jointly by interested persons that are fairly representative of relevant points of view, that contains recommended standards for nonweatherized gas furnaces and mobile home gas furnaces that are consistent with the requirements of this part (except that the date on which such standards will apply may be earlier or later than the date required under this part), the Secretary shall evaluate the standards proposed in the joint statement for consistency with the requirements of subsection (o), and shall publish notice of the potential adoption of the standards proposed in the joint statement, modified as necessary to ensure consistency with subsection (o). The Secretary shall solicit public comment for a period of at least 30 days with respect to such notice.

“(iii) Not later than July 31, 2016, but not before July 1, 2016, the Secretary shall publish a final rule containing a determination of whether the standards for nonweatherized gas furnaces and mobile home gas furnaces should be amended. Such rule shall contain any such amendments to the standards.”.

SEC. 4124. FUTURE OF INDUSTRY PROGRAM.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 452 of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (42 U.S.C. 17111) is amended by striking the section heading and inserting the following: “**FUTURE OF INDUSTRY PROGRAM**”.

(b) **DEFINITION OF ENERGY SERVICE PROVIDER.**—Section 452(a) of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (42 U.S.C. 17111(a)) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (3) through (5) as paragraphs (4) through (6), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (2):

“(3) **ENERGY SERVICE PROVIDER.**—The term ‘energy service provider’ means any business providing technology or services to improve the energy efficiency, water efficiency, power factor, or load management of a manufacturing site or other industrial process in an energy-intensive industry, or any utility operating under a utility energy service project.”.

(c) **INDUSTRIAL RESEARCH AND ASSESSMENT CENTERS.**—Section 452(e) of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (42 U.S.C. 17111(e)) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through (5) as subparagraphs (A) through (E), respectively, and indenting appropriately;

(2) by striking “The Secretary” and inserting the following:

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary”;

(3) in subparagraph (A) (as redesignated by paragraph (1)), by inserting before the semicolon at the end the following: “, including assessments of sustainable manufacturing goals and the implementation of information technology advancements for supply chain analysis, logistics, system monitoring, industrial and manufacturing processes, and other purposes”; and

(4) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) **COORDINATION.**—To increase the value and capabilities of the industrial research and assessment centers, the centers shall—

“(A) coordinate with Manufacturing Extension Partnership Centers of the National Institute of Standards and Technology;

“(B) coordinate with the Building Technologies Office of the Department of Energy to provide building assessment services to manufacturers;

“(C) increase partnerships with the National Laboratories of the Department of Energy to leverage the expertise and technologies of the National Laboratories for national industrial and manufacturing needs; and

“(D) increase partnerships with energy service providers and technology providers to leverage private sector expertise and accelerate deployment of new and existing technologies and processes for energy efficiency, power factor, and load management.

“(3) OUTREACH.—The Secretary shall provide funding for—

“(A) outreach activities by the industrial research and assessment centers to inform small- and medium-sized manufacturers of the information, technologies, and services available; and

“(B) coordination activities by each industrial research and assessment center to leverage efforts with—

“(i) Federal and State efforts;

“(ii) the efforts of utilities and energy service providers;

“(iii) the efforts of regional energy efficiency organizations; and

“(iv) the efforts of other industrial research and assessment centers.

“(4) SMALL BUSINESS LOANS.—The Administrator of the Small Business Administration shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expedite consideration of applications from eligible small business concerns for loans under the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 631 et seq.) to implement recommendations of industrial research and assessment centers established under paragraph (1).”

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The item relating to section 452 in the table of contents for the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 is amended to read as follows:

“Sec. 452. Future of Industry program.”

SEC. 4125. NO WARRANTY FOR CERTAIN CERTIFIED ENERGY STAR PRODUCTS.

Section 324A of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6294a) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(e) NO WARRANTY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Any disclosure relating to participation of a product in the Energy Star program shall not create an express or implied warranty or give rise to any private claims or rights of action under State or Federal law relating to the disqualification of that product from Energy Star if—

“(A) the product has been certified by a certification body recognized by the Energy Star program;

“(B) the Administrator has approved corrective measures, including a determination of whether or not consumer compensation is appropriate; and

“(C) the responsible party has fully complied with all approved corrective measures.

“(2) CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to require the Administrator to modify any procedure or take any other action.”

SEC. 4126. CLARIFICATION TO EFFECTIVE DATE FOR REGIONAL STANDARDS.

Section 325(o)(6)(E)(ii) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6295(o)(6)(E)(ii)) is amended by striking “installed” and inserting “manufactured or imported into the United States”.

SEC. 4127. INTERNET OF THINGS REPORT.

The Secretary of Energy shall, not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act, report to the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate on the efforts made to take advantage of, and promote, the utilization of advanced technologies such as Internet of Things end-to-end platform solutions to provide real-time actionable analytics and enable predictive maintenance and asset management to improve

energy efficiency wherever feasible. In doing so, the Secretary shall look to encourage and utilize Internet of Things energy management solutions that have security tightly integrated into the hardware and software from the outset. The Secretary shall also encourage the use of Internet of Things solutions that enable seamless connectivity and that are interoperable, open standards-based, and built on a repeatable foundation for ease of scalability.

CHAPTER 3—ENERGY PERFORMANCE CONTRACTING

SEC. 4131. USE OF ENERGY AND WATER EFFICIENCY MEASURES IN FEDERAL BUILDINGS.

(a) REPORTS.—Section 548(b) of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 8258(b)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (4), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) the status of each agency’s energy savings performance contracts and utility energy service contracts, the investment value of such contracts, the guaranteed energy savings for the previous year as compared to the actual energy savings for the previous year, the plan for entering into such contracts in the coming year, and information explaining why any previously submitted plans for such contracts were not implemented.”

(b) FEDERAL ENERGY MANAGEMENT DEFINITIONS.—Section 551(4) of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 8259(4)) is amended by striking “or retrofit activities” and inserting “retrofit activities, or energy consuming devices and required support structures”.

(c) AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO CONTRACTS.—Section 801(a)(2)(F) of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 8287(a)(2)(F)) is amended—

(1) in clause (i), by striking “or” at the end;

(2) in clause (ii), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(iii) limit the recognition of operation and maintenance savings associated with systems modernized or replaced with the implementation of energy conservation measures, water conservation measures, or any series of energy conservation measures and water conservation measures.”

(d) MISCELLANEOUS AUTHORITY.—Section 801(a)(2) of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 8287(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(H) MISCELLANEOUS AUTHORITY.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a Federal agency may sell or transfer energy savings and apply the proceeds of such sale or transfer to fund a contract under this title.”

(e) PAYMENT OF COSTS.—Section 802 of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 8287a) is amended by striking “(and related operation and maintenance expenses)” and inserting “, including related operations and maintenance expenses”.

(f) ENERGY SAVINGS PERFORMANCE CONTRACTS DEFINITIONS.—Section 804(2) of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 8287c(2)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “federally owned building or buildings or other federally owned facilities” and inserting “Federal building (as defined in section 551 (42 U.S.C. 8259))” each place it appears;

(2) in subparagraph (C), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(3) in subparagraph (D), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(4) by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(E) the use, sale, or transfer of energy incentives, rebates, or credits (including renewable energy credits) from Federal, State, or local governments or utilities; and

“(F) any revenue generated from a reduction in energy or water use, more efficient waste recycling, or additional energy generated from more efficient equipment.”

CHAPTER 4—SCHOOL BUILDINGS

SEC. 4141. COORDINATION OF ENERGY RETROFITTING ASSISTANCE FOR SCHOOLS.

Section 392 of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6371a) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(e) COORDINATION OF ENERGY RETROFITTING ASSISTANCE FOR SCHOOLS.—

“(1) DEFINITION OF SCHOOL.—Notwithstanding section 391(6), for the purposes of this subsection, the term ‘school’ means—

“(A) an elementary school or secondary school (as defined in section 9101 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7801));

“(B) an institution of higher education (as defined in section 102(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1002(a)));

“(C) a school of the defense dependents’ education system under the Defense Dependents’ Education Act of 1978 (20 U.S.C. 921 et seq.) or established under section 2164 of title 10, United States Code;

“(D) a school operated by the Bureau of Indian Affairs;

“(E) a tribally controlled school (as defined in section 5212 of the Tribally Controlled Schools Act of 1988 (25 U.S.C. 2511)); and

“(F) a Tribal College or University (as defined in section 316(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1059c(b))).

“(2) ESTABLISHMENT OF CLEARINGHOUSE.—The Secretary, acting through the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, shall establish a clearinghouse to disseminate information regarding available Federal programs and financing mechanisms that may be used to help initiate, develop, and finance energy efficiency, distributed generation, and energy retrofitting projects for schools.

“(3) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out paragraph (2), the Secretary shall—

“(A) consult with appropriate Federal agencies to develop a list of Federal programs and financing mechanisms that are, or may be, used for the purposes described in paragraph (2); and

“(B) coordinate with appropriate Federal agencies to develop a collaborative education and outreach effort to streamline communications and promote available Federal programs and financing mechanisms described in subparagraph (A), which may include the development and maintenance of a single online resource that includes contact information for relevant technical assistance in the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy that States, local education agencies, and schools may use to effectively access and use such Federal programs and financing mechanisms.”

CHAPTER 5—BUILDING ENERGY CODES

SEC. 4151. GREATER ENERGY EFFICIENCY IN BUILDING CODES.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 303 of the Energy Conservation and Production Act (42 U.S.C. 6832), as amended by section 4116, is further amended—

(1) by striking paragraph (14) and inserting the following:

“(14) MODEL BUILDING ENERGY CODE.—The term ‘model building energy code’ means a voluntary building energy code or standard developed and updated through a consensus process among interested persons, such as the IECC or ASHRAE Standard 90.1 or a code used by other appropriate organizations regarding which the Secretary has issued a determination that buildings subject to it would achieve greater energy efficiency than under a previously developed code.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(18) ASHRAE STANDARD 90.1.—The term ‘ASHRAE Standard 90.1’ means the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers ANSI/ASHRAE/IES Standard 90/1 Energy Standard for Buildings Except Low-Rise Residential Buildings.

“(19) COST-EFFECTIVE.—The term ‘cost-effective’ means having a simple payback of 10 years or less.

“(20) IECC.—The term ‘IECC’ means the International Energy Conservation Code as published by the International Code Council.

“(21) INDIAN TRIBE.—The term ‘Indian tribe’ has the meaning given the term in section 4 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4103).

“(22) SIMPLE PAYBACK.—The term ‘simple payback’ means the time in years that is required for energy savings to exceed the incremental first cost of a new requirement or code.

“(23) TECHNICALLY FEASIBLE.—The term ‘technically feasible’ means capable of being achieved, based on widely available appliances, equipment, technologies, materials, and construction practices.”.

(b) STATE BUILDING ENERGY EFFICIENCY CODES.—Section 304 of the Energy Conservation and Production Act (42 U.S.C. 6833) is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 304. UPDATING STATE BUILDING ENERGY EFFICIENCY CODES.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall provide technical assistance, as described in subsection (e), for the purposes of—

“(1) implementation of building energy codes by States, Indian tribes, and, as appropriate, by local governments, that are technically feasible and cost-effective; and

“(2) supporting full compliance with the State, tribal, and local codes.

“(b) STATE AND INDIAN TRIBE CERTIFICATION OF BUILDING ENERGY CODE UPDATES.—

“(1) REVIEW AND UPDATING OF CODES BY EACH STATE AND INDIAN TRIBE.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 3 years after the date on which a model building energy code is published, each State or Indian tribe shall certify whether or not the State or Indian tribe, respectively, has reviewed and updated the energy provisions of the building code of the State or Indian tribe, respectively.

“(B) DEMONSTRATION.—The certification shall include a statement of whether or not the energy savings for the code provisions that are in effect throughout the State or Indian tribal territory meet or exceed—

“(i) the energy savings of the most recently published model building energy code; or

“(ii) the targets established under section 307(b)(2).

“(C) NO MODEL BUILDING ENERGY CODE UPDATE.—If a model building energy code is not updated by a target date established under section 307(b)(2)(D), each State or Indian tribe shall, not later than 3 years after the specified date, certify whether or not the State or Indian tribe, respectively, has reviewed and updated the energy provisions of the building code of the State or Indian tribe, respectively, to meet or exceed the target in section 307(b)(2).

“(2) VALIDATION BY SECRETARY.—Not later than 90 days after a State or Indian tribe certification under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall—

“(A) determine whether the code provisions of the State or Indian tribe, respectively, meet the criteria specified in paragraph (1);

“(B) determine whether the certification submitted by the State or Indian tribe, respectively, is complete; and

“(C) if the requirements of subparagraph (B) are satisfied, validate the certification.

“(3) LIMITATION.—Nothing in this section shall be interpreted to require a State or Indian tribe to adopt any building code or provision within a code.

“(c) IMPROVEMENTS IN COMPLIANCE WITH BUILDING ENERGY CODES.—

“(1) REQUIREMENT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 3 years after the date of a certification under subsection (b), each State and Indian tribe shall certify whether or not the State or Indian tribe, respectively, has—

“(i) achieved full compliance under paragraph (3) with the applicable certified State or Indian tribe building energy code or with the associated model building energy code; or

“(ii) made significant progress under paragraph (4) toward achieving compliance with the applicable certified State or Indian tribe building energy code or with the associated model building energy code.

“(B) REPEAT CERTIFICATIONS.—If the State or Indian tribe certifies progress toward achieving compliance, the State or Indian tribe shall repeat the certification until the State or Indian tribe certifies that the State or Indian tribe has achieved full compliance.

“(2) MEASUREMENT OF COMPLIANCE.—A certification under paragraph (1) shall include documentation of the rate of compliance based on—

“(A) inspections of a random sample of the buildings covered by the code in the preceding year; or

“(B) an alternative method that yields an accurate measure of compliance.

“(3) ACHIEVEMENT OF COMPLIANCE.—A State or Indian tribe shall be considered to achieve full compliance under paragraph (1) if—

“(A) at least 90 percent of building space covered by the code in the preceding year substantially meets all the requirements of the applicable code specified in paragraph (1), or achieves equivalent or greater energy savings level; or

“(B) the estimated excess energy use of buildings that did not meet the applicable code specified in paragraph (1) in the preceding year, compared to a baseline of comparable buildings that meet this code, is not more than 5 percent of the estimated energy use of all buildings covered by this code during the preceding year.

“(4) SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS TOWARD ACHIEVEMENT OF COMPLIANCE.—A State or Indian tribe shall be considered to have made significant progress toward achieving compliance for purposes of paragraph (1) if the State or Indian tribe—

“(A) has developed and is implementing a plan for achieving compliance during the 8-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this paragraph, including annual targets for compliance and active training and enforcement programs; and

“(B) has met the most recent target under subparagraph (A).

“(5) VALIDATION BY SECRETARY.—Not later than 90 days after a State or Indian tribe certification under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall—

“(A) determine whether the State or Indian tribe has demonstrated meeting the criteria of this subsection, including accurate measurement of compliance;

“(B) determine whether the certification submitted by the State or Indian tribe is complete; and

“(C) if the requirements of subparagraph (B) are satisfied, validate the certification.

“(6) LIMITATION.—Nothing in this section shall be interpreted to require a State or Indian tribe to adopt any building code or provision within a code.

“(d) STATES OR INDIAN TRIBES THAT DO NOT ACHIEVE COMPLIANCE.—

“(1) REPORTING.—A State or Indian tribe that has not made a certification required under subsection (b) or (c) by the applicable deadline shall submit to the Secretary a report on the status of the State or Indian tribe with respect to meeting the requirements and submitting the certification.

“(2) STATE SOVEREIGNTY.—Nothing in this section shall be interpreted to require a State or Indian tribe to adopt any building code or provision within a code.

“(3) LOCAL GOVERNMENT.—In any State or Indian tribe for which the Secretary has not validated a certification under subsection (b) or (c), a local government may be eligible for Federal support by meeting the certification requirements of subsections (b) and (c).

“(4) ANNUAL REPORTS BY SECRETARY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall annually submit to Congress, and publish in the Federal Register, a report on—

“(i) the status of model building energy codes;

“(ii) the status of code adoption and compliance in the States and Indian tribes;

“(iii) implementation of this section; and

“(iv) improvements in energy savings over time as a result of the targets established under section 307(b)(2).

“(B) IMPACTS.—The report shall include estimates of impacts of past action under this section, and potential impacts of further action, on—

“(i) upfront financial and construction costs, cost benefits and returns (using a return on investment analysis), and lifetime energy use for buildings;

“(ii) resulting energy costs to individuals and businesses; and

“(iii) resulting overall annual building ownership and operating costs.

“(e) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO STATES AND INDIAN TRIBES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall, upon request, provide technical assistance to States and Indian tribes to implement the goals and requirements of this section—

“(A) to implement State residential and commercial building energy codes; and

“(B) to document the rate of compliance with a building energy code.

“(2) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—The assistance shall include, as requested by the State or Indian tribe, technical assistance in—

“(A) evaluating the energy savings of building energy codes;

“(B) assessing the economic considerations, referenced in section 307(b)(4), of implementing building energy codes;

“(C) building energy analysis and design tools;

“(D) energy simulation models;

“(E) building demonstrations;

“(F) developing the definitions of energy use intensity and building types for use in model building energy codes to evaluate the efficiency impacts of the model building energy codes; and

“(G) complying with a performance-based pathway referenced in the model code.

“(3) EXCLUSION.—For purposes of this section, ‘technical assistance’ shall not include actions that promote or discourage the adoption of a particular building energy code, code provision, or energy savings target to a State or Indian tribe.

“(4) INFORMATION QUALITY AND TRANSPARENCY.—For purposes of this section, information provided by the Secretary, attendant to any technical assistance provided to a State or Indian tribe, is ‘influential information’ and shall satisfy the guidelines established by the Office of Management and Budget and published at 67 Federal Register 8,452 (Feb. 22, 2002).

“(f) FEDERAL SUPPORT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall provide support to States and Indian tribes—

“(A) to implement the reporting requirements of this section; and

“(B) to implement residential and commercial building energy codes, including increasing and verifying compliance with the codes and training of State, tribal, and local building code officials to implement and enforce the codes.

“(2) EXCLUSION.—Support shall not be given to support adoption and implementation of model building energy codes for which the Secretary has made a determination under section 307(g)(1)(C) that the code is not cost-effective.

“(3) TRAINING.—Support shall be offered to States to train State and local building code officials to implement and enforce codes described in paragraph (1)(B).

“(4) LOCAL GOVERNMENTS.—States may work under this subsection with local governments that implement and enforce codes described in paragraph (1)(B).

“(g) VOLUNTARY PROGRAMS TO EXCEED MODEL BUILDING ENERGY CODE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall provide technical assistance, as described in subsection (e), for the development of voluntary programs that exceed the model building energy codes for residential and commercial buildings for use as—

“(A) voluntary incentive programs adopted by local, tribal, or State governments; and

“(B) nonbinding guidelines for energy-efficient building design.

“(2) TARGETS.—The voluntary programs described in paragraph (1) shall be designed—

“(A) to achieve substantial energy savings compared to the model building energy codes; and

“(B) to meet targets under section 307(b), if available, up to 3 to 6 years in advance of the target years.

“(h) STUDIES.—

“(1) GAO STUDY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study of the impacts of updating the national model building energy codes for residential and commercial buildings. In conducting the study, the Comptroller General shall consider and report, at a minimum—

“(i) the actual energy consumption savings stemming from updated energy codes compared to the energy consumption savings predicted during code development;

“(ii) the actual consumer cost savings stemming from updated energy codes compared to predicted consumer cost savings; and

“(iii) an accounting of expenditures of the Federal funds under each program authorized by this title.

“(B) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of the North American Energy Security and Infrastructure Act of 2015, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit a report to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives including the study findings and conclusions.

“(2) FEASIBILITY STUDY.—The Secretary, in consultation with building science experts from the National Laboratories and institutions of higher education, designers and builders of energy-efficient residential and commercial buildings, code officials, and other stakeholders, shall undertake a study of the feasibility, impact, economics, and merit of—

“(A) code improvements that would require that buildings be designed, sited, and constructed in a manner that makes the buildings more adaptable in the future to become zero-net-energy after initial construction, as advances are achieved in energy-saving technologies;

“(B) code procedures to incorporate a ten-year payback, not just first-year energy use, in trade-offs and performance calculations; and

“(C) legislative options for increasing energy savings from building energy codes, including additional incentives for effective State and local verification of compliance with and enforcement of a code.

“(3) ENERGY DATA IN MULTITENANT BUILDINGS.—The Secretary, in consultation with appropriate representatives of the utility, utility regulatory, building ownership, and other stakeholders, shall—

“(A) undertake a study of best practices regarding delivery of aggregated energy consumption information to owners and managers of residential and commercial buildings with multiple tenants and uses; and

“(B) consider the development of a memorandum of understanding between and among affected stakeholders to reduce barriers to the delivery of aggregated energy consumption information to such owners and managers.

“(i) EFFECT ON OTHER LAWS.—Nothing in this section or section 307 supersedes or modifies the application of sections 321 through 346 of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6291 et seq.).

“(j) FUNDING LIMITATIONS.—No Federal funds shall be—

“(1) used to support actions by the Secretary, or States, to promote or discourage the adoption of a particular building energy code, code provision, or energy saving target to a State or Indian tribe; or

“(2) provided to private third parties or non-governmental organizations to engage in such activities.”.

(c) FEDERAL BUILDING ENERGY EFFICIENCY STANDARDS.—Section 305 of the Energy Conservation and Production Act (42 U.S.C. 6834) is amended by striking “voluntary building energy code” in subsections (a)(2)(B) and (b) and inserting “model building energy code”.

(d) MODEL BUILDING ENERGY CODES.—

(1) AMENDMENT.—Section 307 of the Energy Conservation and Production Act (42 U.S.C. 6836) is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 307. SUPPORT FOR MODEL BUILDING ENERGY CODES.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall provide technical assistance, as described in subsection (c), for updating of model building energy codes.

“(b) TARGETS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall provide technical assistance, for updating the model building energy codes.

“(2) TARGETS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall provide technical assistance to States, Indian tribes, local governments, nationally recognized code and standards developers, and other interested parties for updating of model building energy codes by establishing one or more aggregate energy savings targets through rulemaking in accordance with section 553 of title 5, United States Code, to achieve the purposes of this section.

“(B) SEPARATE TARGETS.—Separate targets may be established for commercial and residential buildings.

“(C) BASELINES.—The baseline for updating model building energy codes shall be the 2009 IECC for residential buildings and ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2010 for commercial buildings.

“(D) SPECIFIC YEARS.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Targets for specific years shall be established and revised by the Secretary through rulemaking in accordance with section 553 of title 5, United States Code, and coordinated with nationally recognized code and standards developers at a level that—

“(I) is at the maximum level of energy efficiency that is technically feasible and cost effective, while accounting for the economic considerations under paragraph (4); and

“(II) promotes the achievement of commercial and residential high performance buildings through high performance energy efficiency (within the meaning of section 401 of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (42 U.S.C. 17061)).

“(ii) INITIAL TARGETS.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this clause, the Secretary shall establish initial targets under this subparagraph.

“(iii) DIFFERENT TARGET YEARS.—Subject to clause (i), prior to the applicable year, the Secretary may set a later target year for any of the model building energy codes described in subparagraph (A) if the Secretary determines that a target cannot be met.

“(E) SMALL BUSINESS.—When establishing targets under this paragraph through rulemaking, the Secretary shall ensure compliance with the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (5 U.S.C. 601 note; Public Law 104-121) for any indirect economic effect on small entities that is reasonably foreseeable and a result of such rule.

“(3) APPLIANCE STANDARDS AND OTHER FACTORS AFFECTING BUILDING ENERGY USE.—In establishing energy savings targets under paragraph (2), the Secretary shall develop and adjust the targets in recognition of potential savings and costs relating to—

“(A) efficiency gains made in appliances, lighting, windows, insulation, and building envelope sealing;

“(B) advancement of distributed generation and on-site renewable power generation technologies;

“(C) equipment improvements for heating, cooling, and ventilation systems and water heating systems;

“(D) building management systems and smart grid technologies to reduce energy use; and

“(E) other technologies, practices, and building systems regarding building plug load and other energy uses.

In developing and adjusting the targets, the Secretary shall use climate zone weighted averages for equipment efficiency for heating, cooling, ventilation, and water heating systems, using equipment that is actually installed.

“(4) ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS.—In establishing and revising energy savings targets under paragraph (2), the Secretary shall consider the economic feasibility of achieving the proposed targets established under this section and the potential costs and savings for consumers and building owners, by conducting a return on investment analysis, using a simple payback methodology over a 3-, 5-, and 7-year period. The Secretary shall not propose or provide technical or financial assistance for any code, provision in the code, or energy target, or amendment thereto, that has a payback greater than 10 years.

“(c) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO MODEL BUILDING ENERGY CODE-SETTING AND STANDARD DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall, on a timely basis, provide technical assistance to model building energy code-setting and standard development organizations consistent with the goals of this section.

“(2) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—The assistance shall include, as requested by the organizations, technical assistance in—

“(A) evaluating the energy savings of building energy codes;

“(B) assessing the economic considerations, under subsection (b)(4), of code or standards proposals or revisions;

“(C) building energy analysis and design tools;

“(D) energy simulation models;

“(E) building demonstrations;

“(F) developing definitions of energy use intensity and building types for use in model building energy codes to evaluate the efficiency impacts of the model building energy codes;

“(G) developing a performance-based pathway for compliance;

“(H) developing model building energy codes by Indian tribes in accordance with tribal law; and

“(I) code development meetings, including through direct Federal employee participation in committee meetings, hearings and online communication, voting, and presenting research and technical or economic analyses during such meetings.

“(3) EXCLUSION.—Except as provided in paragraph (2)(I), for purposes of this section, ‘technical assistance’ shall not include actions that promote or discourage the adoption of a particular building energy code, code provision, or energy savings target.

“(4) INFORMATION QUALITY AND TRANSPARENCY.—For purposes of this section, information provided by the Secretary, attendant to development of any energy savings targets, is influential information and shall satisfy the guidelines established by the Office of Management and Budget and published at 67 Federal Register 8,452 (Feb. 22, 2002).

“(d) AMENDMENT PROPOSALS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may submit timely model building energy code amendment proposals that are technically feasible, cost-effective, and technology-neutral to the model building energy code-setting and standard development organizations, with supporting evidence, sufficient to enable the model building energy codes to meet the targets established under subsection (b)(2).

“(2) PROCESS AND FACTORS.—All amendment proposals submitted by the Secretary shall be published in the Federal Register and made available on the Department of Energy website 90 days prior to any submittal to a code development body, and shall be subject to a public comment period of not less than 60 days. Information provided by the Secretary, attendant to submission of any amendment proposals, is influential information and shall satisfy the guidelines established by the Office of Management and Budget and published at 67 Federal Register 8,452 (Feb. 22, 2002). When calculating the costs and benefits of an amendment, the Secretary shall use climate zone weighted averages for equipment efficiency for heating, cooling, ventilation, and water heating systems, using equipment that is actually installed.

“(e) ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY.—The Secretary shall make publicly available the entire calculation methodology (including input assumptions and data) used by the Secretary to estimate the energy savings of code or standard proposals and revisions.

“(f) METHODOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.—The Secretary shall establish a methodology for evaluating cost effectiveness of energy code changes in multifamily buildings that incorporates economic parameters representative of typical multifamily buildings.

“(g) DETERMINATION.—

“(1) REVISION OF MODEL BUILDING ENERGY CODES.—If the provisions of the IECC or ASHRAE Standard 90.1 regarding building energy use are revised, the Secretary shall make a preliminary determination not later than 90 days after the date of the revision, and a final determination not later than 15 months after the date of the revision, on whether or not the revision—

“(A) improves energy efficiency in buildings compared to the existing IECC or ASHRAE Standard 90.1, as applicable;

“(B) meets the applicable targets under subsection (b)(2); and

“(C) is technically feasible and cost-effective.

“(2) CODES OR STANDARDS NOT MEETING CRITERIA.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary makes a preliminary determination under paragraph (1)(B) that a revised IECC or ASHRAE Standard 90.1 does not meet the targets established under subsection (b)(2), is not technically feasible, or is not cost-effective, the Secretary may at the same time provide technical assistance, as described in subsection (c), to the International Code Council or ASHRAE, as applicable, with proposed changes that would result in a model building energy code or standard that meets the criteria, and with supporting evidence. Proposed changes submitted by the Secretary shall be published in the Federal Register and made available on the Department of Energy website 90 days prior to any submittal to a code development body, and shall be subject to a public comment period of not less than 60 days. Information provided by the Secretary, attendant to submission of any amendment proposals, is influential information and shall satisfy the guidelines established by the Office of Management and Budget and published at 67 Federal Register 8,452 (Feb. 22, 2002).

“(B) INCORPORATION OF CHANGES.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—On receipt of the technical assistance, as described in subsection (c), the International Code Council or ASHRAE, as applicable, shall, prior to the Secretary making a final determination under paragraph (1), have

an additional 270 days to accept or reject the proposed changes made by the Secretary to the model building energy code or standard.

“(ii) FINAL DETERMINATION.—A final determination under paragraph (1) shall be on the final revised model building energy code or standard.

“(h) ADMINISTRATION.—In carrying out this section, the Secretary shall—

“(1) publish notice of targets, amendment proposals and supporting analysis and determinations under this section in the Federal Register to provide an explanation of and the basis for such actions, including any supporting modeling, data, assumptions, protocols, and cost-benefit analysis, including return on investment;

“(2) provide an opportunity for public comment on targets and supporting analysis and determinations under this section, in accordance with section 553 of title 5, United States Code; and

“(3) provide an opportunity for public comment on amendment proposals.

“(i) VOLUNTARY CODES AND STANDARDS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, any model building code or standard established under this section shall not be binding on a State, local government, or Indian tribe as a matter of Federal law.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The item relating to section 307 in the table of contents for the Energy Conservation and Production Act is amended to read as follows:

“Sec. 307. Support for model building energy codes.”.

SEC. 4152. VOLUNTARY NATURE OF BUILDING ASSET RATING PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Any program of the Secretary of Energy that may enable the owner of a commercial building or a residential building to obtain a rating, score, or label regarding the actual or anticipated energy usage or performance of a building shall be made available on a voluntary, optional, and market-driven basis.

(b) DISCLAIMER AS TO REGULATORY INTENT.—Information disseminated by the Secretary of Energy regarding the program described in subsection (a), including any information made available by the Secretary on a website, shall include language plainly stating that such program is not developed or intended to be the basis for a regulatory program by a Federal, State, local, or municipal government body.

CHAPTER 6—EPCA TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS**SEC. 4161. MODIFYING PRODUCT DEFINITIONS.**

(a) AUTHORITY TO MODIFY DEFINITIONS.—
(1) COVERED PRODUCTS.—Section 322 of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6292) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(c) MODIFYING DEFINITIONS OF COVERED PRODUCTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For any covered product for which a definition is provided in section 321, the Secretary may, by rule, unless prohibited herein, modify such definition in order to—

“(A) address significant changes in the product or the market occurring since the definition was established; and

“(B) better enable improvements in the energy efficiency of the product as part of an energy using system.

“(2) ANTIBACKSLIDING EXEMPTION.—Section 325(o)(1) shall not apply to adjustments to covered product definitions made pursuant to this subsection.

“(3) PROCEDURE FOR MODIFYING DEFINITION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Notice of any adjustment to the definition of a covered product and an explanation of the reasons therefor shall be published in the Federal Register and opportunity provided for public comment.

“(B) CONSENSUS REQUIRED.—Any amendment to the definition of a covered product under this subsection must have consensus support, as reflected in—

“(i) the outcome of negotiations conducted in accordance with the subchapter III of chapter 5 of title 5, United States Code (commonly known as the ‘Negotiated Rulemaking Act of 1990’); or

“(ii) the Secretary’s receipt of a statement that is submitted jointly by interested persons that are fairly representative of relevant points of view (including representatives of manufacturers of covered products, States, and efficiency advocates), as determined by the Secretary, which contains a recommended modified definition for a covered product.

“(4) EFFECT OF A MODIFIED DEFINITION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For any type or class of consumer product which becomes a covered product pursuant to this subsection—

“(i) the Secretary may establish test procedures for such type or class of covered product pursuant to section 323 and energy conservation standards pursuant to section 325(1);

“(ii) the Commission may prescribe labeling rules pursuant to section 324 if the Commission determines that labeling in accordance with that section is technologically and economically feasible and likely to assist consumers in making purchasing decisions;

“(iii) section 327 shall begin to apply to such type or class of covered product in accordance with section 325(ii)(1); and

“(iv) standards previously promulgated under section 325 shall not apply to such type or class of product.

“(B) APPLICABILITY.—For any type or class of consumer product which ceases to be a covered product pursuant to this subsection, the provisions of this part shall no longer apply to the type or class of consumer product.”.

(2) COVERED EQUIPMENT.—Section 341 of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6312) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(d) MODIFYING DEFINITIONS OF COVERED EQUIPMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For any covered equipment for which a definition is provided in section 340, the Secretary may, by rule, unless prohibited herein, modify such definition in order to—

“(A) address significant changes in the product or the market occurring since the definition was established; and

“(B) better enable improvements in the energy efficiency of the equipment as part of an energy using system.

“(2) ANTIBACKSLIDING EXEMPTION.—Section 325(o)(1) shall not apply to adjustments to covered equipment definitions made pursuant to this subsection.

“(3) PROCEDURE FOR MODIFYING DEFINITION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Notice of any adjustment to the definition of a type of covered equipment and an explanation of the reasons therefor shall be published in the Federal Register and opportunity provided for public comment.

“(B) CONSENSUS REQUIRED.—Any amendment to the definition of a type of covered equipment under this subsection must have consensus support, as reflected in—

“(i) the outcome of negotiations conducted in accordance with the subchapter III of chapter 5 of title 5, United States Code (commonly known as the ‘Negotiated Rulemaking Act of 1990’); or

“(ii) the Secretary’s receipt of a statement that is submitted jointly by interested persons that are fairly representative of relevant points of view (including representatives of manufacturers of covered equipment, States, and efficiency advocates), as determined by the Secretary, which contains a recommended modified definition for a type of covered equipment.

“(4) EFFECT OF A MODIFIED DEFINITION.—

“(A) For any type or class of equipment which becomes covered equipment pursuant to this subsection—

“(i) the Secretary may establish test procedures for such type or class of covered equipment pursuant to section 343 and energy conservation standards pursuant to section 325(1);

“(ii) the Secretary may prescribe labeling rules pursuant to section 344 if the Secretary determines that labeling in accordance with that

section is technologically and economically feasible and likely to assist purchasers in making purchasing decisions;

“(iii) section 327 shall begin to apply to such type or class of covered equipment in accordance with section 325(ii)(1); and

“(iv) standards previously promulgated under section 325, 342, or 346 shall not apply to such type or class of covered equipment.

“(B) For any type or class of equipment which ceases to be covered equipment pursuant to this subsection the provisions of this part shall no longer apply to the type or class of equipment.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS PROVIDING FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW.—

(1) Section 336 of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6306) is amended by striking “section 323,” each place it appears and inserting “section 322, 323,”; and

(2) Section 345(a)(1) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6316(a)(1)) is amended to read as follows:

“(1) the references to sections 322, 323, 324, and 325 of this Act shall be considered as references to sections 341, 343, 344, and 342 of this Act, respectively;”

SEC. 4162. CLARIFYING RULEMAKING PROCEDURES.

(a) COVERED PRODUCTS.—Section 325(p) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6295(p)) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1), (2), (3), and (4) as paragraphs (2), (3), (5), and (6), respectively;

(2) by inserting before paragraph (2) (as so redesignated by paragraph (1) of this subsection) the following:

“(1) The Secretary shall provide an opportunity for public input prior to the issuance of a proposed rule, seeking information—

“(A) identifying and commenting on design options;

“(B) on the existence of and opportunities for voluntary nonregulatory actions; and

“(C) identifying significant subgroups of consumers and manufacturers that merit analysis.”;

(3) in paragraph (3) (as so redesignated by paragraph (1) of this subsection)—

(A) in subparagraph (C), by striking “and” after “adequate;”;

(B) in subparagraph (D), by striking “standard.” and inserting “standard;”;

(C) by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(E) whether the technical and economic analytical assumptions, methods, and models used to justify the standard to be prescribed are—

“(i) justified; and

“(ii) available and accessible for public review, analysis, and use; and

“(F) the cumulative regulatory impacts on the manufacturers of the product, taking into account—

“(i) other government standards affecting energy use; and

“(ii) other energy conservation standards affecting the same manufacturers.”; and

(4) by inserting after paragraph (3) (as so redesignated by paragraph (1) of this subsection) the following:

“(4) RESTRICTION ON TEST PROCEDURE AMENDMENTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Any proposed energy conservation standards rule shall be based on the final test procedure which shall be used to determine compliance, and the public comment period on the proposed standards shall conclude no sooner than 180 days after the date of publication of a final rule revising the test procedure.

“(B) EXCEPTION.—The Secretary may propose or prescribe an amendment to the test procedures issued pursuant to section 323 for any type or class of covered product after the issuance of a notice of proposed rulemaking to prescribe an amended or new energy conservation standard for that type or class of covered

product, but before the issuance of a final rule prescribing any such standard, if—

“(i) the amendments to the test procedure have consensus support achieved through a rulemaking conducted in accordance with the subchapter III of chapter 5 of title 5, United States Code (commonly known as the ‘Negotiated Rulemaking Act of 1990’); or

“(ii) the Secretary receives a statement that is submitted jointly by interested persons that are fairly representative of relevant points of view (including representatives of manufacturers of the type or class of covered product, States, and efficiency advocates), as determined by the Secretary, which contains a recommendation that a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking is not necessary for the type or class of covered product.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 345(b)(1) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6316(b)(1)) is amended by striking “section 325(p)(4),” and inserting “section 325(p)(3), (4), and (6),”.

CHAPTER 7—ENERGY AND WATER EFFICIENCY

SEC. 4171. SMART ENERGY AND WATER EFFICIENCY PILOT PROGRAM.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) ELIGIBLE ENTITY.—The term “eligible entity” means—

(A) a utility;

(B) a municipality;

(C) a water district; and

(D) any other authority that provides water, wastewater, or water reuse services.

(2) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Energy.

(3) SMART ENERGY AND WATER EFFICIENCY PILOT PROGRAM.—The term “smart energy and water efficiency pilot program” or “pilot program” means the pilot program established under subsection (b).

(b) SMART ENERGY AND WATER EFFICIENCY PILOT PROGRAM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish and carry out a smart energy and water efficiency management pilot program in accordance with this section.

(2) PURPOSE.—The purpose of the smart energy and water efficiency pilot program is to award grants to eligible entities to demonstrate advanced and innovative technology-based solutions that will—

(A) increase and improve the energy efficiency of water, wastewater, and water reuse systems to help communities across the United States make significant progress in conserving water, saving energy, and reducing costs;

(B) support the implementation of innovative processes and the installation of advanced automated systems that provide real-time data on energy and water; and

(C) improve energy and water conservation, water quality, and predictive maintenance of energy and water systems, through the use of Internet-connected technologies, including sensors, intelligent gateways, and security embedded in hardware.

(3) PROJECT SELECTION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall make competitive, merit-reviewed grants under the pilot program to not less than 3, but not more than 5, eligible entities.

(B) SELECTION CRITERIA.—In selecting an eligible entity to receive a grant under the pilot program, the Secretary shall consider—

(i) energy and cost savings anticipated to result from the project;

(ii) the innovative nature, commercial viability, and reliability of the technology to be used;

(iii) the degree to which the project integrates next-generation sensors, software, hardware, analytics, and management tools;

(iv) the anticipated cost effectiveness of the pilot project in terms of energy efficiency savings, water savings or reuse, and infrastructure costs averted;

(v) whether the technology can be deployed in a variety of geographic regions and the degree to which the technology can be implemented on a smaller or larger scale, including whether the technology can be implemented by each type of eligible entity;

(vi) whether the technology has been successfully deployed elsewhere;

(vii) whether the technology is sourced from a manufacturer based in the United States; and

(viii) whether the project will be completed in 5 years or less.

(C) APPLICATIONS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (ii), an eligible entity seeking a grant under the pilot program shall submit to the Secretary an application at such time, in such manner, and containing such information as the Secretary determines to be necessary.

(ii) CONTENTS.—An application under clause (i) shall, at a minimum, include—

(I) a description of the project;

(II) a description of the technology to be used in the project;

(III) the anticipated results, including energy and water savings, of the project;

(IV) a comprehensive budget for the project;

(V) the names of the project lead organization and any partners;

(VI) the number of users to be served by the project; and

(VII) any other information that the Secretary determines to be necessary to complete the review and selection of a grant recipient.

(4) ADMINISTRATION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 300 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall select grant recipients under this section.

(B) EVALUATIONS.—The Secretary shall annually carry out an evaluation of each project for which a grant is provided under this section that—

(i) evaluates the progress and impact of the project; and

(ii) assesses the degree to which the project is meeting the goals of the pilot program.

(C) TECHNICAL AND POLICY ASSISTANCE.—On the request of a grant recipient, the Secretary shall provide technical and policy assistance to the grant recipient to carry out the project.

(D) BEST PRACTICES.—The Secretary shall make available to the public—

(i) a copy of each evaluation carried out under subparagraph (B); and

(ii) a description of any best practices identified by the Secretary as a result of those evaluations.

(E) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—The Secretary shall submit to Congress a report containing the results of each evaluation carried out under subparagraph (B).

(c) FUNDING.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—To carry out this section, the Secretary shall use not more than \$15,000,000 of amounts made available to the Secretary.

(2) PRIORITIZATION.—In funding activities under this section, the Secretary shall prioritize funding in the following manner:

(A) The Secretary shall first use any unobligated amounts made available to the Secretary to carry out the activities of the Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Office.

(B) After any amounts described in subparagraph (A) have been used, the Secretary shall then use any unobligated amounts (other than those described in subparagraph (A)) made available to the Secretary.

SEC. 4172. WATERSENSE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6201 et seq.) is amended by adding after section 324A the following:

“SEC. 324B. WATERSENSE.

“(a) WATERSENSE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—There is established within the Environmental Protection Agency a voluntary program, to be entitled ‘WaterSense’, to

identify water efficient products, buildings, landscapes, facilities, processes, and services that sensibly—

- “(A) reduce water use;
- “(B) reduce the strain on public and community water systems and wastewater and stormwater infrastructure;
- “(C) conserve energy used to pump, heat, transport, and treat water; and
- “(D) preserve water resources for future generations, through voluntary labeling of, or other forms of communications about, products, buildings, landscapes, facilities, processes, and services while still meeting strict performance criteria.

“(2) **DUTIES.**—The Administrator, coordinating as appropriate with the Secretary of Energy, shall—

- “(A) establish—
 - “(i) a WaterSense label to be used for items meeting the certification criteria established in this section; and
 - “(ii) the procedure, including the methods and means, by which an item may be certified to display the WaterSense label;
- “(B) conduct a public awareness education campaign regarding the WaterSense label;
- “(C) preserve the integrity of the WaterSense label by—

“(i) establishing and maintaining feasible performance criteria so that products, buildings, landscapes, facilities, processes, and services labeled with the WaterSense label perform as well or better than less water-efficient counterparts;

“(ii) overseeing WaterSense certifications made by third parties;

“(iii) using testing protocols, from the appropriate, applicable, and relevant consensus standards, for the purpose of determining standards compliance; and

“(iv) auditing the use of the WaterSense label in the marketplace and preventing cases of misuse; and

“(D) not more often than every six years, review and, if appropriate, update WaterSense criteria for the defined categories of water-efficient product, building, landscape, process, or service, including—

“(i) providing reasonable notice to interested parties and the public of any such changes, including effective dates, and an explanation of the changes;

“(ii) soliciting comments from interested parties and the public prior to any such changes;

“(iii) as appropriate, responding to comments submitted by interested parties and the public; and

“(iv) providing an appropriate transition time prior to the applicable effective date of any such changes, taking into account the timing necessary for the manufacture, marketing, training, and distribution of the specific water-efficient product, building, landscape, process, or service category being addressed.

“(b) **USE OF SCIENCE.**—In carrying out this section, and, to the degree that an agency action is based on science, the Administrator shall use—

“(1) the best available peer-reviewed science and supporting studies conducted in accordance with sound and objective scientific practices; and

“(2) data collected by accepted methods or best available methods (if the reliability of the method and the nature of the decision justify use of the data).

“(c) **DISTINCTION OF AUTHORITIES.**—In setting or maintaining standards for Energy Star pursuant to section 324A, and WaterSense under this section, the Secretary and Administrator shall coordinate to prevent duplicative or conflicting requirements among the respective programs.

“(d) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

“(1) **ADMINISTRATOR.**—The term ‘Administrator’ means the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency.

“(2) **FEASIBLE.**—The term ‘feasible’ means feasible with the use of the best technology, treat-

ment techniques, and other means that the Administrator finds, after examination for efficacy under field conditions and not solely under laboratory conditions, are available (taking cost into consideration).

“(3) **SECRETARY.**—The term ‘Secretary’ means the Secretary of Energy.

“(4) **WATER-EFFICIENT PRODUCT, BUILDING, LANDSCAPE, PROCESS, OR SERVICE.**—The term ‘water-efficient product, building, landscape, process, or service’ means a product, building, landscape, process, or service for a residence or a commercial or institutional building, or its landscape, that is rated for water efficiency and performance, the covered categories of which are—

- “(A) irrigation technologies and services;
- “(B) point-of-use water treatment devices;
- “(C) plumbing products;
- “(D) reuse and recycling technologies;
- “(E) landscaping and gardening products, including moisture control or water enhancing technologies;
- “(F) xeriscaping and other landscape conversions that reduce water use; and
- “(G) new water efficient homes certified under the WaterSense program.”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The table of contents for the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (Public Law 94-163; 42 U.S.C. 6201 et seq.) is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 324A the following new item:

“Sec. 324B. WaterSense.”.

Subtitle B—Accountability

CHAPTER 1—MARKET MANIPULATION, ENFORCEMENT, AND COMPLIANCE

SEC. 4211. FERC OFFICE OF COMPLIANCE ASSISTANCE AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION.

Section 319 of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 825q-1) is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 319. OFFICE OF COMPLIANCE ASSISTANCE AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION.

“(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—There is established within the Commission an Office of Compliance Assistance and Public Participation (referred to in this section as the ‘Office’). The Office shall be headed by a Director.

“(b) **DUTIES OF DIRECTOR.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Director of the Office shall promote improved compliance with Commission rules and orders by—

- “(A) making recommendations to the Commission regarding—
 - “(i) the protection of consumers;
 - “(ii) market integrity and support for the development of responsible market behavior;
 - “(iii) the application of Commission rules and orders in a manner that ensures that—

“(I) rates and charges for, or in connection with, the transmission or sale of electric energy subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission shall be just and reasonable and not unduly discriminatory or preferential; and

“(II) markets for such transmission and sale of electric energy are not impaired and consumers are not damaged; and

“(iv) the impact of existing and proposed Commission rules and orders on small entities, as defined in section 601 of title 5, United States Code (commonly known as the Regulatory Flexibility Act);

“(B) providing entities subject to regulation by the Commission the opportunity to obtain timely guidance for compliance with Commission rules and orders; and

“(C) providing information to the Commission and Congress to inform policy with respect to energy issues under the jurisdiction of the Commission.

“(2) **REPORTS AND GUIDANCE.**—The Director shall, as the Director determines appropriate, issue reports and guidance to the Commission and to entities subject to regulation by the Commission, regarding market practices, proposing improvements in Commission monitoring of market practices, and addressing potential improvements to both industry and Commission practices.

“(3) **OUTREACH.**—The Director shall promote improved compliance with Commission rules and orders through outreach, publications, and, where appropriate, direct communication with entities regulated by the Commission.”.

CHAPTER 2—MARKET REFORMS

SEC. 4221. GAO STUDY ON WHOLESALE ELECTRICITY MARKETS.

(a) **STUDY AND REPORT.**—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate a report describing the results of a study of whether and how the current market rules, practices, and structures of each regional transmission entity produce rates that are just and reasonable by—

(1) facilitating fuel diversity, the availability of generation resources during emergency and severe weather conditions, resource adequacy, and reliability, including the cost-effective retention and development of needed generation;

(2) promoting the equitable treatment of business models, including different utility types, the integration of diverse generation resources, and advanced grid technologies;

(3) identifying and addressing regulatory barriers to entry, market-distorting incentives, and artificial constraints on competition;

(4) providing transparency regarding dispatch decisions, including the need for out-of-market actions and payments, and the accuracy of day-ahead unit commitments;

(5) facilitating the development of necessary natural gas pipeline and electric transmission infrastructure;

(6) ensuring fairness and transparency in governance structures and stakeholder processes, including meaningful participation by both voting and nonvoting stakeholder representatives;

(7) ensuring the proper alignment of the energy and transmission markets by including both energy and financial transmission rights in the day-ahead markets;

(8) facilitating the ability of load-serving entities to self-supply their service territory load;

(9) considering, as appropriate, State and local resource planning; and

(10) mitigating, to the extent practicable, the disruptive effects of tariff revisions on the economic decisionmaking of market participants.

(b) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **LOAD-SERVING ENTITY.**—The term ‘load-serving entity’ has the meaning given that term in section 217 of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824q).

(2) **REGIONAL TRANSMISSION ENTITY.**—The term ‘regional transmission entity’ means a Regional Transmission Organization or an Independent System Operator, as such terms are defined in section 3 of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 796).

SEC. 4222. CLARIFICATION OF FACILITY MERGER AUTHORIZATION.

Section 203(a)(1)(B) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824b(a)(1)(B)) is amended by striking ‘such facilities or any part thereof’ and inserting ‘such facilities, or any part thereof, of a value in excess of \$10,000,000’.

CHAPTER 3—CODE MAINTENANCE

SEC. 4231. REPEAL OF OFF-HIGHWAY MOTOR VEHICLES STUDY.

(a) **REPEAL.**—Part I of title III of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6373) is repealed.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The table of contents for the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (Public Law 94-163; 89 Stat. 871) is amended—

(1) by striking the item relating to part I of title III; and

(2) by striking the item relating to section 385.

SEC. 4232. REPEAL OF METHANOL STUDY.

Section 400EE of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6374d) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (a); and
 (2) by redesignating subsections (b) and (c) as subsections (a) and (b), respectively.

SEC. 4233. REPEAL OF RESIDENTIAL ENERGY EFFICIENCY STANDARDS STUDY.

(a) REPEAL.—Section 253 of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 8232) is repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (Public Law 95-619; 92 Stat. 3206) is amended by striking the item relating to section 253.

SEC. 4234. REPEAL OF WEATHERIZATION STUDY.

(a) REPEAL.—Section 254 of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 8233) is repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (Public Law 95-619; 92 Stat. 3206) is amended by striking the item relating to section 254.

SEC. 4235. REPEAL OF REPORT TO CONGRESS.

(a) REPEAL.—Section 273 of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 8236b) is repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (Public Law 95-619; 92 Stat. 3206) is amended by striking the item relating to section 273.

SEC. 4236. REPEAL OF REPORT BY GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION.

(a) REPEAL.—Section 154 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (42 U.S.C. 8262a) is repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The table of contents for the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (Public Law 102-486; 106 Stat. 2776) is amended by striking the item relating to section 154.

(2) Section 159 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (42 U.S.C. 8262e) is amended by striking subsection (c).

SEC. 4237. REPEAL OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL ENERGY MANAGEMENT PLANNING AND COORDINATION WORKSHOPS.

(a) REPEAL.—Section 156 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (42 U.S.C. 8262b) is repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (Public Law 102-486; 106 Stat. 2776) is amended by striking the item relating to section 156.

SEC. 4238. REPEAL OF INSPECTOR GENERAL AUDIT SURVEY AND PRESIDENT'S COUNCIL ON INTEGRITY AND EFFICIENCY REPORT TO CONGRESS.

(a) REPEAL.—Section 160 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (42 U.S.C. 8262f) is amended by striking the section designation and heading and all that follows through “(c) INSPECTOR GENERAL REVIEW.—Each Inspector General” and inserting the following:

“SEC. 160. INSPECTOR GENERAL REVIEW.

“Each Inspector General”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (Public Law 102-486; 106 Stat. 2776) is amended by striking the item relating to section 160 and inserting the following:

“Sec. 160. Inspector General review.”.

SEC. 4239. REPEAL OF PROCUREMENT AND IDENTIFICATION OF ENERGY EFFICIENT PRODUCTS PROGRAM.

(a) REPEAL.—Section 161 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (42 U.S.C. 8262g) is repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (Public Law 102-486; 106 Stat. 2776) is amended by striking the item relating to section 161.

SEC. 4240. REPEAL OF NATIONAL ACTION PLAN FOR DEMAND RESPONSE.

(a) REPEAL.—Part 5 of title V of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 8279) is repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the National Energy Conservation

Policy Act (Public Law 95-619; 92 Stat. 3206; 121 Stat. 1665) is amended—

(1) by striking the item relating to part 5 of title V; and

(2) by striking the item relating to section 571.

SEC. 4241. REPEAL OF NATIONAL COAL POLICY STUDY.

(a) REPEAL.—Section 741 of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978 (42 U.S.C. 8451) is repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978 (Public Law 95-620; 92 Stat. 3289) is amended by striking the item relating to section 741.

SEC. 4242. REPEAL OF STUDY ON COMPLIANCE PROBLEM OF SMALL ELECTRIC UTILITY SYSTEMS.

(a) REPEAL.—Section 744 of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978 (42 U.S.C. 8454) is repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978 (Public Law 95-620; 92 Stat. 3289) is amended by striking the item relating to section 744.

SEC. 4243. REPEAL OF STUDY OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACTS OF INCREASED COAL PRODUCTION AND OTHER ENERGY DEVELOPMENT.

(a) REPEAL.—Section 746 of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978 (42 U.S.C. 8456) is repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978 (Public Law 95-620; 92 Stat. 3289) is amended by striking the item relating to section 746.

SEC. 4244. REPEAL OF STUDY OF THE USE OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS IN COMBUSTORS.

(a) REPEAL.—Section 747 of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978 (42 U.S.C. 8457) is repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978 (Public Law 95-620; 92 Stat. 3289) is amended by striking the item relating to section 747.

SEC. 4245. REPEAL OF SUBMISSION OF REPORTS.

(a) REPEAL.—Section 807 of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978 (42 U.S.C. 8483) is repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978 (Public Law 95-620; 92 Stat. 3289) is amended by striking the item relating to section 807.

SEC. 4246. REPEAL OF ELECTRIC UTILITY CONSERVATION PLAN.

(a) REPEAL.—Section 808 of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978 (42 U.S.C. 8484) is repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978 (Public Law 95-620; 92 Stat. 3289) is amended by striking the item relating to section 808.

(2) REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION.—Section 712 of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978 (42 U.S.C. 8422) is amended—

(A) by striking “(a) GENERALLY.—”; and

(B) by striking subsection (b).

SEC. 4247. TECHNICAL AMENDMENT TO POWERPLANT AND INDUSTRIAL FUEL USE ACT OF 1978.

The table of contents for the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978 (Public Law 95-620; 92 Stat. 3289) is amended by striking the item relating to section 742.

SEC. 4248. EMERGENCY ENERGY CONSERVATION REPEALS.

(a) REPEALS.—

(1) Section 201 of the Emergency Energy Conservation Act of 1979 (42 U.S.C. 8501) is amended—

(A) in the section heading, by striking “**FINDINGS AND**”;

(B) by striking subsection (a); and

(C) by striking “(b) PURPOSES.—”.

(2) Section 221 of the Emergency Energy Conservation Act of 1979 (42 U.S.C. 8521) is repealed.

(3) Section 222 of the Emergency Energy Conservation Act of 1979 (42 U.S.C. 8522) is repealed.

(4) Section 241 of the Emergency Energy Conservation Act of 1979 (42 U.S.C. 8531) is repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the Emergency Energy Conservation Act of 1979 (Public Law 96-102; 93 Stat. 749) is amended—

(1) by striking the item relating to section 201 and inserting the following:

“Sec. 201. Purposes.”; and

(2) by striking the items relating to sections 221, 222, and 241.

SEC. 4249. REPEAL OF STATE UTILITY REGULATORY ASSISTANCE.

(a) REPEAL.—Section 207 of the Energy Conservation and Production Act (42 U.S.C. 6807) is repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the Energy Conservation and Production Act (Public Law 94-385; 90 Stat. 1125) is amended by striking the item relating to section 207.

SEC. 4250. REPEAL OF SURVEY OF ENERGY SAVING POTENTIAL.

(a) REPEAL.—Section 550 of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 8258b) is repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The table of contents for the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (Public Law 95-619; 92 Stat. 3206; 106 Stat. 2851) is amended by striking the item relating to section 550.

(2) Section 543(d)(2) of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 8253(d)(2)) is amended by striking “, incorporating any relevant information obtained from the survey conducted pursuant to section 550”.

SEC. 4251. REPEAL OF PHOTOVOLTAIC ENERGY PROGRAM.

(a) REPEAL.—Part 4 of title V of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 8271 et seq.) is repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—The table of contents for the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (Public Law 95-619; 92 Stat. 3206) is amended—

(1) by striking the item relating to part 4 of title V; and

(2) by striking the items relating to sections 561 through 570.

SEC. 4252. REPEAL OF ENERGY AUDITOR TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION.

(a) REPEAL.—Subtitle F of title V of the Energy Security Act (42 U.S.C. 8285 et seq.) is repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for the Energy Security Act (Public Law 96-294; 94 Stat. 611) is amended by striking the items relating to subtitle F of title V.

CHAPTER 4—USE OF EXISTING FUNDS

SEC. 4261. USE OF EXISTING FUNDS.

Amounts required for carrying out this Act, other than section 1201, shall be derived from amounts appropriated under authority provided by previously enacted law.

TITLE V—NATIONAL ENERGY SECURITY CORRIDORS

SEC. 5001. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “National Energy Security Corridors Act”.

SEC. 5002. DESIGNATION OF NATIONAL ENERGY SECURITY CORRIDORS ON FEDERAL LANDS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 185) is amended as follows:

(1) In subsection (b)—

(A) by striking “(b)(1) For the purposes of this section ‘Federal lands’ means” and inserting the following:

“(b)(1) For the purposes of this section ‘Federal lands’—

“(A) except as provided in subparagraph (B), means”;

(B) by striking the period at the end of paragraph (1) and inserting “; and” and by adding at the end of paragraph (1) the following:

“(B) for purposes of granting an application for a natural gas pipeline right-of-way, means all lands owned by the United States except—

“(i) such lands held in trust for an Indian or Indian tribe; and

“(ii) lands on the Outer Continental Shelf.”.

(2) By redesignating subsection (b), as so amended, as subsection (z), and transferring such subsection to appear after subsection (y) of that section.

(3) By inserting after subsection (a) the following:

“(b) NATIONAL ENERGY SECURITY CORRIDORS.—

“(1) DESIGNATION.—In addition to other authorities under this section, the Secretary shall—

“(A) identify and designate suitable Federal lands as National Energy Security Corridors (in this subsection referred to as a ‘Corridor’), which shall be used for construction, operation, and maintenance of natural gas transmission facilities; and

“(B) incorporate such Corridors upon designation into the relevant agency land use and resource management plans or equivalent plans.

“(2) CONSIDERATIONS.—In evaluating Federal lands for designation as a National Energy Security Corridor, the Secretary shall—

“(A) employ the principle of multiple use to ensure route decisions balance national energy security needs with existing land use principles;

“(B) seek input from other Federal counterparts, State, local, and tribal governments, and affected utility and pipeline industries to determine the best suitable, most cost-effective, and commercially viable acreage for natural gas transmission facilities;

“(C) focus on transmission routes that improve domestic energy security through increasing reliability, relieving congestion, reducing natural gas prices, and meeting growing demand for natural gas; and

“(D) take into account technological innovations that reduce the need for surface disturbance.

“(3) PROCEDURES.—The Secretary shall establish procedures to expedite and approve applications for rights-of-way for natural gas pipelines across National Energy Security Corridors, that—

“(A) ensure a transparent process for review of applications for rights-of-way on such corridors;

“(B) require an approval time of not more than 1 year after the date of receipt of an application for a right-of-way; and

“(C) require, upon receipt of such an application, notice to the applicant of a predictable timeline for consideration of the application, that clearly delineates important milestones in the process of such consideration.

“(4) STATE INPUT.—

“(A) REQUESTS AUTHORIZED.—The Governor of a State may submit requests to the Secretary of the Interior to designate Corridors on Federal land in that State.

“(B) CONSIDERATION OF REQUESTS.—After receiving such a request, the Secretary shall respond in writing, within 30 days—

“(i) acknowledging receipt of the request; and

“(ii) setting forth a timeline in which the Secretary shall grant, deny, or modify such request and state the reasons for doing so.

“(5) SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF CORRIDORS.—In implementing this subsection, the Secretary shall coordinate with other Federal Departments to—

“(A) minimize the proliferation of duplicative natural gas pipeline rights-of-way on Federal lands where feasible;

“(B) ensure Corridors can connect effectively across Federal lands; and

“(C) utilize input from utility and pipeline industries submitting applications for rights-of-way to site corridors in economically feasible areas that reduce impacts, to the extent practicable, on local communities.

“(6) NOT A MAJOR FEDERAL ACTION.—Designation of a Corridor under this subsection, and incorporation of Corridors into agency plans under paragraph (1)(B), shall not be treated as a major Federal action for purpose of section 102 of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332).

“(7) NO LIMIT ON NUMBER OR LENGTH OF CORRIDORS.—Nothing in this subsection limits the number or physical dimensions of Corridors that the Secretary may designate under this subsection.

“(8) OTHER AUTHORITY NOT AFFECTED.—Nothing in this subsection affects the authority of the Secretary to issue rights-of-way on Federal land that is not located in a Corridor designated under this subsection.

“(9) NEPA CLARIFICATION.—All applications for rights-of-way for natural gas transmission facilities across Corridors designated under this subsection shall be subject to the environmental protections outlined in subsection (h).”.

(b) APPLICATIONS RECEIVED BEFORE DESIGNATION OF CORRIDORS.—Any application for a right-of-way under section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 185) that is received by the Secretary of the Interior before designation of National Energy Security Corridors under the amendment made by subsection (a) of this section shall be reviewed and acted upon independently by the Secretary without regard to the process for such designation.

(c) DEADLINE.—Within 2 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Interior shall designate at least 10 National Energy Security Corridors under the amendment made by subsection (a) in contiguous States referred to in section 368(b) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 15926(b)).

SEC. 5003. NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT.

The Secretary of the Interior shall promptly notify the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate of each instance in which any agency or official of the Department of the Interior fails to comply with any schedule established under section 15(c) of the Natural Gas Act (15 U.S.C. 717n(c)).

TITLE VI—ELECTRICITY RELIABILITY AND FOREST PROTECTION

SEC. 6001. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “Electricity Reliability and Forest Protection Act”.

SEC. 6002. VEGETATION MANAGEMENT, FACILITY INSPECTION, AND OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE ON FEDERAL LANDS CONTAINING ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Title V of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1761 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 512. VEGETATION MANAGEMENT, FACILITY INSPECTION, AND OPERATION, AND MAINTENANCE RELATING TO ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION FACILITY RIGHTS-OF-WAY.

“(a) GENERAL DIRECTION.—In order to enhance the reliability of the electricity grid and reduce the threat of wildfires to and from electric transmission and distribution rights-of-way and related facilities and adjacent property, the Secretary, with respect to public lands and other lands under the jurisdiction of the Secretary, and the Secretary of Agriculture, with respect to National Forest System lands, shall provide direction to ensure that all existing and future rights-of-way, however established (including by grant, special use authorization, and

easement), for electrical transmission and distribution facilities on such lands include provisions for utility vegetation management, facility inspection, and operation and maintenance activities that, while consistent with applicable law—

“(1) are developed in consultation with the holder of the right-of-way;

“(2) enable the owner or operator of a facility to operate and maintain the facility in good working order and to comply with Federal, State and local electric system reliability and fire safety requirements, including reliability standards established by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation and plans to meet such reliability standards;

“(3) minimize the need for case-by-case or annual approvals for—

“(A) routine vegetation management, facility inspection, and operation and maintenance activities within existing electrical transmission and distribution rights-of-way; and

“(B) utility vegetation management activities that are necessary to control hazard trees within or adjacent to electrical transmission and distribution rights-of-way; and

“(4) when review is required, provide for expedited review and approval of utility vegetation management, facility inspection, and operation and maintenance activities, especially activities requiring prompt action to avoid an adverse impact on human safety or electric reliability to avoid fire hazards.

“(b) VEGETATION MANAGEMENT, FACILITY INSPECTION, AND OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLANS.—

“(1) DEVELOPMENT AND SUBMISSION.—Consistent with subsection (a), the Secretary and the Secretary of Agriculture shall provide owners and operators of electric transmission and distribution facilities located on lands described in such subsection with the option to develop and submit a vegetation management, facility inspection, and operation and maintenance plan, that at each owner or operator’s transmission discretion may cover some or all of the owner or operator’s transmission and distribution rights-of-way on Federal lands, for approval to the Secretary with jurisdiction over the lands. A plan under this paragraph shall enable the owner or operator of a facility, at a minimum, to comply with applicable Federal, State, and local electric system reliability and fire safety requirements, as provided in subsection (a)(2). The Secretaries shall not have the authority to modify those requirements.

“(2) REVIEW AND APPROVAL PROCESS.—The Secretary and the Secretary of Agriculture shall jointly develop a consolidated and coordinated process for review and approval of—

“(A) vegetation management, facility inspection, and operation and maintenance plans submitted under paragraph (1) that—

“(i) assures prompt review and approval not to exceed 90 days;

“(ii) includes timelines and benchmarks for agency comments to submitted plans and final approval of such plans;

“(iii) is consistent with applicable law; and

“(iv) minimizes the costs of the process to the reviewing agency and the entity submitting the plans; and

“(B) amendments to the plans in a prompt manner if changed conditions necessitate a modification to a plan.

“(3) NOTIFICATION.—The review and approval process under paragraph (2) shall—

“(A) include notification by the agency of any changed conditions that warrant a modification to a plan;

“(B) provide an opportunity for the owner or operator to submit a proposed plan amendment to address directly the changed condition; and

“(C) allow the owner or operator to continue to implement those elements of the approved plan that do not directly and adversely affect the condition precipitating the need for modification.

“(4) CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION PROCESS.—The Secretary and the Secretary of Agriculture shall apply his or her categorical exclusion process under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) to plans developed under this subsection on existing transmission and distribution rights-of-way under this subsection.

“(5) IMPLEMENTATION.—A plan approved under this subsection shall become part of the authorization governing the covered right-of-way and hazard trees adjacent to the right-of-way. If a vegetation management plan is proposed for an existing transmission and distribution facility concurrent with the siting of a new transmission or distribution facility, necessary reviews shall be completed as part of the siting process or sooner. Once the plan is approved, the owner or operator shall provide the agency with only a notification of activities anticipated to be undertaken in the coming year, a description of those activities, and certification that the activities are in accordance with the plan.

“(6) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

“(A) VEGETATION MANAGEMENT, FACILITY INSPECTION, AND OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN.—The term ‘vegetation management, facility inspection, and operation and maintenance plan’ means a plan that—

“(i) is prepared by the owner or operator of one or more electrical transmission or distribution facilities to cover one or more electric transmission and distribution rights-of-way; and

“(ii) provides for the long-term, cost-effective, efficient and timely management of facilities and vegetation within the width of the right-of-way and adjacent Federal lands to enhance electricity reliability, promote public safety, and avoid fire hazards.

“(B) OWNER OR OPERATOR.—The terms ‘owner’ and ‘operator’ include contractors or other agents engaged by the owner or operator of a facility.

“(C) HAZARD TREE.—The term ‘hazard tree’ means any tree inside the right-of-way or located outside the right-of-way that has been found by the either the owner or operator of a transmission or distribution facility, or the Secretary or the Secretary of Agriculture, to be likely to fail and cause a high risk of injury, damage, or disruption within 10 feet or less of an electric power line or related structure if it fell.

“(c) RESPONSE TO EMERGENCY CONDITIONS.—If vegetation on Federal lands within, or hazard trees on Federal lands adjacent to, an electrical transmission or distribution right-of-way granted by the Secretary or the Secretary of Agriculture has contacted or is in imminent danger of contacting one or more electric transmission or distribution lines, the owner or operator of the transmission or distribution lines—

“(1) may prune or remove the vegetation to avoid the disruption of electric service and risk of fire; and

“(2) shall notify the appropriate local agent of the relevant Secretary not later than 24 hours after such removal.

“(d) COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE RELIABILITY AND SAFETY STANDARDS.—If vegetation on Federal lands within or adjacent to an electrical transmission or distribution right-of-way under the jurisdiction of each Secretary does not meet clearance requirements under standards established by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation, or by State and local authorities, and the Secretary having jurisdiction over the lands has failed to act to allow a transmission or distribution facility owner or operator to conduct vegetation management activities within 3 business days after receiving a request to allow such activities, the owner or operator may, after notifying the Secretary, conduct such vegetation management activities to meet those clearance requirements.

“(e) REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary or Secretary of Agriculture shall report requests and actions made under subsections (c) and (d) annually on each Secretary’s website.

“(f) LIABILITY.—An owner or operator of a transmission or distribution facility shall not be held liable for wildfire damage, loss or injury, including the cost of fire suppression, if—

“(1) the Secretary or the Secretary of Agriculture fails to allow the owner or operator to operate consistently with an approved vegetation management, facility inspection, and operation and maintenance plan on Federal lands under the relevant Secretary’s jurisdiction within or adjacent to a right-of-way to comply with Federal, State or local electric system reliability and fire safety standards, including standards established by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation; or

“(2) the Secretary or the Secretary of Agriculture fails to allow the owner or operator of the transmission or distribution facility to perform appropriate vegetation management activities in response to an identified hazard tree as defined under subsection (b)(6), or a tree in imminent danger of contacting the owner’s or operator’s transmission or distribution facility.

“(g) TRAINING AND GUIDANCE.—In consultation with the electric utility industry, the Secretary and the Secretary of Agriculture are encouraged to develop a program to train personnel of the Department of the Interior and the Forest Service involved in vegetation management decisions relating to transmission and distribution facilities to ensure that such personnel—

“(1) understand electric system reliability and fire safety requirements, including reliability standards established by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation;

“(2) assist owners and operators of transmission and distribution facilities to comply with applicable electric reliability and fire safety requirements; and

“(3) encourage and assist willing owners and operators of transmission and distribution facilities to incorporate on a voluntary basis vegetation management practices to enhance habitats and forage for pollinators and for other wildlife so long as the practices are compatible with the integrated vegetation management practices necessary for reliability and safety.

“(h) IMPLEMENTATION.—The Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture shall—

“(1) not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this section, prescribe regulations, or amend existing regulations, to implement this section; and

“(2) not later than two years after the date of the enactment of this section, finalize regulations, or amend existing regulations, to implement this section.

“(i) EXISTING VEGETATION MANAGEMENT, FACILITY INSPECTION AND OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLANS.—Nothing in this section requires an owner or operator to develop and submit a vegetation management, facility inspection, and operation and maintenance plan if one has already been approved by the Secretary or Secretary of Agriculture before the date of the enactment of this section.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1761 et seq.), is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 511 the following new item:

“Sec. 512. Vegetation management, facility inspection, and operation, and maintenance relating to electric transmission and distribution facility rights-of-way.”.

The Acting CHAIR. No amendment to that amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be in order except those printed in House Report 114-359. Each such amendment may be offered only in the order printed in the report, by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the re-

port equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question.

AMENDMENT NO. 1 OFFERED BY MR. UPTON

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 1 printed in House Report 114-359.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amend the table of contents to read as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

TITLE I—MODERNIZING AND PROTECTING INFRASTRUCTURE

Subtitle A—Energy Delivery, Reliability, and Security

- Sec. 1101. FERC process coordination.
- Sec. 1102. Resolving environmental and grid reliability conflicts.
- Sec. 1103. Emergency preparedness for energy supply disruptions.
- Sec. 1104. Critical electric infrastructure security.
- Sec. 1105. Strategic Transformer Reserve.
- Sec. 1106. Cyber Sense.
- Sec. 1107. State coverage and consideration of PURPA standards for electric utilities.
- Sec. 1108. Reliability analysis for certain rules that affect electric generating facilities.
- Sec. 1109. Increased accountability with respect to carbon capture, utilization, and sequestration projects.
- Sec. 1110. Reliability and performance assurance in Regional Transmission Organizations.
- Sec. 1111. Designation of National Energy Security Corridors on Federal lands.
- Sec. 1112. Vegetation management, facility inspection, and operation and maintenance on Federal lands containing electric transmission and distribution facilities.

Subtitle B—Hydropower Regulatory Modernization

- Sec. 1201. Protection of private property rights in hydropower licensing.
- Sec. 1202. Extension of time for FERC project involving W. Kerr Scott Dam.
- Sec. 1203. Hydropower licensing and process improvements.
- Sec. 1204. Judicial review of delayed Federal authorizations.
- Sec. 1205. Licensing study improvements.
- Sec. 1206. Closed-loop pumped storage projects.
- Sec. 1207. License amendment improvements.
- Sec. 1208. Promoting hydropower development at existing nonpowered dams.

TITLE II—ENERGY SECURITY AND DIPLOMACY

- Sec. 2001. Sense of Congress.
- Sec. 2002. Energy security valuation.
- Sec. 2003. North American energy security plan.
- Sec. 2004. Collective energy security.
- Sec. 2005. Authorization to export natural gas.

TITLE III—ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY

Subtitle A—Energy Efficiency

CHAPTER 1—FEDERAL AGENCY ENERGY EFFICIENCY

- Sec. 3111. Energy-efficient and energy-saving information technologies.
- Sec. 3112. Energy efficient data centers.
- Sec. 3113. Report on energy and water savings potential from thermal insulation.
- Sec. 3114. Federal purchase requirement.
- Sec. 3115. Energy performance requirement for Federal buildings.
- Sec. 3116. Federal building energy efficiency performance standards; certification system and level for Federal buildings.
- Sec. 3117. Operation of battery recharging stations in parking areas used by Federal employees.

CHAPTER 2—ENERGY EFFICIENT TECHNOLOGY AND MANUFACTURING

- Sec. 3121. Inclusion of Smart Grid capability on Energy Guide labels.
- Sec. 3122. Voluntary verification programs for air conditioning, furnace, boiler, heat pump, and water heater products.
- Sec. 3123. Facilitating consensus furnace standards.
- Sec. 3124. No warranty for certain certified Energy Star products.
- Sec. 3125. Clarification to effective date for regional standards.
- Sec. 3126. Internet of Things report.

CHAPTER 3—SCHOOL BUILDINGS

- Sec. 3131. Coordination of energy retrofitting assistance for schools.

CHAPTER 4—BUILDING ENERGY CODES

- Sec. 3141. Greater energy efficiency in building codes.
- Sec. 3142. Voluntary nature of building asset rating program.

CHAPTER 5—EPCA TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS

- Sec. 3151. Modifying product definitions.
- Sec. 3152. Clarifying rulemaking procedures.

CHAPTER 6—ENERGY AND WATER EFFICIENCY

- Sec. 3161. Smart energy and water efficiency pilot program.
- Sec. 3162. WaterSense.

Subtitle B—Accountability

CHAPTER 1—MARKET MANIPULATION, ENFORCEMENT, AND COMPLIANCE

- Sec. 3211. FERC Office of Compliance Assistance and Public Participation.

CHAPTER 2—MARKET REFORMS

- Sec. 3221. GAO study on wholesale electricity markets.
- Sec. 3222. Clarification of facility merger authorization.

CHAPTER 3—CODE MAINTENANCE

- Sec. 3231. Repeal of off-highway motor vehicles study.
- Sec. 3232. Repeal of methanol study.
- Sec. 3233. Repeal of residential energy efficiency standards study.
- Sec. 3234. Repeal of weatherization study.
- Sec. 3235. Repeal of report to Congress.
- Sec. 3236. Repeal of report by General Services Administration.
- Sec. 3237. Repeal of intergovernmental energy management planning and coordination workshops.
- Sec. 3238. Repeal of Inspector General audit survey and President's Council on Integrity and Efficiency report to Congress.
- Sec. 3239. Repeal of procurement and identification of energy efficient products program.
- Sec. 3240. Repeal of national action plan for demand response.

Sec. 3241. Repeal of national coal policy study.

Sec. 3242. Repeal of study on compliance problem of small electric utility systems.

Sec. 3243. Repeal of study of socioeconomic impacts of increased coal production and other energy development.

Sec. 3244. Repeal of study of the use of petroleum and natural gas in combustors.

Sec. 3245. Repeal of submission of reports.

Sec. 3246. Repeal of electric utility conservation plan.

Sec. 3247. Technical amendment to Power-plant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978.

Sec. 3248. Emergency energy conservation repeals.

Sec. 3249. Repeal of State utility regulatory assistance.

Sec. 3250. Repeal of survey of energy saving potential.

Sec. 3251. Repeal of photovoltaic energy program.

Sec. 3252. Repeal of energy auditor training and certification.

CHAPTER 4—USE OF EXISTING FUNDS

Sec. 3261. Use of existing funds.

Page 25, strike lines 1 through 11 and insert the following:

“(7) DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED INFORMATION.—In implementing this section, the Commission shall segregate critical electric infrastructure information or information that reasonably could be expected to lead to the disclosure of the critical electric infrastructure information within documents and electronic communications, wherever feasible, to facilitate disclosure of information that is not designated as critical electric infrastructure information.

Beginning on page 36, strike line 21 and all that follows through page 37, line 3 and insert the following:

(e) DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION.—Any information included in the Strategic Transformer Reserve plan, or shared in the preparation and development of such plan, the disclosure of which the agency reasonably foresees would cause harm to critical electric infrastructure, shall be deemed to be critical electric infrastructure information for purposes of section 215A(d) of the Federal Power Act.

Beginning on page 38, strike line 20 and all that follows through page 39, line 2 and insert the following:

(c) DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION.—Any vulnerability reported pursuant to regulations promulgated under subsection (b)(3), the disclosure of which the agency reasonably foresees would cause harm to critical electric infrastructure (as defined in section 215A of the Federal Power Act), shall be deemed to be critical electric infrastructure information for purposes of section 215A(d) of the Federal Power Act.

Amend section 1109 to read as follows:

SEC. 1109. INCREASED ACCOUNTABILITY WITH RESPECT TO CARBON CAPTURE, UTILIZATION, AND SEQUESTRATION PROJECTS.

(a) DOE EVALUATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Energy (in this section referred to as the “Secretary”) shall, in accordance with this section, annually conduct an evaluation, and make recommendations, with respect to each project conducted by the Secretary for research, development, demonstration, or deployment of carbon capture, utilization, and sequestration technologies (also known as carbon capture and storage and utilization technologies).

(2) SCOPE.—For purposes of this section, a project includes any contract, lease, cooper-

ative agreement, or other similar transaction with a public agency or private organization or person, entered into or performed, or any payment made, by the Secretary for research, development, demonstration, or deployment of carbon capture, utilization, and sequestration technologies.

(b) REQUIREMENTS FOR EVALUATION.—In conducting an evaluation of a project under this section, the Secretary shall—

(1) examine if the project has made advancements toward achieving any specific goal of the project with respect to a carbon capture, utilization, and sequestration technology; and

(2) evaluate and determine if the project has made significant progress in advancing a carbon capture, utilization, and sequestration technology.

(c) RECOMMENDATIONS.—For each evaluation of a project conducted under this section, if the Secretary determines that—

(1) significant progress in advancing a carbon capture, utilization, and sequestration technology has been made, the Secretary shall assess the funding of the project and make a recommendation as to whether increased funding is necessary to advance the project; or

(2) significant progress in advancing a carbon capture, utilization, and sequestration technology has not been made, the Secretary shall—

(A) assess the funding of the project and make a recommendation as to whether increased funding is necessary to advance the project;

(B) assess and determine if the project has reached its full potential; and

(C) make a recommendation as to whether the project should continue.

(d) REPORTS.—

(1) REPORT ON EVALUATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, and every 2 years thereafter, the Secretary shall—

(A) issue a report on the evaluations conducted and recommendations made during the previous year pursuant to this section; and

(B) make each such report available on the Internet website of the Department of Energy.

(2) REPORT.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, and every 3 years thereafter, the Secretary shall submit to the Subcommittee on Energy and Power of the Committee on Energy and Commerce and the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate a report on—

(A) the evaluations conducted and recommendations made during the previous 3 years pursuant to this section; and

(B) the progress of the Department of Energy in advancing carbon capture, utilization, and sequestration technologies, including progress in achieving the Department of Energy's goal of having an array of advanced carbon capture and sequestration technologies ready by 2020 for large-scale demonstration.

Insert after section 1110 the following:

SEC. 1111. DESIGNATION OF NATIONAL ENERGY SECURITY CORRIDORS ON FEDERAL LANDS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 185) is amended as follows:

(1) In subsection (b)—

(A) by striking “(b)(1) For the purposes of this section ‘Federal lands’ means” and inserting the following:

“(b)(1) For the purposes of this section ‘Federal lands’—

“(A) except as provided in subparagraph (B), means”;

(B) by striking the period at the end of paragraph (1) and inserting “; and” and by adding at the end of paragraph (1) the following:

“(B) for purposes of granting an application for a natural gas pipeline right-of-way, means all lands owned by the United States except—

“(i) such lands held in trust for an Indian or Indian tribe; and

“(ii) lands on the Outer Continental Shelf.”

(2) By redesignating subsection (b), as so amended, as subsection (z), and transferring such subsection to appear after subsection (y) of that section.

(3) By inserting after subsection (a) the following:

“(b) NATIONAL ENERGY SECURITY CORRIDORS.—

“(1) DESIGNATION.—In addition to other authorities under this section, the Secretary shall—

“(A) identify and designate suitable Federal lands as National Energy Security Corridors (in this subsection referred to as a ‘Corridor’), which shall be used for construction, operation, and maintenance of natural gas transmission facilities; and

“(B) incorporate such Corridors upon designation into the relevant agency land use and resource management plans or equivalent plans.

“(2) CONSIDERATIONS.—In evaluating Federal lands for designation as a National Energy Security Corridor, the Secretary shall—

“(A) employ the principle of multiple use to ensure route decisions balance national energy security needs with existing land use principles;

“(B) seek input from other Federal counterparts, State, local, and tribal governments, and affected utility and pipeline industries to determine the best suitable, most cost-effective, and commercially viable acreage for natural gas transmission facilities;

“(C) focus on transmission routes that improve domestic energy security through increasing reliability, relieving congestion, reducing natural gas prices, and meeting growing demand for natural gas; and

“(D) take into account technological innovations that reduce the need for surface disturbance.

“(3) PROCEDURES.—The Secretary shall establish procedures to expedite and approve applications for rights-of-way for natural gas pipelines across National Energy Security Corridors, that—

“(A) ensure a transparent process for review of applications for rights-of-way on such corridors;

“(B) require an approval time of not more than 1 year after the date of receipt of an application for a right-of-way; and

“(C) require, upon receipt of such an application, notice to the applicant of a predictable timeline for consideration of the application, that clearly delineates important milestones in the process of such consideration.

“(4) STATE INPUT.—

“(A) REQUESTS AUTHORIZED.—The Governor of a State may submit requests to the Secretary of the Interior to designate Corridors on Federal land in that State.

“(B) CONSIDERATION OF REQUESTS.—After receiving such a request, the Secretary shall respond in writing, within 30 days—

“(i) acknowledging receipt of the request; and

“(ii) setting forth a timeline in which the Secretary shall grant, deny, or modify such request and state the reasons for doing so.

“(5) SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF CORRIDORS.—In implementing this subsection, the Sec-

retary shall coordinate with other Federal Departments to—

“(A) minimize the proliferation of duplicative natural gas pipeline rights-of-way on Federal lands where feasible;

“(B) ensure Corridors can connect effectively across Federal lands; and

“(C) utilize input from utility and pipeline industries submitting applications for rights-of-way to site corridors in economically feasible areas that reduce impacts, to the extent practicable, on local communities.

“(6) NOT A MAJOR FEDERAL ACTION.—Designation of a Corridor under this subsection, and incorporation of Corridors into agency plans under paragraph (1)(B), shall not be treated as a major Federal action for purpose of section 102 of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332).

“(7) NO LIMIT ON NUMBER OR LENGTH OF CORRIDORS.—Nothing in this subsection limits the number or physical dimensions of Corridors that the Secretary may designate under this subsection.

“(8) OTHER AUTHORITY NOT AFFECTED.—Nothing in this subsection affects the authority of the Secretary to issue rights-of-way on Federal land that is not located in a Corridor designated under this subsection.

“(9) NEPA CLARIFICATION.—All applications for rights-of-way for natural gas transmission facilities across Corridors designated under this subsection shall be subject to the environmental protections outlined in subsection (h).”

(b) APPLICATIONS RECEIVED BEFORE DESIGNATION OF CORRIDORS.—Any application for a right-of-way under section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 185) that is received by the Secretary of the Interior before designation of National Energy Security Corridors under the amendment made by subsection (a) of this section shall be reviewed and acted upon independently by the Secretary without regard to the process for such designation.

(c) DEADLINE.—Within 2 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Interior shall designate at least 10 National Energy Security Corridors under the amendment made by subsection (a) in States referred to in section 368(b) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 15926(b)).

SEC. 1112. VEGETATION MANAGEMENT, FACILITY INSPECTION, AND OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE ON FEDERAL LANDS CONTAINING ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Title V of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1761 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 512. VEGETATION MANAGEMENT, FACILITY INSPECTION, AND OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE RELATING TO ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION FACILITY RIGHTS-OF-WAY.

“(a) GENERAL DIRECTION.—In order to enhance the reliability of the electric grid and reduce the threat of wildfires to and from electric transmission and distribution rights-of-way and related facilities and adjacent property, the Secretary, with respect to public lands and other lands under the jurisdiction of the Secretary, and the Secretary of Agriculture, with respect to National Forest System lands, shall provide direction to ensure that all existing and future rights-of-way, however established (including by grant, special use authorization, and easement), for electric transmission and distribution facilities on such lands include provisions for utility vegetation management, facility inspection, and operation and maintenance activities that, while consistent with applicable law—

“(1) are developed in consultation with the holder of the right-of-way;

“(2) enable the owner or operator of an electric transmission and distribution facility to operate and maintain the facility in good working order and to comply with Federal, State, and local electric system reliability and fire safety requirements, including reliability standards established by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation and plans to meet such reliability standards;

“(3) minimize the need for case-by-case or annual approvals for—

“(A) routine vegetation management, facility inspection, and operation and maintenance activities within existing electric transmission and distribution rights-of-way; and

“(B) utility vegetation management activities that are necessary to control hazard trees within or adjacent to electric transmission and distribution rights-of-way; and

“(4) when review is required, provide for expedited review and approval of utility vegetation management, facility inspection, and operation and maintenance activities, especially activities requiring prompt action to avoid an adverse impact on human safety or electric reliability to avoid fire hazards.

“(b) VEGETATION MANAGEMENT, FACILITY INSPECTION, AND OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLANS.—

“(1) DEVELOPMENT AND SUBMISSION.—Consistent with subsection (a), the Secretary and the Secretary of Agriculture shall provide owners and operators of electric transmission and distribution facilities located on lands described in such subsection with the option to develop and submit a vegetation management, facility inspection, and operation and maintenance plan, that at each owner or operator’s discretion may cover some or all of the owner or operator’s electric transmission and distribution rights-of-way on Federal lands, for approval to the Secretary with jurisdiction over the lands. A plan under this paragraph shall enable the owner or operator of an electric transmission and distribution facility, at a minimum, to comply with applicable Federal, State, and local electric system reliability and fire safety requirements, as provided in subsection (a)(2). The Secretaries shall not have the authority to modify those requirements.

“(2) REVIEW AND APPROVAL PROCESS.—The Secretary and the Secretary of Agriculture shall jointly develop a consolidated and coordinated process for review and approval of—

“(A) vegetation management, facility inspection, and operation and maintenance plans submitted under paragraph (1) that—

“(i) assures prompt review and approval not to exceed 90 days;

“(ii) includes timelines and benchmarks for agency comments on submitted plans and final approval of such plans;

“(iii) is consistent with applicable law; and

“(iv) minimizes the costs of the process to the reviewing agency and the entity submitting the plans; and

“(B) amendments to the plans in a prompt manner if changed conditions necessitate a modification to a plan.

“(3) NOTIFICATION.—The review and approval process under paragraph (2) shall—

“(A) include notification by the agency of any changed conditions that warrant a modification to a plan;

“(B) provide an opportunity for the owner or operator to submit a proposed plan amendment to address directly the changed condition; and

“(C) allow the owner or operator to continue to implement those elements of the approved plan that do not directly and adversely affect the condition precipitating the need for modification.

“(4) CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION PROCESS.—The Secretary and the Secretary of Agriculture shall apply his or her categorical exclusion process under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) to plans developed under this subsection on existing electric transmission and distribution rights-of-way under this subsection.

“(5) IMPLEMENTATION.—A plan approved under this subsection shall become part of the authorization governing the covered right-of-way and hazard trees adjacent to the right-of-way. If a vegetation management plan is proposed for an existing electric transmission and distribution facility concurrent with the siting of a new electric transmission or distribution facility, necessary reviews shall be completed as part of the siting process or sooner. Once the plan is approved, the owner or operator shall provide the agency with only a notification of activities anticipated to be undertaken in the coming year, a description of those activities, and certification that the activities are in accordance with the plan.

“(c) RESPONSE TO EMERGENCY CONDITIONS.—If vegetation on Federal lands within, or hazard trees on Federal lands adjacent to, an electric transmission or distribution right-of-way granted by the Secretary or the Secretary of Agriculture has contacted or is in imminent danger of contacting one or more electric transmission or distribution lines, the owner or operator of the electric transmission or distribution lines—

“(1) may prune or remove the vegetation to avoid the disruption of electric service and risk of fire; and

“(2) shall notify the appropriate local agent of the relevant Secretary not later than 24 hours after such removal.

“(d) COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE RELIABILITY AND SAFETY STANDARDS.—If vegetation on Federal lands within or adjacent to an electric transmission or distribution right-of-way under the jurisdiction of each Secretary does not meet clearance requirements under standards established by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation, or by State and local authorities, and the Secretary having jurisdiction over the lands has failed to act to allow an electric transmission or distribution facility owner or operator to conduct vegetation management activities within 3 business days after receiving a request to allow such activities, the owner or operator may, after notifying the Secretary, conduct such vegetation management activities to meet those clearance requirements.

“(e) REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary or Secretary of Agriculture shall report requests and actions made under subsections (c) and (d) annually on each Secretary's website.

“(f) LIABILITY.—An owner or operator of an electric transmission or distribution facility shall not be held liable for wildfire damage, loss, or injury, including the cost of fire suppression, if—

“(1) the Secretary or the Secretary of Agriculture fails to allow the owner or operator to operate consistently with an approved vegetation management, facility inspection, and operation and maintenance plan on Federal lands under the relevant Secretary's jurisdiction within or adjacent to a right-of-way to comply with Federal, State, or local electric system reliability and fire safety standards, including standards established by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation; or

“(2) the Secretary or the Secretary of Agriculture fails to allow the owner or operator

of the electric transmission or distribution facility to perform appropriate vegetation management activities in response to an identified hazard tree, or a tree in imminent danger of contacting the owner's or operator's electric transmission or distribution facility.

“(g) TRAINING AND GUIDANCE.—In consultation with the electric utility industry, the Secretary and the Secretary of Agriculture are encouraged to develop a program to train personnel of the Department of the Interior and the Forest Service involved in vegetation management decisions relating to electric transmission and distribution facilities to ensure that such personnel—

“(1) understand electric system reliability and fire safety requirements, including reliability standards established by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation;

“(2) assist owners and operators of electric transmission and distribution facilities to comply with applicable electric reliability and fire safety requirements; and

“(3) encourage and assist willing owners and operators of electric transmission and distribution facilities to incorporate on a voluntary basis vegetation management practices to enhance habitats and forage for pollinators and for other wildlife so long as the practices are compatible with the integrated vegetation management practices necessary for reliability and safety.

“(h) IMPLEMENTATION.—The Secretary and the Secretary of Agriculture shall—

“(1) not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this section, propose regulations, or amended existing regulations, to implement this section; and

“(2) not later than two years after the date of the enactment of this section, finalize regulations, or amended existing regulations, to implement this section.

“(i) EXISTING VEGETATION MANAGEMENT, FACILITY INSPECTION, AND OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLANS.—Nothing in this section requires an owner or operator to develop and submit a vegetation management, facility inspection, and operation and maintenance plan if one has already been approved by the Secretary or Secretary of Agriculture before the date of the enactment of this section.

“(j) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) HAZARD TREE.—The term ‘hazard tree’ means any tree inside the right-of-way or located outside the right-of-way that has been found by the either the owner or operator of an electric transmission or distribution facility, or the Secretary or the Secretary of Agriculture, to be likely to fail and cause a high risk of injury, damage, or disruption within 10 feet of an electric power line or related structure if it fell.

“(2) OWNER OR OPERATOR.—The terms ‘owner’ and ‘operator’ include contractors or other agents engaged by the owner or operator of an electric transmission and distribution facility.

“(3) VEGETATION MANAGEMENT, FACILITY INSPECTION, AND OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN.—The term ‘vegetation management, facility inspection, and operation and maintenance plan’ means a plan that—

“(A) is prepared by the owner or operator of one or more electric transmission or distribution facilities to cover one or more electric transmission and distribution rights-of-way; and

“(B) provides for the long-term, cost-effective, efficient, and timely management of facilities and vegetation within the width of the right-of-way and adjacent Federal lands to enhance electric reliability, promote public safety, and avoid fire hazards.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1761 et

seq.), is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 511 the following new item:

“Sec. 512. Vegetation management, facility inspection, and operation and maintenance relating to electric transmission and distribution facility rights-of-way.”.

Strike subtitle B of title I and redesignate subtitle C of such title as subtitle B.

Strike section 1301.

Redesignate sections 1302 through 1309 as sections 1201 through 1208, respectively.

Page 88, line 3, strike “1304” and insert “1203”.

Page 90, line 5, strike “1306” and insert “1205”.

Page 92, line 3, strike “1307” and insert “1206”.

Page 100, line 6, strike “1308” and insert “1207”.

Strike title II and redesignate titles III and IV as titles II and III, respectively.

Redesignate sections 3001 through 3004 as sections 2001 through 2004, respectively.

Page 117, line 11, insert “, the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology,” after “Energy and Commerce”.

Page 117, line 13, insert “, the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation,” after “Energy and Natural Resources”.

Strike section 3005.

Redesignate section 3006 as section 2005.

Redesignate sections 4111 through 4117 as sections 3111 through 3117, respectively.

Redesignate sections 4121 through 4123 as sections 3121 through 3123, respectively.

Page 157, beginning on line 15, strike “, to be exempted from disclosure under section 552(b)(4) of title 5, United States Code”.

Strike section 4124.

Redesignate sections 4125 through 4127 as sections 3124 through 3126, respectively.

Strike chapter 3 of subtitle A of title III, as redesignated by this amendment, and redesignate chapters 4 through 7 of such subtitle as chapters 3 through 6, respectively.

Redesignate section 4141 as section 3131.

Redesignate sections 4151 and 4152 as sections 3141 and 3142, respectively.

Page 174, line 22, strike “4116” and insert “3116”.

Redesignate sections 4161 and 4162 as sections 3151 and 3152, respectively.

Redesignate sections 4171 and 4172 as sections 3161 and 3162, respectively.

Beginning on page 218, strike line 12 and all that follows through page 219, line 2 and insert the following:

(c) FUNDING.—To carry out this section, the Secretary is authorized to use not more than \$15,000,000, to the extent provided in advance in appropriation Acts.

Redesignate section 4211 as section 3211.

Redesignate sections 4221 and 4222 as sections 3221 and 3222, respectively.

Redesignate sections 4231 through 4252 as sections 3231 through 3252, respectively.

Beginning on page 238, strike line 22 and all that follows through page 239, line 2 and insert the following:

CHAPTER 4—AUTHORIZATION

SEC. 3261 AUTHORIZATION.

There are authorized to be appropriated, out of funds authorized under previously enacted laws, amounts required for carrying out this Act and the amendments made by this Act.

Strike titles V and VI.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. UPTON) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Michigan.

□ 1545

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, this amendment strikes a number of provisions, some of which have already been enacted into law, and makes technical and conforming changes to the reported text of H.R. 8, H.R. 2295, and H.R. 2358. So the overall bill, I would say, H.R. 8, is a broad, bipartisan bill. It seeks to maximize America's energy potential, and it seeks to update and modernize outdated policies rooted in an era of energy scarcity to reflect today's era of energy abundance. I think that this is a good amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. RUSH. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Illinois is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. RUSH. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, how in the world did we get to this point? How did we get to the point of the majority party bringing forth this highly partisan, backwards-looking, does-more-harm-than-good so-called energy bill after all the time and all the effort that was put forth by both sides to come up with a bipartisan compromise?

Mr. Chairman, after working together for the majority of this year, literally moments before the full Energy and Commerce Committee was set to mark up this bill, the rug was pulled out from under the minority side, and the Republicans turned their collective back on the legislative compromise.

We were informed that the majority had reneged on its prior commitments, and what was initially supposed to be an infrastructure bill would contain no actual funding for any infrastructure projects—not one red cent.

In addition to reneging on a promise to fund a grid modernization program and a pipeline replacement program that would have benefited low-income consumers, the majority has also stripped the one provision of the bill that received widespread praise and support from both sides of the aisle.

The 21st Century Workforce title that my office had authored has been stripped from this awful excuse for a comprehensive energy bill.

It would seem, Mr. Chairman, that all of the care and support that my Republican colleagues professed to have for helping minorities, women, and veterans find good-paying energy jobs and careers has somehow not only disappeared, but has totally disappeared.

It would appear, Mr. Chairman, that due to the apathy and indifference of a few highly privileged desk jockey elitists from the Heritage Foundation, helping to improve the plight of millions of disadvantaged Americans who have been historically underserved and underemployed within the energy sector is now considered to be, to use their very words, "wasteful, ineffective, and inefficient."

So, what we are left, Mr. Chairman, with is this: What aspects of this bill can we take back to our constituents? What aspects of this bill can we tell our constituents with a straight face will help them improve their lives?

All this bill does, Mr. Chairman, is attempt to strip away oversight and roll back regulations in order to help industry game the system and increase its profit at the expense of the American people. Mr. Chairman, this bill is a sham, and it will actually take the Nation's energy policy backwards, all the way back.

Mr. Chairman, the 21st Century Workforce amendment represented a win for industry, a win for our communities, and a win for Americans all. Deleting this very provision that was unanimously approved in committee speaks volumes about the majority's commitment to minorities, to women, and to veterans. This bill, H.R. 8, leaves women behind, it leaves minorities behind, it leaves veterans behind, it leaves low-income communities behind, and it leaves America behind.

Mr. Chairman, for this reason, I oppose the bill.

I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, I ask for a favorable vote on the amendment.

I yield back the balance of my time. The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. UPTON).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. RUSH. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Michigan will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 2 OFFERED BY MR. TONKO

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 2 printed in House Report 114-359.

Mr. TONKO. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 4, line 5, through page 10, line 3, strike section 1101.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentleman from New York (Mr. TONKO) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New York.

Mr. TONKO. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, my amendment simply strikes section 1101 of the underlying bill. The section is a solution in search of a problem. The section's purported goal is to reinforce the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's role as the lead agency for siting interstate natural gas pipelines; however, I do not think there is any doubt over FERC's role in pipeline siting approval.

In reality, this section is designed to further expedite permitting for natural gas pipelines. But there is very little evidence that this process needs expediting, which ultimately would restrict States and other Federal agencies' ability to review projects and the public's ability to comment on them.

Mr. Chairman, the GAO looked at the approval process for pipelines by FERC and found 95 percent are approved within 2 years. When it takes longer, it is because the project is large or controversial due to taking of private property, traversing State or Federal land, or requiring placement of compression stations and other operation equipment in an area close to existing infrastructure or communities.

Even the industry agrees that pipeline approvals are happening. In October, Pipelines Digest, an industry publication, wrote:

Through April 30 of this year, FERC certified and placed in service almost twice as many natural gas projects and more than doubled the miles of pipeline that were put in service and certified through the same date in 2014.

We are building new pipelines. There is no problem that needs fixing. So what evidence is there that the certification process needs to be further tilted in favor of pipeline companies at the expense of environmental review and public comment? I would say there isn't any. Yet, Mr. Chairman, this section would require FERC to decide on a pipeline application within 90 days after the Commission issues its final environmental document, regardless of the complexity of the application.

It would also allow FERC to consider environmental data collected by aerial or other remote surveys instead of on-site inspections. This would enable pipeline companies to circumvent property owners' rights when surveying land, all in hopes of speeding up projects.

The siting of natural gas pipelines is complicated and can be controversial. I know this well since there are a number of projects currently being developed in or near the district I represent. I hear from my constituents about these projects regularly. They are very concerned, and they feel like they are being left out of this process. They are concerned about the safety and about the noise, air, and water pollution from the construction and operation of the pipeline's associated facilities. The pipeline companies do not have a problem. The public does.

We know that these types of projects, no matter how beneficial to the public interest, can be controversial. Someone is always unhappy about the selected route or placement of these facilities. But we need to do a better job of bringing the public along, and these provisions do the opposite.

Mr. Chairman, the public has a right to be part of large projects that impact their communities. Does that take extra time? Yes. Is it less convenient for the company? Yes. But these pipelines will be in service for many decades. If it is worth doing, it is worth

doing right. So I see no reason why we should be expediting projects if we cannot be sure they can be built in a safe and environmentally friendly manner.

We need to ensure State and Federal regulators are given the time needed to carefully review applications for the construction of natural gas pipelines and to ensure that the landowners and the general public have the ability to participate meaningfully in the siting process. This section undermines that process.

I urge support of the amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I yield the balance of my time to the gentlewoman from New Jersey (Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN) for a brief statement.

Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman from New York for yielding to me.

Mr. Chairman, I rise in strong support of the Tonko amendment and strongly urge its adoption.

Section 1101 of this misguided energy bill includes a critical provision that I would like to highlight. This language would allow big energy companies to use aerial and remote surveying to circumvent key FERC environmental reviews.

This troubling provision flies in the face of the rights of local governments and even private landowners to make decisions about the use of their own property. This provision allows Big Energy to bypass more comprehensive and appropriate on-the-ground surveys to assess the environmental impacts of energy infrastructure.

Mr. Chairman, there is one such project that New Jerseyans know all too well—the PennEast pipeline. PennEast is the proposed 108-mile natural gas pipeline that would run from Pennsylvania, across the Delaware River, and terminate in Hopewell Township in my district. If built, this pipeline would threaten some of the most environmentally sensitive areas in the Delaware River Basin, farmland, watersheds, and uninterrupted natural areas.

Virtually every local government along the PennEast route has officially lodged their opposition or disapproval. Concerned citizens have packed scoping meetings to make their voices heard to stop this pipeline. These are diverse communities across two States represented by Members of Congress on both sides of the aisle. Areas I represent, like Mercer County and Hopewell, and scores of private property owners have exercised their right to deny PennEast access to their property to carry out their surveys.

Mr. Chairman, my constituents sent me to Congress to fight for the environment and to stand up against ill-conceived projects such as this one.

Mr. TONKO. I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Michigan is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, I oppose this amendment. Section 1101 makes important improvements to FERC's process for reviewing interstate natural gas pipelines.

As we all know, the demand for natural gas is growing, which requires new and modernized pipeline infrastructure. It has got to happen.

Unfortunately, the permitting process is becoming increasingly complex and challenging. Rate hikes hit the families and businesses that can least afford it the hardest, the most vulnerable. So we have worked very diligently to find some agreement on this provision. We have held hearings, received technical assistance from FERC, and accepted many of their recommendations.

Section 1101 would authorize concurrent permitting reviews, require more transparency through the process, and allow for the use of new survey technology for citing pipelines.

Just yesterday, Mr. Chairman, in a hearing before the House Energy and Commerce Committee, FERC Chairman Bay acknowledged the need for new pipeline capacity and signaled his support for the enhanced transparency provisions and the regulatory dashboard that is required by section 1101.

So this amendment, if passed, would strike a commonsense approach to introduce greater public transparency and accountability for Federal and State permitting agencies, and therefore I would ask for a "no" vote on the amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New York (Mr. TONKO).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. TONKO. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New York will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 3 OFFERED BY MR. PETERS

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 3 printed in House Report 114-359.

Mr. PETERS. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 12, line 23, insert "and energy storage" after "infrastructure".

Page 13, line 19, insert "the energy storage industry," after "natural gas industry,".

Page 14, line 1, insert ", the energy storage industry," after "States".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentleman from California (Mr. PETERS) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

Mr. PETERS. Mr. Chairman, my amendment to the North American Energy Security and Infrastructure Act will directly enhance reliable energy security when our communities are most vulnerable during natural disasters. My amendment simply adds energy storage as a form of energy that the Department of Energy should consider to improve emergency preparedness.

□ 1600

The bill in its current form only addresses the need to have resilient oil and natural gas infrastructure, which we certainly should all support.

Energy storage encompasses technologies capable of storing previously generated electric energy and releasing that energy at a later time. It can include various types of batteries, capacitors, fuel cells, and more and has the potential to improve electric power grids, enable growth in renewable electricity generation, and provide alternatives to oil-based fuels in the Nation's transportation sector.

Grid-level energy storage is on track to reach 40 gigawatts in capacity by 2022, a hundredfold increase from 2013.

And natural disasters are becoming more and more common. Over the last 4 years, the Federal Government has spent more than \$136 billion on relief for hurricanes, tornados, droughts, wildfires, and other weather-related events.

We know that for every dollar we invest in preparedness and resiliency we save \$4 in cleanup and restoration, not to mention the lives that would be saved—something we cannot put a dollar value on.

Building up community resiliency by including energy storage in preparation plans will save lives and save money.

In San Diego, our utilities, including SDG&E, are testing and developing energy storage to accommodate renewable energy, which makes up 33 percent of its power.

Our school districts, including Poway Unified School District, are adding large-scale battery storage to their campuses that go beyond California's energy efficiency guidelines to save money as heat waves and temperatures continue to spike.

And our companies and universities, including UCSD, are part of the California State public-private partnership, CalCharge, that is developing the next generation of energy storage.

Ensuring that we are better able to withstand extreme weather events with added energy storage is just common sense. Including energy storage in this bill is a smart, forward-thinking step to equip States and localities with the tools they need both in advance and in the aftermath of natural disasters.

I ask my colleagues to support the amendment, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR (Mr. WOMACK). The gentleman from Michigan is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, I support the amendment. I think that it is a good amendment. It includes energy storage as a form of energy that DOE should consider to enhance emergency preparedness for energy supply disruptions during natural disasters.

It improves the bill, and I compliment the gentleman.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. PETERS. Mr. Chairman, I thank the chairman.

Thank you for your very hard work on this bill. I appreciate your consideration on inclusion of my amendment.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. PETERS).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 4 OFFERED BY MR. FRANKS OF ARIZONA

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 4 printed in House Report 114-359.

Mr. FRANKS of Arizona. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 17, after line 12, insert the following:

“(8) GRID SECURITY VULNERABILITY.—The term ‘grid security vulnerability’ means a weakness that, in the event of a malicious act using an electromagnetic pulse, would pose a substantial risk of disruption to the operation of those electrical or electronic devices or communications networks, including hardware, software, and data, that are essential to the reliability of the bulk-power system.

Page 26, after line 14, insert the following:

“(e) MEASURES TO ADDRESS GRID SECURITY VULNERABILITIES.—

“(1) COMMISSION AUTHORITY.—

“(A) RELIABILITY STANDARDS.—If the Commission, in consultation with appropriate Federal agencies, identifies a grid security vulnerability that the Commission determines has not adequately been addressed through a reliability standard developed and approved under section 215, the Commission shall, after notice and opportunity for comment and after consultation with the Secretary, other appropriate Federal agencies, and appropriate governmental authorities in Canada and Mexico, issue an order directing the Electric Reliability Organization to submit to the Commission for approval under section 215, not later than 30 days after the issuance of such order, a reliability standard requiring implementation, by any owner, operator, or user of the bulk-power system in the United States, of measures to protect the bulk-power system against such vulnerability. Any such standard shall include a protection plan, including automated hardware-based solutions. The Commission shall approve a reliability standard submitted pursuant to this subparagraph, unless the Commission determines that such reliability standard does not adequately protect against such vulnerability or otherwise does not satisfy the requirements of section 215.

“(B) MEASURES TO ADDRESS GRID SECURITY VULNERABILITIES.—If the Commission, after

notice and opportunity for comment and after consultation with the Secretary, other appropriate Federal agencies, and appropriate governmental authorities in Canada and Mexico, determines that the reliability standard submitted by the Electric Reliability Organization to address a grid security vulnerability identified under subparagraph (A) does not adequately protect the bulk-power system against such vulnerability, the Commission shall promulgate a rule or issue an order requiring implementation, by any owner, operator, or user of the bulk-power system in the United States, of measures to protect the bulk-power system against such vulnerability. Any such rule or order shall include a protection plan, including automated hardware-based solutions. Before promulgating a rule or issuing an order under this subparagraph, the Commission shall, to the extent practicable in light of the urgency of the need for action to address the grid security vulnerability, request and consider recommendations from the Electric Reliability Organization regarding such rule or order. The Commission may establish an appropriate deadline for the submission of such recommendations.

“(2) RESCISSION.—The Commission shall approve a reliability standard developed under section 215 that addresses a grid security vulnerability that is the subject of a rule or order under paragraph (1)(B), unless the Commission determines that such reliability standard does not adequately protect against such vulnerability or otherwise does not satisfy the requirements of section 215. Upon such approval, the Commission shall rescind the rule promulgated or order issued under paragraph (1)(B) addressing such vulnerability, effective upon the effective date of the newly approved reliability standard.

“(3) GEOMAGNETIC STORMS AND ELECTROMAGNETIC PULSE.—Not later than 6 months after the date of enactment of this section, the Commission shall, after notice and an opportunity for comment and after consultation with the Secretary and other appropriate Federal agencies, issue an order directing the Electric Reliability Organization to submit to the Commission for approval under section 215, not later than 6 months after the issuance of such order, reliability standards adequate to protect the bulk-power system from any reasonably foreseeable geomagnetic storm or electromagnetic pulse event. The Commission’s order shall specify the nature and magnitude of the reasonably foreseeable events against which such standards must protect. Such standards shall appropriately balance the risks to the bulk-power system associated with such events, including any regional variation in such risks, the costs of mitigating such risks, and the priorities and timing associated with implementation. If the Commission determines that the reliability standards submitted by the Electric Reliability Organization pursuant to this paragraph are inadequate, the Commission shall promulgate a rule or issue an order adequate to protect the bulk-power system from geomagnetic storms or electromagnetic pulse as required under paragraph (1)(B).

“(4) LARGE TRANSFORMER AVAILABILITY.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this section, the Commission shall, after notice and an opportunity for comment and after consultation with the Secretary and other appropriate Federal agencies, issue an order directing the Electric Reliability Organization to submit to the Commission for approval under section 215, not later than 1 year after the issuance of such order, reliability standards addressing availability of large transformers. Such standards shall require entities that own or operate large transformers to ensure, individually or joint-

ly, adequate availability of large transformers to promptly restore the reliable operation of the bulk-power system in the event that any such transformer is destroyed or disabled as a result of a geomagnetic storm event or electromagnetic pulse event. The Commission’s order shall specify the nature and magnitude of the reasonably foreseeable events that shall provide the basis for such standards. Such standards shall—

“(A) provide entities subject to the standards with the option of meeting such standards individually or jointly; and

“(B) appropriately balance the risks associated with a reasonably foreseeable event, including any regional variation in such risks, and the costs of ensuring adequate availability of spare transformers.

“(5) CERTAIN FEDERAL ENTITIES.—For the 11-year period commencing on the date of enactment of this section, the Tennessee Valley Authority and the Bonneville Power Administration shall be exempt from any requirement under this subsection.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. FRANKS) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Arizona.

Mr. FRANKS of Arizona. Mr. Chairman, I want first to thank the chairman of the Rules Committee, Mr. SESSIONS, for making this amendment in order, along with his committee members.

And I want to sincerely thank the chairman of the Energy and Commerce Committee, Mr. UPTON, for his support for the amendment and also just for the entire effort on his part in other committees of jurisdiction to move this underlying and critically important bill forward.

Mr. Chairman, our national security and the reliability of our electric grid are inextricably related. Without the grid, telecommunications no longer operate, transportation of every kind is profoundly affected, sewage and water treatment facilities stop, and a safe and continuous food supply is interrupted.

Contemporary society, Mr. Chairman, is not structured nor does it have the means to provide for the needs of nearly 300 million Americans without electricity. The current strategy for recovery from a failure of the electric grid leaves us ill-prepared to respond effectively to a significant manmade or naturally occurring electromagnetic pulse event that would potentially result in damage to vast numbers of the critical electric grid components nearly simultaneously or over an unprecedented geographic scale.

Mr. Chairman, the negative impacts on U.S. electric infrastructure are potentially catastrophic in a major EMP or severe space weather event unless practical steps are taken to provide protection for critical elements of the electric system.

Nearly a dozen studies, including those by DOD, DOE, the Army War College, the National Academy of Sciences, and the bipartisan Electromagnetic Pulse Commission have all

come to the same conclusion: The United States bulk power grid is critically vulnerable to severe space weather and electromagnetic pulse, and this represents a profound danger to this Nation.

We have now spent billions of dollars hardening our critical defense assets against electromagnetic pulse. However, the Department of Defense depends upon the unprotected civilian grid within the continual United States for 99 percent of their electricity needs without which they cannot effect their mission.

Some of America's most enlightened national security experts, as well as many of our enemies or potential enemies, consider a well-executed weaponized electromagnetic pulse against America to be a "kill shot" against America.

It is astonishing that our civilian grid remains fundamentally unprotected against a severe EMP, and for it to remain so is an open invitation to our enemies to exploit this dangerous vulnerability.

Mr. Chairman, my amendment amends section 215 of the Federal Power Act by creating a protocol for cooperation between industry and government in the development, promulgation, and implementation of standards and processes that are necessary to address the current shortcomings and vulnerabilities of the electric grid from a major EMP event.

This base bill does indeed provide for such protocols for the protection of the grid but only in a "grid security emergency," defined in the bill as the actual occurrence of the EMP event or the imminent danger of one, and only after the President issues a written directive declaring such an emergency.

Mr. Chairman, that is akin to having a parachute that opens on impact. The nature of this threat is such that if there is a true emergency it may be too late to effectively respond. My amendment is critical because it proactively encourages cooperation on a solution to our vulnerability before it is deemed an emergency.

Mr. Chairman, finally, I would just say that we live in a time where the vulnerabilities to our electric grid, our most critical infrastructure, are big enough to be seen and still small enough to be addressed. This is our moment.

I appeal to my colleagues to support this vital amendment to protect Americans and our national security from this dangerous threat.

Mr. UPTON. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. FRANKS of Arizona. I yield to the gentleman from Michigan.

Mr. UPTON. I would just say to the gentleman, I agree with what you have to say, that the electromagnetic pulse, EMP, and geomagnetic disturbances really do pose a real threat to the grid.

I think your amendment is constructive. It moves the bill forward. I have a few small concerns, but it is a good

amendment, and I certainly intend to vote for it.

Mr. FRANKS of Arizona. I thank the chairman more than I know how to say, and I hope that it comes to fruition as it should.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. RUSH. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition to the amendment, although I am not opposed to it.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman from Illinois is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. RUSH. Mr. Chairman, this amendment aims to address the threat of electromagnetic pulses and geomagnetic storms on the Nation's electric grid.

While I agree that we should protect our Nation's electric grid, I don't agree that we should only focus on these high-impact, low-frequency events. There are many other threats, Mr. Chairman, to the grid that deserve just as much focus.

The Franks amendment may undermine current FERC authority in the process for developing consistent technical standards for grid security already in place under Federal law.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. FRANKS).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 5 OFFERED BY MR. POLIQUIN

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 5 printed in House Report 114-359.

Mr. POLIQUIN. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 45, line 8, insert "(which may not be required to be for a period longer than one year)" after "contractual obligations".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentleman from Maine (Mr. POLIQUIN) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Maine.

Mr. POLIQUIN. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, the great State of Maine is blessed with natural resources. We have 3,000 miles of breathtaking coastline. We have healthy fisheries. We have an abundance of inland waterways, rivers, streams, lakes, and ponds, and we have an abundance of water as a result. We have potatoes and broccoli in our farming communities, and our landscape is dotted with small organic farms that continue to grow. And, most importantly, or as importantly, Maine is right in the middle of the country's wood basket.

Now, Mr. Chairman, when you cut a strand of trees, one can leave behind the branches and the bark for that matter to decompose and become part of the carbon cycle, or that bark and

branches and chips can be collected and transported to paper mills to burn energy or to burn to create energy to run the machinery to create paper, or they can be trucked to power plants to produce electricity.

Now, when this happens, it is the same carbon footprint if that biomass decays on the forest floor or if it is burned in a paper mill or an electric generating station.

This creates jobs, Mr. Chairman, for loggers and truckers, and also we help fuel our State economy and our Nation's economy by using this renewable, green, abundant, safe, homegrown biomass.

Many States, Mr. Chairman, have shifted away from foreign importation of oil for all kinds of reasons, not the least of which is national security. And, today, throughout our country, we are using more natural gas and oil developed here in our country, in America—also nuclear power, hydro, and biomass.

Today, Mr. Chairman, Federal regulations allow electric utilities to determine the reliability of the source of fuel they are burning to create electricity. Part of that reliability equation is the length of a contract to deliver that fuel source to the power plant.

If the reliability of that fuel source is not up to snuff, then that fuel source would result in electricity generated by that power plant not having full access to the power grid and not being able to sell its product, electricity, to the economy.

Some sources of fuel, like coal, for example, Mr. Chairman, are usually sold in 2- or 3-year contracts. The reason for that is because coal today is mostly used to generate electricity.

However, biomass is different. We can use branches and wood chips and bark and biomass that includes other organic materials to create pellets that are burned in wood stoves or to create mulch that gardeners use or also to create plywood and other materials. As a result, Mr. Chairman, biomass as a fuel source is usually sold in 1-year increments.

This bill, H.R. 8, the North American Energy Security and Infrastructure Act, where I am offering an amendment, Mr. Chairman, is a small technical amendment but a very important one, because what it does is it puts all fuel sources on a level playing field, able to compete in the market, such that biomass—a green, renewable, environmentally friendly, homegrown source of fuel for our electric generators—is not penalized.

This is good for the economy, Mr. Chairman. It is good for job creation. It strengthens our national security because it diversifies the fuel sources that we need to fuel and power our electric generators that are used in creating jobs and creating products throughout our country.

As a result, Mr. Chairman, I ask everybody in this Chamber, Republicans

and Democrats, today to support this commonsense amendment to help our State, to help our country, to help our economy, and to help our families live better lives.

□ 1615

Mr. UPTON. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. POLIQUIN. I yield to the gentleman from Michigan.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, I just want to say to my colleagues that this amendment clarifies that electric plants can be considered reliable without having to enter into supply contracts that are greater than a year.

I think that it is a good amendment, and we are willing to accept it.

Mr. POLIQUIN. I thank the chairman.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition to the gentleman's amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from New Jersey is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Chairman, the gentleman from Maine's amendment adds further specificity to the criteria defining fuel certainty, one of the three requirements that defines reliable generation in section 1107 of the bill.

The amendment to the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act, or PURPA, is already too prescriptive, in my view. The amendments in this legislation to capacity markets under the Federal Power Act in section 1110 and to PURPA in section 1107 are an attempt at micromanaging grid decisions.

I am not certain what the gentleman from Maine's amendment would be other than to ensure that no electric generation facility need enter into a contract with a fuel supplier that was any longer than 1 year.

I realize some problems have arisen in the New England capacity market, but I doubt this is the best way to address those problems.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Maine (Mr. POLIQUIN).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 6 OFFERED BY MR. VEASEY

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 6 printed in House Report 114-359.

Mr. VEASEY. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 58, after line 22, insert the following new subparagraph:

(C) ADDITIONAL REPORT.—The Secretary of Energy shall transmit to Congress a report on the potential commercial use of carbon capture, utilization, and storage technologies (including enhanced oil recovery), its potential effects on the economy and gross domestic product (GDP), and its contributions to the United States greenhouse gas emission reduction goals if widely uti-

lized at major carbon dioxide-emitting power plants.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. VEASEY) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. VEASEY. Mr. Chairman, I am pleased to offer an amendment that would require the Department of Energy to submit a report to Congress related to carbon capture, utilization, and sequestration, known as CCUS technologies.

This report would explore the potential effects that the commercial utilization of CCUS technologies would have on the Nation's economy and our gross domestic product. It would also examine what these technologies could contribute to our efforts to reach our greenhouse gas emission reduction goals.

My amendment is intended to supplement the CCUS evaluation report that is required by the underlying legislation. I am confident that this study's finding will provide concrete evidence that CCUS represents a way to benefit the economy and the environment while meeting our Nation's energy needs.

CCUS is a combination of technologies that allows industries to capture carbon, or CO₂, emissions for transport or storage before they are emitted into the atmosphere. These technologies have the potential to allow for the continued use of industries while decreasing the amount of CO₂ released into the environment.

America's recent energy boom has shown us that fossil fuels will continue to make up a sizable portion of our Nation's energy portfolio. So, as we continue to pursue an all-of-the-above energy policy, we must also be sure that we use these resources in an environmentally responsible fashion. Carbon capture technologies do achieve that goal. That is evident in the wide range of support it receives from industry as well as from environmental groups.

However, though much is understood about the various aspects of CCUS, commercial or large-scale deployment has not been achieved, and that is for a variety of different reasons. The absence of commercial projects has led to a fractured understanding of its widespread economic and environmental benefits.

So it is important for us to understand the potential economic benefits CCUS could hold for consumers and stakeholders if we continue to urge the Department of Energy to increase its investments in the research and development of these technologies.

The results of this study would also provide industry stakeholders and likely investors with concrete data to make those economic decisions.

Finally, as America continues to participate in the global effort to address climate change, we must also understand what CCUS can contribute to our

emission reduction goals. By considering long-term climate mitigation needs, this study could provide reason for the Department of Energy to continue to support CCUS technologies even if a DOE-supported project does not immediately succeed.

These technologies have a variety of possible applications, from oil recovery and so on, and it is time that we really understood how a large-scale deployment of this technology would benefit our country. So I urge my colleagues to support this amendment.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition to the gentleman's amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Michigan is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. UPTON. But I support the amendment.

Mr. Chairman, this amendment requires the Department of Energy to submit a report to Congress on the potential effects that the commercial utilization of carbon capture and sequestration could have on the economy, energy infrastructure, and greenhouse gas emission goals.

I support the amendment.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. VEASEY).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 7 OFFERED BY MR. MCKINLEY

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 7 printed in House Report 114-359.

Mr. MCKINLEY. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

In subtitle A of title I, add at the end the following new section:

SEC. 1111. ETHANE STORAGE STUDY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Energy and the Secretary of Commerce, in consultation with other relevant agencies and stakeholders, shall conduct a study on the feasibility of establishing an ethane storage and distribution hub in the United States.

(b) CONTENTS.—The study conducted under subsection (a) shall include—

- (1) an examination of—
 - (A) potential locations;
 - (B) economic feasibility;
 - (C) economic benefits;
 - (D) geological storage capacity capabilities;
 - (E) above ground storage capabilities;
 - (F) infrastructure needs; and
 - (G) other markets and trading hubs, particularly related to ethane; and
- (2) identification of potential additional benefits to energy security.

(c) PUBLICATION OF RESULTS.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretaries of Energy and Commerce shall publish the results of the study conducted under subsection (a) on the websites of the Departments of Energy and Commerce, respectively, and shall submit such results to the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives and the Committees on Energy and Natural Resources and Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentleman from West Virginia (Mr. MCKINLEY) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from West Virginia.

Mr. MCKINLEY. Mr. Chairman, I applaud the work of Chairman UPTON and his staff in their bringing this crucial energy bill to the floor, and I want to thank them for that.

Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of this amendment, which directs the Department of Energy and the Department of Commerce to conduct a study on the feasibility of establishing one or more ethane storage and distribution hubs in the United States. This study will also examine the potential benefits that an ethane storage hub would have on our Nation's energy security.

The extraction of natural gas from shale gas formations has increased dramatically over the last 15 years, and ethane is the largest component of that shale gas. Most of the ethane production is used in the petrochemical sector in order to make ethylene, a major component used in the feedstock for manufacturing.

Yet, while the ethane supply continues to grow, the lack of infrastructure and storage inhibits its potential for America's manufacturing economy. Establishing ethane storage and distribution hubs could bring about new markets for these stranded liquids and allow America's shale formations to achieve their full potential as critical national energy assets.

A revamped storage and distribution infrastructure will make our economy less vulnerable to potential unanticipated disruptions and will reduce transportation costs.

Furthermore, the results of this study and decentralization of ethane activity could encourage investment in manufacturing and the expansion of the petrochemical industry all across America.

Therefore, I urge my colleagues to support this amendment for a study.

Mr. UPTON. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. MCKINLEY. I yield to the gentleman from Michigan.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, this amendment is a good amendment. It directs the Secretary of Energy and the Secretary of Commerce, in consultation with other relevant agencies and stakeholders, to conduct a study on the feasibility of establishing an ethane storage and distribution hub in the U.S.

The gentleman and I have talked about it over the last number of months. I think it is a good amendment, and it adds to the bill, so I support the amendment.

Mr. MCKINLEY. Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from West Virginia (Mr. MCKINLEY).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 8 OFFERED BY MRS. ELLMERS OF NORTH CAROLINA

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 8 printed in House Report 114-359.

Mrs. ELLMERS of North Carolina. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of subtitle A of title I, add the following:

SEC. 11. STATEMENT OF POLICY ON GRID MODERNIZATION.

It is the policy of the United States to promote and advance—

(1) the modernization of the energy delivery infrastructure of the United States, and bolster the reliability, affordability, diversity, efficiency, security, and resiliency of domestic energy supplies, through advanced grid technologies;

(2) the modernization of the electric grid to enable a robust multi-directional power flow that leverages centralized energy resources and distributed energy resources, enables robust retail transactions, and facilitates the alignment of business and regulatory models to achieve a grid that optimizes the entire electric delivery system;

(3) relevant research and development in advanced grid technologies, including—

(A) energy storage;

(B) predictive tools and requisite real-time data to enable the dynamic optimization of grid operations;

(C) power electronics, including smart inverters, that ease the challenge of intermittent renewable resources and distributed generation;

(D) real-time data and situational awareness tools and systems; and

(E) tools to increase data security, physical security, and cybersecurity awareness and protection;

(4) the leadership of the United States in basic and applied sciences to develop a systems approach to innovation and development of cyber-secure advanced grid technologies, architectures, and control paradigms capable of managing diverse supplies and loads;

(5) the safeguarding of the critical energy delivery infrastructure of the United States and the enhanced resilience of the infrastructure to all hazards, including—

(A) severe weather events;

(B) cyber and physical threats; and

(C) other factors that affect energy delivery;

(6) the coordination of goals, investments to optimize the grid, and other measures for energy efficiency, advanced grid technologies, interoperability, and demand response-side management resources;

(7) partnerships with States and the private sector—

(A) to facilitate advanced grid capabilities and strategies; and

(B) to provide technical assistance, tools, or other related information necessary to enhance grid integration, particularly in connection with the development at the State and local levels of strategic energy, energy surety and assurance, and emergency preparedness, response, and restoration planning;

(8) the deployment of information and communications technologies at all levels of the electric system;

(9) opportunities to provide consumers with timely information and advanced control options;

(10) sophisticated or advanced control options to integrate distributed energy resources and associated ancillary services;

(11) open-source communications, database architectures, and common information model standards, guidelines, and protocols that enable interoperability to maximize efficiency gains and associated benefits among—

(A) the grid;

(B) energy and building management systems; and

(C) residential, commercial, and industrial equipment;

(12) private sector investment in the energy delivery infrastructure of the United States through targeted demonstration and validation of advanced grid technologies; and

(13) establishment of common valuation methods and tools for cost-benefit analysis of grid integration paradigms.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Mrs. ELLMERS) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from North Carolina.

Mrs. ELLMERS of North Carolina. Mr. Chairman, I rise today in support of this bipartisan amendment.

I join my colleague, Congressman JERRY MCNERNEY of California. Together, we chair the Grid Innovation Caucus with the belief that we need to have a bold and ambitious vision for modernizing our Nation's electric grid.

Our current electric infrastructure resembles that of the original grid built over 100 years ago. New technology has given us the opportunity to transform a 20th century grid into a 21st century grid, and my home State of North Carolina is helping to lead the way. In fact, North Carolina is the second-leading State in grid innovation technology development behind California.

There is a need to bring our electric grid and the entire electric system up to date in order to meet the changing demands of our digital economy. This amendment is simply a statement of policy and a blueprint for what we want our future grid to consist of and how we want it to perform. By adopting this amendment, we begin to develop a concrete plan to further secure our grid.

This is a conversation that needs to happen now, and this energy package moves the debate forward. Technology has given us the ability to further secure our grid from physical and cyber threats as well as increase the efficiency, reliability, and redundancy of this vital component.

I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on this amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. MCNERNEY).

Mr. MCNERNEY. Mr. Chairman, I thank my colleague from North Carolina for yielding and for her work on the Grid Innovation Caucus, which is one example of bipartisan cooperation for the good of the Nation.

I also join my colleague Mrs. ELLMERS in offering this bipartisan

amendment, which would establish a statement on grid modernization policy. This will establish a clear vision to achieve the future grid.

The grid is the core of our Nation's effort to transition to clean energy sources. That said, our current electric grid has much the same technology that was in place for the last 100 years. We need to improve and upgrade the grid to meet the 21st century demands and the demands of the digital economy.

The future grid must be reliable, secure, resilient, and affordable while integrating a range of resources and devices, including intermittent renewable energy, storage, and electric vehicles.

Having a national grid modernization policy, or vision, will help achieve these objectives while maintaining the secure, safe, reliable, and affordable power for which our Nation is known.

I thank my colleague, who is the co-chair of the Grid Innovation Caucus, and I urge a "yes" vote on the amendment.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition to the gentlewoman's amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Michigan is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, I support the amendment, and I congratulate the two on its being a bipartisan amendment. This makes a strong policy on grid modernization. I appreciate their work, and I urge my colleagues to support it.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Mrs. ELLMERS).

The amendment was agreed to.

□ 1630

AMENDMENT NO. 9, AS MODIFIED, OFFERED BY
MS. JACKSON LEE

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 9 printed in House Report 114-359.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Chair, I offer amendment No. 9, and I ask unanimous consent that it be modified in the form I have placed at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment, as modified, and report the modification.

The text of the amendment, as modified, is as follows:

At the end of subtitle A of title I, add the following:

SEC. 11. GRID RESILIENCE REPORT.

Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Energy shall submit to the Congress a report on methods to increase electric grid resilience with respect to all threats, including cyber attacks, vandalism, terrorism, and severe weather.

The Acting CHAIR. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Texas?

There was no objection.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the amendment is modified.

Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON LEE) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Texas.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Let me express my appreciation to Chairman UPTON and Ranking Member PALLONE and the Rules Committee for allowing this amendment to come to the floor. Let me thank Chairman SESSIONS and Ranking Member SLAUGHTER of the Rules Committee as well.

As I begin, let me acknowledge that I think we have a collective commitment and need to continue to assess the electric grid. According to a Department of Energy report on the economic benefits of increasing the electric grid resilience, the electric grid in the State of Texas is highly vulnerable to severe weather, cyber attacks, vandalism, and terrorism. Mr. Chairman, Texas is only an example.

I hold in my hand a letter from the Senate Committee on Veteran Affairs & Military Installations that has come to my attention and the House Committee on Defense and Veterans' Affairs to take note of the vulnerability. I use this letter from the State to only say that other States are in the same category.

That is why the Jackson Lee amendment is very relevant, because it requires a report to be promulgated upon our Nation's preparedness for challenges in energy as it pertains to cyber attacks, vandalism, terrorism, and severe weather.

I sit on the Homeland Security Committee's Cybersecurity, Infrastructure Protection, and Security Technologies Subcommittee, and we see every day vulnerabilities to the cybersecurity or the infrastructure. The importance of this amendment was underscored, as I indicated, in a letter that I received.

My amendment offers the option of the utilization of geothermal power, in addition to other renewable strategies, to address some of the energy insecurities faced by this Nation. In today's world of natural and manmade disasters in the energy sector, seeking and implementing complementary alternative measures, such as that proposed in my amendment, will help address some of the insecurity issues triggered by these disasters.

The natural disasters suffered in many of our home States, whether it is tornados or hurricanes, we know that the grid is an important survival asset for the Nation.

According to the DOE report, the average yearly cost of power outages from severe weather in the U.S. is between \$18 billion to \$33 billion. Cold weather in a number of States caused two emergencies that knocked out 9,355 megawatts.

These events warn us that key infrastructure facilities along the Gulf Coast and many other places continue to stress our grid. Thus, this amendment seeks to facilitate the United

States' exploration of possibilities, strategies, and utilities of promoting energy infrastructure.

I would ask my colleagues to join me in ensuring through this report that we are in front of it, if we can be, to strengthen our electric grid, to look for alternatives, to be ahead of cybersecurity attacks, vandalism, weather conditions, and assure the American public that they do have a resilient system that will last during times of great disaster.

I ask my colleagues to support the amendment.

Mr. Chair, let me express my appreciation to Chairman UPTON and Ranking Member PALLONE for their leadership and commitment to American energy infrastructure development, security, independence and economic growth.

I also wish to thank Chairman SESSIONS, Ranking Member SLAUGHTER, and the members of the Rules Committee for making in order Jackson Lee Amendment Number 9.

Mr. Chair, thank you for the opportunity to explain my amendment, which provides:

GRID RESILIENCE REPORT

Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Energy shall submit to Congress a report on methods to increase electric grid resilience with respect to all threats, including cyber attacks, vandalism, terrorism, and severe weather.

According to a Department of Energy Report on the Economic Benefits of Increasing Electric Grid Resilience, the electrical grid in the state of Texas is highly vulnerable to severe weather, cyber attacks, vandalism and terrorism.

This is why Jackson Lee Amendment Number 9 is very relevant because it requires a report to be promulgated on our nation's preparedness for challenges in energy, as pertains to cyber attacks, vandalism, terrorism and severe weather.

The importance of this Amendment was underscored in a letter addressed to me and other members of the Texas Delegation from the Texas Senate Veterans Affairs and Military Installations Committee and the Texas House Defense and Veteran's Affairs Committee.

My Amendment offers the option of the utilization of geothermal power in addition to other renewable strategies to address some of the energy insecurities faced by my home state of Texas and by our nation as a whole.

Across the nation from New Orleans to Georgia to New Jersey, we have all seen the devastation natural and man made disasters have wrought on the livelihood of Americans.

In today's world of natural and man-made disasters in the energy sector, seeking and implementing complementary alternative measures such as that proposed in my Amendment will help address some of the insecurity issues triggered by these disasters.

The natural disaster suffered in my home state of Texas is an example that underscores the imperative of a well informed report corroborated by data and facts.

Here are the recent facts: According to a DOE report, the average yearly cost of power outages from severe weather in the U.S. is between \$18-\$33 billion; Cold weather in Texas caused a level two emergency that knocked out 9,355 MW of power that drastically increased wholesale electricity prices 100 times the normal rate in January 2014;

Additionally, in 2014 alone, there were approximately eight major power outages in the Corpus Christi area, three of which affected nearby Navy bases.

These events warn us that key infrastructure facilities along the gulf coast operate 24/7 365 days a year, with ongoing powerful power demands, and there is a need for enormous and capable energy security infrastructures, prepared to handle natural and man-made disasters.

Thus, this Amendment seeks to facilitate the United State's exploration of the possibilities, strategies and the utility of promoting energy infrastructures.

Indeed, part of what I hope will be the result of the report requested by my Amendment are the timelines, actions and plans for bolstering energy security and infrastructure development in our nation.

Already we can see some of the potential dividends of investing in infrastructures that foster the utilization of our geothermal resources to promote energy security and efficiency.

A prime example is my home state of Texas.

Indeed, according to reports, Texas' geothermal resources can complement both off-site wind and solar projects and leverage the earth's constant heat in gulf coast pressurized zones and eliminate dependency on external fuel sources.

For example, the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) published a study in 2012 that determined a minimum of 2,500 Megawatts to the power of 3 (MW₃) of geothermal potential within the gulf coast region.

For those of us in the Gulf Coast, our geothermal can serve as an unlimited resource which can provide relief to facilities in need of clean, stable power and set a new standard for sustainability.

Additionally, geothermal resource can be instrumental in fostering our nation's renewable energy, while adding military value to our defense installations.

For all of these reasons, I urge my colleagues to join me and support Jackson Lee Amendment Number 9.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chair, I claim the time in opposition.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Michigan is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chair, I supported the amendment before it was revised. I support the amendment as revised.

This amendment directs the Secretary of Energy to submit to the House and Senate Energy Committees a report on methods to increase electric grid resilience with respect to all threats, including cyber attacks, vandalism, terrorism, and severe weather. Actually, as amended, it requires it submit to the Congress versus the specific committees.

I think it is a fine amendment, and I support it.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. I yield to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. PALLONE).

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Chairman, I want to also lend my support to the legisla-

tion on grid resiliency. I think it is very important. I appreciate the gentlewoman putting it forward.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Chairman, I include for the RECORD this letter from the Senate Committee on Veteran Affairs & Military Installations of the State of Texas and the House Committee on Defense and Veterans' Affairs.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON VETERAN AFFAIRS & MILITARY INSTALLATIONS AND HOUSE COMMITTEE ON DEFENSE AND VETERANS' AFFAIRS,

November 12, 2015.

DEAR HONORABLE JACKSON LEE: On behalf of the Texas Senate Committee on Veteran Affairs and Military Installations and the House Committee on Defense and Veterans' Affairs, we are writing to ask for your support for the development of geothermal energy along the Gulf Coast to provide onsite power and increased energy independence to critical infrastructure facilities that include Military bases such as Naval Air Station (NAS) Corpus Christi, Naval Air Station Kingsville, and the Ports of Corpus Christi and Brownsville.

The August 2013 Report of Economic Benefits of Increasing Electric Grid Resilience authored by the Department of Energy determined that in addition to cyber-attacks, vandalism, and terrorism, the electrical grid is highly vulnerable to severe weather. The average yearly cost of power outages from severe weather in the U.S. is between \$18-\$33 billion. Cold weather in Texas caused a level two emergency that knocked out 9,355 MW of power that drastically increased wholesale electricity prices 100 times the normal rate in January 2014. Additionally in 2014, there were approximately eight major power outages in the Corpus Christi area, three of which affected the nearby Navy bases. Key infrastructure facilities along the gulf coast operate 24/7/365 and their ongoing power demands are enormous; however, the need for cleaner and more cost effective renewables is also increasing.

The National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), who supports the military's renewable energy goal, published a study in April 2012 that determined a minimum of 2,500 MW of geothermal power potential within the gulf coast region and more recent review by geothermal energy developers have doubled that estimate. Our committees were briefed recently on a conceptual plan to generate as much as 10MW of geothermal power within a 2-acre area at NAS Corpus Christi and up to 5MW at NAS Kingsville. The Corpus Christi Army Depot who is a tenant on NAS Corpus Christi is also considering a plan through its Energy Service Company (ESCO) to utilize geothermal power with a MicroGrid on-site to enhance its energy security in case of power outage. This MicroGrid would complement other off-site renewable power sent from the local grid.

From a regulatory stand-point, the Energy Act of 2005, Presidential Executive Orders 13423 and 13513, and the Department of the Navy's own Renewable Energy Security Goals established by Navy Secretary Ray Mabus in October 2012 are some of the other drivers that are encouraging the military's use of any geographically available onsite renewable sources by 2015 and 2020 respectively. The Navy's 2012 report only considered 1.2MW Solar PV for on-site generation at NAS Corpus Christi; however we understand their renewable energy team has acknowledged Geothermal is an option that has still not been implemented.

Texas' Geothermal resources can complement both off-site wind and solar projects

and leverage the earth's constant heat in gulf coast geopressed zones and eliminate dependency on external fuel sources. This unlimited resource will provide relief to facilities in need of clean, stable power and set a new standard for sustainability while fostering renewable energy growth in Texas and adding military value to our defense installations.

As Chairs of the Texas military affairs committees, we ask for your support and advocacy of this approach to military leaders in Washington D.C. It will improve military value for our defense installations, create new jobs in the energy sector, and benefit the State of Texas as a whole. If you would like more information on the potential projects in Texas, please feel free to contact staff of either Committee.

Sincerely,

SENATOR DONNA CAMPBELL,
CHAIR,

Senate Veteran Affairs
& Military Installations Committee.

REPRESENTATIVE SUSAN L.

KING, CHAIR,
House Defense & Veterans' Affairs Committee.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Chairman, let me conclude by simply saying I thank both Mr. UPTON and Mr. PALLONE for joining in the unanimous consent to revise the amendment simply to say that this report on increasing methods to increase the electric grid resilience with respect to all threats, including cyber attacks, vandalism, terrorism, severe weather, will go to the Congress. I thank them very much.

I ask my colleagues to support the Jackson Lee amendment.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment, as modified, offered by the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON LEE).

The amendment, as modified, was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 10 OFFERED BY MR. KILDEE

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 10 printed in House Report 114-359.

Mr. KILDEE. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of subtitle A of title I, add the following:

SEC. 11. GAO REPORT ON IMPROVING NATIONAL RESPONSE CENTER.

The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study of ways in which the capabilities of the National Response Center could be improved.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. KILDEE) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Michigan.

Mr. KILDEE. Mr. Chair, the National Response Center is a joint operation between the U.S. Coast Guard, the EPA, and other agencies. It is the sole Federal point of contact for reporting hazardous substance releases and oil spills.

Essentially, it is our Nation's 911 for dangerous spills, staffed by the Coast Guard 24 hours a day, passing on reports to relevant national response teams.

Those teams then go to the site of a spill, assess the situation, determine the best way to mitigate exposure, and quickly clean up the spill. Often it is the Coast Guard being called upon to clean up a spill when it involves surface water.

Back in March I visited a Coast Guard station in my district to learn more about their operations. While I was there, we talked quite a bit about a serious deficiency in their capabilities, a deficiency that came to light during one of the greatest environmental disasters that our State has faced, and the chairman is quite aware of this.

In 2010, there was a large spill on the Kalamazoo River. It was the largest inland oil spill in the history of the U.S., in fact. The Coast Guard was called upon to help with those cleanup efforts.

When they arrived, however, they learned that the equipment that they had brought to the spill was for one type of oil—the oil that they believed to have been involved in this particular incident—but the oil in the Kalamazoo River was an entirely different type and consistency than what they had expected, and it required a different cleanup method.

Valuable time was lost as the Coast Guard actually had to return back to their station, hours away, to get the right equipment. Meanwhile, this spill continued into this river.

The terrible scope of the spill could have been much more easily mitigated had the National Response Center possessed the basic information regarding the contents of that particular pipeline so they could pass the information on to the Coast Guard to address the spill when it occurred.

Currently, these response teams are often flying blind as they head out to spills. Without this important information, the likelihood of much more serious damage, such as what we saw in 2010 in the Kalamazoo River, is much higher.

So I have been talking with lots of folks, including the people within the Coast Guard, about ways to improve their ability to address and respond to this type of spill.

The amendment that I have offered would simply require the GAO to conduct a study of ways in which the capabilities of the National Response Center could be improved, including providing additional information on the contents of these pipelines.

It would be an independent study that could then guide policymakers in improving the National Response Center, providing them the tools they need in the 21st century.

The National Response Center receives over 6,000 calls per year across the country on all different sorts of

spills. Giving the National Response Center the tools they need in order to respond to these incidents as quickly as possible with the right information is critical not only to protecting public health, but in preventing long-term damage to the environment.

Of course, coming from Michigan—in the district that I represent, the Great Lakes, I have 77 miles of shoreline—we are particularly concerned about surface water spills, and this information is absolutely critical. Forty million people depend on the Great Lakes for drinking water. We want to ensure that those who are charged with responding to accidents, such as the one we saw in Michigan, have all the information and tools available to them.

I ask my colleagues to support this amendment.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Michigan is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chair, I support the amendment. I want to say to my friend from the great State of Michigan that this is obviously an issue that is close to both of our hearts.

I want to go back. When I was first elected a few years ago, one of the first bills that I saw enacted into law was an oil spill response team for the Great Lakes. It was actually a visit, I think, now to your district, Bay City, back then, which had a fairly significant oil spill. We found out that the Coast Guard was totally unprepared. My amendment was added, I want to say, to a highway bill to get it done.

When we had the oil spill on the Kalamazoo River in Calhoun County a few years ago, we looked at that. We actually passed the Upton-Dingell—not the DEBBIE DINGELL, but the John Dingell—bill on pipeline safety, which I want to say passed this body with more than 400 votes.

It did a lot of good things, including one that was very important, which was, when there is an oil spill, it had to be reported to PHMSA within an hour versus on a timely basis. That was a big change.

Now that we expect the passage tomorrow of the highway bill, Chairman SHUSTER and myself will be working again to reauthorize the pipeline safety bill. I am led to believe that we will be prepared to start early next year to bring a bill to the floor. I look forward to your support.

□ 1645

Anything that we can do to improve the current system is a good thing, which is why I strongly support your amendment today.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. KILDEE. Mr. Chairman, I just want to thank the chairman for his good work on this. I look forward to working with him again on additional pipeline safety measures as they come

to the floor. I appreciate his support for my amendment.

I believe in quitting while I am ahead. With that, unless the ranking member would like time, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. KILDEE).

The amendment was agreed to.

The Acting CHAIR. The Chair understands that amendment No. 11 will not be offered.

AMENDMENT NO. 12 OFFERED BY MR. GARAMENDI

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 12 printed in House Report 114-359.

Mr. GARAMENDI. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 118, line 2, insert "transportation," after "distribution."

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentleman from California (Mr. GARAMENDI) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

Mr. GARAMENDI. Mr. Chairman, I am trying to figure out who would be opposed to this amendment, so maybe I will just talk my few minutes and go from there.

The bill deals with energy, and I am trying to figure out, let's see, energy that goes along in wires would be electrical energy. If it is coal, it is probably on a truck or a train. If it is oil or gas, it is on a pipeline or maybe in a truck, maybe in a boat or barge.

But this bill doesn't speak to the transportation of energy, so this amendment is extraordinarily important because it really says that, if you are going to study energy, you better study how you are going to get it to wherever it needs to go. This amendment, being such an important amendment, and so long—let's see, transportation. Wow, not even 15 letters. That is all it does. It simply adds the word "transportation" to the study section of this bill, requiring the Department of Energy, as it studies energy, to study how it gets from here to there. That is it.

Now, I can go on for another 4 minutes or so, but after doing so, it won't make any difference because we really need to study energy and figure out how it gets to where it needs to go. That is the amendment. Add the word "transportation" in it.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition but speak in support of the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman from Michigan is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, this amendment adds inclusion of the energy transportation to the list of considerations for the energy security

valuation report. Section 3002 requires the Secretary of Energy to establish transparent and uniform procedures and criteria to ensure that energy-related actions that significantly affect the supply, distribution, or use of energy are evaluated with respect to their potential impact on energy security, including their impact on the consumer and the economy and energy supply and diversity.

I think it is a good amendment. I urge my colleagues to support it.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. GARAMENDI. Mr. Chairman, I came in prepared for a brawl, and all I get is acceptance of an amendment. I think I will go with that and say thank you, Mr. Chairman, for the extraordinary wisdom that apparently we both seem to have.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. GARAMENDI).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 13 OFFERED BY MR. MCKINLEY

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 13 printed in House Report 114-359.

Mr. MCKINLEY. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of title III, add the following new section:

SEC. 3007. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW FOR ENERGY EXPORT FACILITIES.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, including any other provision of this Act and any amendment made by this Act, to the extent that the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) applies to the issuance of a permit for the construction, operation, or maintenance of a facility for the export of bulk commodities, no such permit may be denied until each applicable Federal agency has completed all reviews required for the facility under such Act.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentleman from West Virginia (Mr. MCKINLEY) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from West Virginia.

Mr. MCKINLEY. Mr. Chairman, again, I applaud the committee, and particularly the staff, for the hard work they have done in putting together this comprehensive piece of legislation on energy. It has been long overdue to have that energy bill, so I am delighted it is here on the floor.

I rise today in support of an amendment which is cosponsored by my colleague from Montana, Congressman ZINKE. This amendment will ensure that no permit for a coal export facility can be denied until all reviews required under the National Environmental Policy Act, known as NEPA, have been completed.

The NEPA review process is critical to ensure that the communities can provide input on any proposed project, and it allows the developer the opportunity to work with the citizens of a community and the regulatory agency to address any concerns that may arise. Denying a permit request for a coal export facility before the NEPA process is complete would send a precedent that indicates that those voices of affected parties don't matter and diminish the value of the NEPA process.

This amendment will ensure that a regulatory agency must first take into consideration the merits of the project, voices of the people, their thoughts, concerns, and the findings of the NEPA report before acting on a permit and simply not advancing an antioil ideology.

I urge my colleagues to support this amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from New Jersey is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Chairman, time after time, Democratic Members have come to the floor to strike bad NEPA language from bills, only to be voted down by Republicans who use streamlining as a euphemism for letting polluters do whatever they want. Now they expect us to believe that they are sincere about keeping NEPA strong in one perverse scenario in which they think it could help them. Well, I don't think that passes the smell test. What is more, the amendment undermines the treaty rights of the Lummi Nation and jeopardizes the sovereignty of all tribes with rights to natural resources.

Mr. Chairman, tomorrow we will be here on the House floor to vote on the conference report for a highway bill which includes, over the opposition of many Democrats, sweeping exemptions from the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act. I have no doubt that both of the sponsors of this amendment support those exemptions and will vote to pass the bill without a second thought about the fact that it short-circuits NEPA review for many, many infrastructure projects.

I am shocked to see them standing here with straight faces arguing that, when it benefits them and their friends in the coal industry, the NEPA process should be thorough and complete. It is a level of audacity that I think is almost laughable.

I urge my colleagues to vote "no" on this damaging and disingenuous amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MCKINLEY. Mr. Chairman, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Montana (Mr. ZINKE).

Mr. ZINKE. Mr. Chairman, to clarify, this amendment does not violate treat-

ty rights, and to suggest it does is disingenuous and false.

This is about fairness. It is not about two tribes. It is about fairness of a process. It would be unprecedented for the Army Corps of Engineers to bypass the EIS to make a decision, and that is what this amendment does.

It is not about coal. It is not about commodities, nor is it about treaty rights because, quite frankly, the Crow Tribe in Montana has treaty rights, too. This is not to pit one poor nation against a rich nation. It is about simple fairness.

It would be unprecedented for the Army Corps of Engineers or any government body to give judgment before the process is complete, and that is what we are asking for. The EIS is the process that needs to be done.

Mr. MCKINLEY. Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from West Virginia (Mr. MCKINLEY).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 14 OFFERED BY MR. GENE GREEN OF TEXAS

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 14 printed in House Report 114-359.

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of title III, insert the following new section:

SEC. 3007. AUTHORIZATION OF CROSS-BORDER INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS.

(a) FINDING.—Congress finds that the United States should establish a more uniform, transparent, and modern process for the construction, connection, operation, and maintenance of pipelines and electric transmission facilities for the import and export of liquid products, including water and petroleum, and natural gas and the transmission of electricity to and from Canada and Mexico.

(b) AUTHORIZATION OF CERTAIN INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS AT THE NATIONAL BOUNDARY OF THE UNITED STATES.—

(1) REQUIREMENT.—No person may construct, connect, operate, or maintain a cross-border segment of a pipeline or electric transmission facility for the import or export of liquid products or natural gas, or the transmission of electricity, to or from Canada or Mexico without obtaining a certificate of crossing for such construction, connection, operation, or maintenance under this subsection.

(2) CERTIFICATE OF CROSSING.—

(A) ISSUANCE.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days after final action is taken under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) with respect to a cross-border segment described in paragraph (1), the relevant official identified under subparagraph (B), in consultation with appropriate Federal agencies, shall issue a certificate of crossing for the cross-border segment unless the relevant official finds that the construction, connection, operation, or maintenance of the cross-border segment is not in the public interest of the United States.

(ii) **NATURAL GAS.**—For the purposes of natural gas pipelines, a finding with respect to the public interest under section 3(a) of the Natural Gas Act (15 U.S.C. 717b(a)) shall serve as a finding under clause (i) of this subparagraph.

(B) **RELEVANT OFFICIAL.**—The relevant official referred to in subparagraph (A) is—

(i) the Secretary of State with respect to liquid pipelines;

(ii) the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission with respect to natural gas pipelines; and

(iii) the Secretary of Energy with respect to electric transmission facilities.

(C) **ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENT FOR ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION FACILITIES.**—The Secretary of Energy shall require, as a condition of issuing a certificate of crossing for an electric transmission facility, that the cross-border segment be constructed, connected, operated, or maintained consistent with all applicable policies and standards of—

(i) the Electric Reliability Organization and the applicable regional entity; and

(ii) any Regional Transmission Organization or Independent System Operator with operational or functional control over the cross-border segment of the electric transmission facility.

(3) **MODIFICATIONS TO EXISTING PROJECTS.**—No certificate of crossing shall be required under this subsection for a change in ownership, volume expansion, downstream or upstream interconnection, or adjustment to maintain flow (such as a reduction or increase in the number of pump or compressor stations) with respect to a liquid or natural gas pipeline or electric transmission facility unless such modification would result in a significant impact at the national boundary.

(4) **EFFECT OF OTHER LAWS.**—Nothing in this subsection shall affect the application of any other Federal statute (including the Natural Gas Act and the Energy Policy and Conservation Act) to a project for which a certificate of crossing is sought under this subsection.

(c) **IMPORTATION OR EXPORTATION OF NATURAL GAS TO CANADA AND MEXICO.**—Section 3(c) of the Natural Gas Act (15 U.S.C. 717b(c)) is amended by adding at the end the following: “In the case of an application for the importation or exportation of natural gas to or from Canada or Mexico, the Commission shall grant the application not later than 30 days after the date of receipt of the complete application.”

(d) **TRANSMISSION OF ELECTRIC ENERGY TO CANADA AND MEXICO.**—

(1) **REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT TO SECURE ORDER.**—Section 202(e) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824a(e)) is repealed.

(2) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—

(A) **STATE REGULATIONS.**—Section 202(f) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824a(f)) is amended by striking “insofar as such State regulation does not conflict with the exercise of the Commission’s powers under or relating to subsection 202(e)”.

(B) **SEASONAL DIVERSITY ELECTRICITY EXCHANGE.**—Section 602(b) of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (16 U.S.C. 824a-4(b)) is amended by striking “the Commission has conducted hearings and made the findings required under section 202(e) of the Federal Power Act” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “the Secretary has conducted hearings and finds that the proposed transmission facilities would not impair the sufficiency of electric supply within the United States or would not impede or tend to impede the coordination in the public interest of facilities subject to the jurisdiction of the Secretary”.

(e) **EFFECTIVE DATE; RULEMAKING DEADLINES.**—

(1) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—Subsections (b) through (d), and the amendments made by such subsections, shall take effect on January 20, 2017.

(2) **RULEMAKING DEADLINES.**—Each relevant official described in subsection (b)(2)(B) shall—

(A) not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, publish in the Federal Register notice of a proposed rulemaking to carry out the applicable requirements of subsection (b); and

(B) not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, publish in the Federal Register a final rule to carry out the applicable requirements of subsection (b).

(f) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section—

(1) the term “cross-border segment” means the portion of a liquid or natural gas pipeline or electric transmission facility that is located at the national boundary of the United States with either Canada or Mexico;

(2) the terms “Electric Reliability Organization” and “regional entity” have the meanings given those terms in section 215 of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824o);

(3) the terms “Independent System Operator” and “Regional Transmission Organization” have the meanings given those terms in section 3 of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 796);

(4) the term “liquid” includes water, petroleum, petroleum product, and any other substance that flows through a pipeline other than natural gas; and

(5) the term “natural gas” has the meaning given that term in section 2 of the Natural Gas Act (15 U.S.C. 717a).

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GENE GREEN) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of an amendment that would create regulatory certainty with our neighbors, Canada and Mexico.

The Presidential permitting process dates back many administrations. Beginning in the administration of Ulysses S. Grant, the executive branch has taken steps to ensure our cross-border infrastructure between Canada and Mexico was constructed.

These past administrations and, indeed, the current administration have been forced to use executive orders because Congress has failed to act. Congress has a duty to regulate the commerce of the United States, and cross-border energy infrastructure projects fall well within that space.

We need to create a system with our neighbors, Mexico and Canada, to truly create a North American energy market, and that is what this amendment would do. We can’t build infrastructure in this country or in this continent based on who sits in the White House.

There are 11 cross-border projects awaiting a decision now by the Department of State and the President, including electricity wires and water pipelines.

It is Congress’ responsibility to create regulatory rules by which infrastructure is constructed. As a reminder of this, tomorrow we will pass the con-

ference report to the FAST Act. The FAST Act is a multiyear transportation bill that shows our determination to build infrastructure for the 21st century. Now we must build on that success and focus on our energy infrastructure.

This amendment would create a regulatory process at the Department of State, Department of Energy, and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to permit cross-border infrastructure. This is no different than building roads, bridges, or railways.

The Department of Transportation coordinates with Federal, State, and local agencies to ensure the project is completed and the environment protected. We will do the same thing with pipes and wires. We need to build electric transmission lines and pipelines to move resources from where they are to where they are needed.

The amendment complies with the National Environmental Policy Act and requires a full environmental review of any cross-border facility, including analysis of the climate change impacts. The entire length of the pipeline or electric transmission line will be reviewed for environmental impacts.

This amendment is about the future and how to meet the 21st century demands that our country needs. We should embrace the changes taking place in North America and harmonize our policies with those of our neighbors both to the north and south.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from New Jersey is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Chairman, this amendment makes an end run around the National Environmental Policy Act. The amendment would simply eliminate any meaningful review of the environmental impact of large transboundary infrastructure projects by redefining and significantly narrowing the scope of NEPA’s environmental review.

While a traditional NEPA review looks at the impacts of an entire project, this amendment restricts NEPA review only to that small portion that physically crosses the border, and that defies common sense. We are talking about massive projects that are more than just at border crossing.

When we approve a trans-boundary pipeline or transmission line, we are approving multibillion-dollar infrastructures that may stretch hundreds of miles and will last for decades. They cross through private property, water bodies, farms, sensitive lands, and over aquifers. They carry substances that can catch fire or spill and pollute the environment, and they have profound implications for climate change.

To understand the potential environmental impact of an energy project, we need to look at the project as a whole.

To ignore the potential environmental or safety risks for every part of the project except the tiny sliver of land at the national boundary makes no sense.

Imagine going to the doctor if you are feeling sick, and the doctor gives you a clean bill of health after looking only at your elbow. That is what this amendment does by redefining the scope of NEPA's inquiry to only encompass the step across the border. It makes the process of environmental review essentially meaningless, and no meaningful review means no opportunity to mitigate potential harm to public health, public safety, or the environment.

Mr. Chairman, NEPA provides policymakers with a critical tool to understand potential impacts and consider lower impact alternatives. NEPA doesn't dictate the outcome or, by itself, impose any constraints on projects.

□ 1700

Fundamentally, it requires us to look before we leap, and that is just basic common sense. We should not be punching loopholes in this law.

But the amendment doesn't just stop there. It also creates a rebuttable presumption that every cross-border project is in the public interest, tipping the scale in favor of their approval. And that is a subtle but significant change. Coupled with the small portion of projects being reviewed, the amendment makes it virtually impossible to ever prove that a project is not in the public interest.

Proponents of this amendment argue that a new process is necessary for reviewing and approving cross-border projects, but if Congress is going to establish new permitting rules through legislation, it should do so in a thoughtful and balanced way. Instead, this amendment creates a process that rubber stamps projects and eliminates meaningful environmental review and public participation.

Frankly, this amendment is just another attempt to bring TransCanada's Keystone XL pipeline back from the grave. The President has already rejected their application, and we have wasted enough time on this Canadian pipe dream.

The Keystone XL pipeline is a lose-lose proposition for energy security, a lose-lose for safe climate and a healthy environment. And we shouldn't be trying to create a weaker approval process to provide a new pathway for its approval.

Adoption of this amendment will undoubtedly benefit TransCanada and other multinational oil companies but will not help the American people that we are here to represent.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Mr. Chairman, how much time is remaining?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman has 2½ minutes remaining.

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Mr. Chairman, my good friend from New Jersey is actually incorrect. This amendment passed the House last session and didn't pass in the Senate. But it does have the NEPA process throughout, whether it is a pipeline or transmission line, from literally not just the border but also to the destination.

And it is not just Keystone. We have natural gas pipelines being built from Texas to Mexico. Twenty years from now, we will need those pipelines reversed to bring natural gas from Mexico to my chemical industries. That is what this amendment is about.

I yield the balance of my time to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. UPTON), the chair of the Energy and Commerce Committee.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, the Green amendment is very similar to the bill that I introduced last Congress and, as we know, did pass the House with some bipartisan support.

This amendment establishes a straightforward and predictable procedure to permit cross-border pipelines and electric transmission facilities.

It is not Keystone. We are over that battle. It is time to move beyond that. But we want certainty in these things.

This is an important amendment. In order for the U.S. to fully benefit from our energy abundance, we have to encourage rather than obstruct trade with our good neighbors, particularly the Canadians, as well as the Mexicans—an energy policy that works.

Let's do this. The amendment is a good one.

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Mr. Chairman, I just want to encourage Members to support the amendment. We need to bring our country and our trading partners on the north and south border together on energy issues. I encourage an "aye" vote.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GENE GREEN).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Texas will be postponed.

The Acting CHAIR. The Chair understands that amendment No. 15 will not be offered.

AMENDMENT NO. 16 OFFERED BY MR. TAKANO

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 16 printed in House Report 114-359.

Mr. TAKANO. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 133, after line 19, insert the following new section (and redesignate the subsequent sections accordingly):

SEC. 4114. BATTERY STORAGE REPORT.

Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall transmit to Congress a report on the potential of battery energy storage that answers the following questions:

(1) How do existing Federal standards impact the development and deployment of battery storage systems?

(2) What are the benefits of using existing battery storage technology, and what challenges exist to their widespread use? What are some examples of existing battery storage projects providing these benefits?

(3) What potential impact could large-scale battery storage and behind-the-meter battery storage have on renewable energy utilization?

(4) What is the potential of battery technology for grid-scale use nationwide? What is the potential impact of battery technology on the national grid capabilities?

(5) How much economic activity associated with large-scale and behind-the-meter battery storage technology is located in the United States? How many jobs do these industries account for?

(6) What policies other than the Renewable Energy Investment Tax Credit have research and available data shown to promote renewable energy use and storage technology deployment by State and local governments or private end-users?

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentleman from California (Mr. TAKANO) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

Mr. TAKANO. Mr. Chairman, I rise today in support of this bipartisan amendment which brings us one step closer to realizing the enormous potential of battery energy storage.

This technology is capable of transforming our energy landscape by storing power in times of excess production and releasing power in times of excess demand. It can make our grid more reliable and secure. It can save consumers money by replacing costly gas-powered peaker stations.

And, perhaps most importantly, it is compatible with any source of energy. Its compatibility with multiple power sources means we aren't picking winners and losers. Rather, we are increasing our capacity to use all sources of energy.

Battery energy storage is particularly promising in its ability to unlock the power of renewables, leading to a cleaner, more sustainable energy portfolio.

Even as the cost of renewable energy sources drops closer to that of fossil fuels, the viability of wind and solar power is limited by inconsistency. Put simply, the wind doesn't always blow and the sun doesn't always shine. Battery energy storage offers a solution to this challenge.

This week at the climate summit in Paris, we have heard about the importance of innovation in reaching our environmental goals. Battery storage is exactly the type of revolutionary technology that will help get us there, creating new jobs and economic growth in the process.

A GAO report on large-scale battery storage will help us make informed decisions about accelerating its growth while signaling our commitment to supporting the next chapter in America's energy infrastructure.

I am thankful to be joined by Mr. COLLINS of New York as well as my good friend Mr. HONDA of California.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition. Although am not opposed to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman from Michigan is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, I support the amendment.

I would note Mr. COLLINS is a member of our committee. He is a cosponsor of the amendment.

It is a good amendment. It needs to be included as part of this. I would urge my colleagues to vote "yes."

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. TAKANO. I thank the chairman for supporting this bipartisan amendment. I am honored to have that support. I encourage its adoption.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. TAKANO).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 17 OFFERED BY MR. BEYER

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 17 printed in House Report 114-359.

Mr. BEYER. Mr. Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Strike page 147, line 9, through page 149, line 6.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. BEYER) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Virginia.

Mr. BEYER. Mr. Chair, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chair, my amendment preserves section 433.

H.R. 8, the North American Energy Security and Infrastructure Act, deliberately removes the energy usage goals for Federal buildings.

In 2007, under the Energy Independence and Security Act, our last energy infrastructure overhaul bill, a provision was included that set a goal for new Federal buildings to have net-zero energy usage by 2030. This naturally also meant the Federal Government would have a corresponding goal of reducing fossil-fuel-generated electricity consumption in its buildings.

This provision was forward-thinking. The Federal Government will lead by example in the transition to less-pol-

luting buildings and show what the next generation of infrastructure should look like.

Now is not the time to roll back this goal and abandon our leadership. When people mention how H.R. 8 would take us back to a 19th century economy, this is one clear example they can point to.

Commercial and residential buildings account for 39 percent of the Nation's carbon emissions. To ignore this source of pollution at a time when we are trying to keep temperatures from rising less than 2 degrees centigrade isn't just negligent, it ignores our responsibility to be a good steward of the Earth and leave it in good condition for generations to come.

With the Federal Government as the largest consumer of energy in the U.S., we must be the leader. This effort is under attack because of outdated feasibility concerns—concerns which have already been addressed. Last year, the Department of Energy proposed a rule that charts a path forward to reach the 2030 goal that is both technically possible and plausible.

I also want to address some myths about section 433. Some have characterized it as "a ban on the Federal Government using energy from fossil fuel," but the law does no such thing. In fact, at no point does this provision in the current law require zero fossil fuel use for any building designed or renovated before 2030.

And despite objections from my friends at the American Gas Association, the Department of Energy actually proposed carve-outs for onsite natural gas usage in highly efficient combined heat and power systems. Natural gas may actually be an important part of the solution of getting to net-zero energy usage.

Requiring Federal buildings to meet aggressive energy targets not only reduces taxpayer costs through energy savings, it also reduces our dependence on foreign oil and leverages the government's large purchasing power to bring new technologies and materials to the marketplace. If we eliminate section 433, it could cost American consumers \$700 million in savings over the next 25 years.

According to the American Institute of Architects, not only are the current targets achievable, but some buildings are already meeting the 2030 goals right now. The EU has adopted a similar goal but with a shorter time horizon.

Mr. Chair, during my 4 years in Switzerland, we cut the carbon footprint of the U.S. Embassy in half and reduced the carbon footprint of our home to zero.

In 2013, Walgreens opened a net-zero energy retail space in Evanston, Illinois. In 2015, a True Value hardware store was the first net-zero retail store in New York State.

Within the Federal Government, our military has also taken a lead on this important effort and used the goal as a

means to reduce costs and increase energy security. From 2007 to 2013, the Federal Government reduced its annual energy usage by 7 percent while we continue to grow.

We must continue to encourage these energy reduction efforts. We learned a long time ago in business that if we don't have a goal we never get there. We have to have a target that we can all work to meet.

I urge my colleagues to support my amendment to reinstate the energy usage goals for Federal buildings.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. WHITFIELD. Mr. Speaker, I claim the time in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Kentucky is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. WHITFIELD. Mr. Chairman, with all due regard to the gentleman who is offering this amendment, I rise to oppose the amendment, which would reinstate the provisions of section 433 which prohibit the use of fossil fuels in new and modified Federal buildings after the year 2030.

Now, it is true that the Department of Energy is trying to thread a needle through regulations that might allow fossil fuels to be used in new and modified Federal buildings after 2030. But we know the reality is that every environmental group in the country will file a lawsuit against that regulation when it comes out if it is interpreted in any way that fossil fuels might be used.

I am really shocked that people would be opposed to our wanting to use fossil fuels after the year 2030. We are not mandating that they be used, but everyone that comes to this floor, and particularly President Obama when he goes anywhere, talk about an all-of-the-above energy policy, and yet the 2007 Energy Policy Act prohibits fossil fuel use in new and modified Federal buildings after the year 2030.

Our base bill does not mandate the use. It simply says, basically, that the government will be able to do it if it is necessary. So why should the Federal Government not allow the opportunity to use any fossil fuel after 2030?

We already have a Federal debt approaching \$20 trillion. Natural gas prices are pretty low right now, but let's say they go up. Let's say that renewables go up, that for some reason maybe using coal is more economical, and using a ultra-supercritical facility.

We know that the President does not want to build any new coal-powered plants because regulations now prohibit that. We think it is important that we have an all-of-the-above energy policy. Our base bill allows that even in government buildings.

And so, for that reason, I would respectfully oppose the gentleman's amendment and ask that Members vote against the amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. BEYER).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. WHITFIELD. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Virginia will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 18 OFFERED BY MR. PETERS

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 18 printed in House Report 114-359.

Mr. PETERS. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of chapter 1 of subtitle A of title IV, add the following:

SEC. _____ . REPORT ON ENERGY SAVINGS AND GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS REDUCTION FROM CONVERSION OF CAPTURED METHANE TO ENERGY.

(a) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Energy, in consultation with appropriate Federal agencies and relevant stakeholders, shall submit to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives a report on the impact of captured methane converted for energy and power generation on Federal lands, Federal buildings, and relevant municipalities that use such generation, and the return on investment and reduction in greenhouse gas emissions of utilizing such power generation.

(b) CONTENTS.—The report shall include—

(1) a summary of energy performance and savings resulting from the utilization of such power generation, including short-term and long-term (20 years) projections of such savings; and

(2) an analysis of the reduction in greenhouse emissions resulting from the utilization of such power generation.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentleman from California (Mr. PETERS) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

Mr. PETERS. Mr. Chairman, my amendment to the North American Security and Infrastructure Act requires the Secretary of Energy to submit a report to Congress on the impact of captured methane converted for energy and power generation on Federal lands, buildings, and relevant municipalities.

□ 1715

The report would include a summary of energy performance and savings from using this power generation source and an analysis of the reduction in greenhouse gas emissions.

In my district in San Diego, we are putting innovative solutions to work to reduce methane emissions and create energy at the same time. At the Point Loma Wastewater Treatment Plant, methane is collected and fuels

two continuously running generators. Using the methane produced onsite, the wastewater treatment plant has not only become energy self-sufficient, but is also able to sell excess power that it generates to the local energy grid, enhancing grid reliability and energy efficiency.

Another positive example of converting captured methane to energy is at landfills. In the United States, we have over 1,900 landfills, and they are the third largest source of methane emissions in the United States. This pollution threatens air quality and the public health of communities located close to the landfills themselves.

In San Diego, the Miramar Landfill spans over 1,500 acres and has been operating since 1959. Some years ago, the city, the Navy, and the private sector worked together and installed a methane-capture and energy conversion plant to supply the neighboring Marine Corps Air Station Miramar with 13.4 megawatts of energy. This plant supplies half of the base's energy, allowing it to operate as a 911 base in case of an emergency or power outage. The technology also reduced the emission of pollutants from the Miramar Landfill by 75 percent.

My amendment will simply assess how capturing methane and using it to generate energy reduces emissions, puts America on the path to a lower carbon, renewable energy future, and shares best practices among facilities that might be able to participate. So I ask my colleagues to support the amendment.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition to the amendment, even though I am not opposed to it.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman from Michigan is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. UPTON. Again, I support the amendment. We have no objection to the amendment. I think that it is worthwhile, and I urge my colleagues to support it.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. PETERS. Again, I thank the chairman very much for his hard work and for his willingness to support this amendment.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. PETERS).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 19 OFFERED BY MS. SCHAKOWSKY

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 19 printed in House Report 114-359.

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Strike section 4125.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentlewoman

from Illinois (Ms. SCHAKOWSKY) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Illinois.

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY. Mr. Chairman, my amendment would preserve an existing consumer right that has been on the books for many years, but section 4125 of this legislation would prevent consumers from pursuing breach of warranty claims against product manufacturers that inaccurately claim Energy Star compliance. As I said, in doing so, it would eliminate an existing consumer right.

While I see no justification for this change, I see the motive. The Association of Home Appliance Manufacturers, which represents 95 percent of U.S. home appliances and has endorsed this provision, wants to avoid liability.

Consumers pay a premium for Energy Star products. But they don't pay extra because they have a sense of charity; they do it because they have been promised the Energy Star appliances will enable reduced energy usage and lower operation costs. In fact, Energy Star products promise a 10 to 25 percent energy efficiency improvement as compared to Federal minimum standards. So when a manufacturer falsely claims to be Energy Star compliant, consumers are left with a more expensive product without any of the promised benefits. It amounts, really, to fraud.

In the past, manufacturers—including AHAM, the association, members Samsung, LG, and Whirlpool—have falsely claimed that their products meet Energy Star specifications. Consumers have mobilized to be compensated for those false claims, and they deserve that right. My amendment would enable them to retain it.

AHAM claims that my amendment would "discourage robust participation" in the Energy Star program. And frankly, I don't see that as a problem. If manufacturers can't stand by their claims of Energy Star compliance, then they shouldn't participate in the program.

Those manufacturers that continue to make Energy Star products will reap the rewards, including higher consumer demand and bigger profits, and that is a win for consumers, honest manufacturers, and the Energy Star program.

So I ask my colleagues, please, to support this amendment.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LATTA. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Ohio is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. LATTA. Mr. Chairman, I rise today in opposition to the amendment to strike section 4125 of the bill, which is language that Representative WELCH and I have coauthored over the past two Congresses with bipartisan support. It was developed with a cross section of interests, including efficiency and consumer advocates, manufacturers, and the EPA.

By rejecting this amendment and keeping our language, we have an opportunity to encourage manufacturers to continue participation in the Energy Star program.

Energy Star is a highly successful, voluntary program. Consumers, manufacturers, and the government all win under Energy Star. The program was designed to be low-cost and low-compliance to incentivize participation by manufacturers, and the language included in this bill is needed to continue to incentivize participation.

For a product to be branded with the Energy Star logo, it must meet certain energy-saving guidelines. Manufacturers who choose to participate in this voluntary program make the necessary investments needed to increase the energy efficiency of their products.

In order to ensure their products maintain the required levels of efficiency, the Department of Energy performs off-the-shelf testing. If a product fails to meet the standard, that product is disqualified and then publicly listed on the Energy Star Web site. Immediately following a product's disqualification listing, the manufacturer and the EPA will then work to resolve the cause for disqualification.

It is important to note that our language does not prevent lawsuits from being filed; it just requires that a suit be filed before a product is disqualified from Energy Star.

If a product has been disqualified from the program by EPA, the EPA is best positioned to determine consumer impact and if such impact requires any action on the part of the manufacturer.

The EPA process is swift compared to legal proceedings, which could take years. If the focus is really on consumer reimbursement, shouldn't those fighting for consumer rights prefer the EPA disqualification process over class action litigation?

In the EPA disqualification process, the entire reimbursement goes to the consumer, versus a legal proceeding, where legal fees can consume large amounts of the award.

Energy Star has promoted economic expansion and job growth for participating manufacturers across the Nation. In defeating this amendment, we have an opportunity to continue to encourage participation by manufacturers instead of discouraging participation.

This section has the support of the National Association of Manufacturers, the Alliance to Save Energy, the American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy, and the Chamber of Commerce.

Mr. Chairman, I would ask to reject the amendment.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY. Mr. Chairman, may I inquire how much time I have remaining.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentlewoman from Illinois has 2½ minutes remaining.

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY. Mr. Chairman, all this would be fine if it weren't the

case that we have members of the Association of Home Appliance Manufacturers that actually have falsely claimed that their products meet Energy Star specifications. And nothing in the remedy actually says that the consumer will have the right to reclaim their money that they spent on the washer or the dryer or the appliance that was bought because they thought that they would both save energy and, over time, that they would save money as well.

As I said earlier, this rule, this law, has been in place for many years. It does not interfere with the fact that this is a voluntary program, that the companies decide if they want to participate in Energy Star to be an Energy Star product, but it does say they have to keep their promise. And they have to keep their promise not just to the EPA or to some regulatory framework; they have to keep their promise to the individual consumer who has actually laid out the bucks to buy that product.

This provides an opportunity for that consumer to be able to reclaim a product if it is found not to meet the Energy Star promise that they made of 10 to 25 percent energy efficiency improvements.

So it seems to me, why would this body go about the business of taking away a consumer right? I thought we were supposed to be in the business of trying to figure out how we are going to adequately protect consumers not in the generic sense, but in the individual sense. That is the kind of protection that we have had, and that is the kind of protection I believe that we should maintain; and this section, put in at the behest of the industry, makes no sense. I think it weights toward the manufacturers and away from the consumers something that we all want to achieve, which is more energy efficiency.

Mr. Chairman, I am very disappointed, as someone who has been a consumer advocate for a very long time in many ways, especially in terms of truth in products, truth in labeling, that we ought to be able to rely on that Energy Star label to know that it is going to give us the energy efficiency that we paid for and that, if it doesn't, we do have a remedy. Those remedies tend to make the manufacturers even more honest. I hope we will get some support.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. LATTA. Mr. Chairman, again, I would urge defeat of the amendment because we want to make sure that manufacturers are still encouraged to participate in the Energy Star program, which has been highly successful.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Illinois (Ms. SCHAKOWSKY).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. LATTA. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Illinois will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 20 OFFERED BY MRS. BROOKS OF INDIANA

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 20 printed in House Report 114-359.

Mrs. BROOKS of Indiana. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of chapter 2 of subtitle A of title IV, insert the following:

SEC. 4128. ENERGY SAVINGS FROM LUBRICATING OIL.

Not later than one year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Energy, in cooperation with the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency and the Director of Management and Budget, shall—

(1) review and update the report prepared pursuant to section 1838 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005;

(2) after consultation with relevant Federal, State, and local agencies and affected industry and stakeholder groups, update data that was used in preparing that report; and

(3) prepare and submit to Congress a coordinated Federal strategy to increase the beneficial reuse of used lubricating oil, that—

(A) is consistent with national policy as established pursuant to section 2 of the Used Oil Recycling Act of 1980 (Public Law 96-463); and

(B) addresses measures needed to—

(i) increase the responsible collection of used oil;

(ii) disseminate public information concerning sustainable reuse options for used oil; and

(iii) promote sustainable reuse of used oil by Federal agencies, recipients of Federal grant funds, entities contracting with the Federal Government, and the general public.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentlewoman from Indiana (Mrs. BROOKS) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Indiana.

Mrs. BROOKS of Indiana. Mr. Chairman, my amendment is very simple and straightforward. It calls on the Department of Energy, working together with the Environmental Protection Agency and the Office of Management and Budget, to take another look at what is now 20-year-old data about how used oil is managed in the United States and to develop comprehensive strategies to increase recycling used oil as part of a national strategy to save energy and reduce pollution.

Right now, there are options for disposal of motor oil commonly used in trucks and cars. The worst option is for that oil to be simply discarded, leading to contaminants polluting our air and water. If properly collected, the oil can be burned once for use as low-cost fuel.

However, the best option uses modern technology which now exists to collect and sustainably recycle used oil. These refining techniques can now produce a product that is the quality equivalent to fresh virgin base oils. So this option also maximizes the benefits by conserving most of the energy needed to make oil while cutting emissions of carbon and other harmful pollutants.

Re-refining can turn what used to be a waste product into an infinitely renewable resource. And not only does this re-refined oil meet government and industry specifications, but it is also cost-competitive, reduces waste, and reduces emissions.

Earlier studies done by DOE as well as our national labs show that used motor oil is a valuable and reusable energy resource.

As the motor sports capital of the world—Indianapolis, that is—it is no surprise that Indiana has traditionally been a leader in recycling and re-refining oil. We have two major used oil refineries in Indiana employing almost 1,000 people, and our State has a proud tradition of utilizing this product and promoting its technology.

□ 1730

Re-refined oil is already being actively used by DOD and other Federal agencies, public and commercial fleets, and average consumers with great success. However, far too little of our used oil is recycled in this way. So my amendment is intended to increase conservation and sustainable reuse.

The last major Federal study was called for in the Energy Policy Act of 2005. That study was issued in 2006, but relied on data that was then 10 years old. Now that data is 20 years old.

My amendment will require the DOE to update that data so that we know how much oil is available and how much is actually being reused and re-refined. Data from 20 years ago showed that the United States was well behind other developed and even some developing countries in terms of sustainable reuse.

Mr. Chairman, this amendment will also provide for the development of policies that can significantly increase both the collection rate and sustainable reuse of this valuable resource.

Mr. Chairman, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. UPTON).

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, this amendment calls on the Department of Energy to review and update the data use for a 9-year-old Federal study on oil recycling. It is a good amendment. It promotes recycling of used lubricating oil to save energy, minimize disposal into landfills, and improves public information concerning sustainable reuse options.

It is a good amendment. I would like to see it adopted.

Mrs. BROOKS of Indiana. Mr. Chairman, I urge adoption of the amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Indiana (Mrs. BROOKS). The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 21 OFFERED BY MR. UPTON

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 21 printed in House Report 114-359.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, as the designee of the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Mrs. ELLMERS), I offer amendment No. 21.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of chapter 2 of subtitle A of title IV, add the following:

SEC. _____. DEFINITION OF EXTERNAL POWER SUPPLY.

Section 321(36)(A) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6291(36)(A)) is amended—

(1) by striking the subparagraph designation and all that follows through “The term” and inserting the following:

“(A) EXTERNAL POWER SUPPLY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The term”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(ii) EXCLUSION.—The term ‘external power supply’ does not include a power supply circuit, driver, or device that is designed exclusively to be connected to, and power—

“(I) light-emitting diodes providing illumination; or

“(II) organic light-emitting diodes providing illumination.”.

SEC. _____. STANDARDS FOR POWER SUPPLY CIRCUITS CONNECTED TO LEDS OR OLEDS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 325(u) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6295(u)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(6) POWER SUPPLY CIRCUITS CONNECTED TO LEDS OR OLEDS.—Notwithstanding the exclusion described in section 321(36)(A)(ii), the Secretary may prescribe, in accordance with subsections (o) and (p) and section 322(b), an energy conservation standard for a power supply circuit, driver, or device that is designed primarily to be connected to, and power, light-emitting diodes or organic light-emitting diodes providing illumination.”.

(b) ENERGY CONSERVATION STANDARDS.—Section 346 of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6317) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(g) ENERGY CONSERVATION STANDARD FOR POWER SUPPLY CIRCUITS CONNECTED TO LEDS OR OLEDS.—Not earlier than 1 year after applicable testing requirements are prescribed under section 343, the Secretary may prescribe an energy conservation standard for a power supply circuit, driver, or device that is designed primarily to be connected to, and power, light-emitting diodes or organic light-emitting diodes providing illumination.”.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. UPTON) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Michigan.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, I won’t take the full 5 minutes.

Mr. Chairman, I offer this in lieu of Mrs. ELLMERS. It is a simple, technical

fix to DOE’s external power supply rule. I am not aware of any opposition.

Mr. Chairman, I urge my colleagues to support it.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mrs. ELLMERS of North Carolina. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of this bipartisan and commonsense amendment that would provide certainty to manufacturers and resolve this DOE rule.

I would also like to thank my colleagues DEGETTE, POMPEO and DENT for working with me on this issue.

This problem stems from an overly broad interpretation of a provision within the Energy Policy Act of 2005 in which Congress directed DOE to set energy efficiency standards for External Power Supplies.

DOE is now attempting to regulate a product that was not in the marketplace at the time Congress directed the department to set External Power Supply Standards.

Because of DOE’s interpretation, other products—such as LED Drivers not intended for regulation—are now a facing regulation under the EPS rule.

This problem is, sadly, just another example of DOE expanding the scope of their rulemakings and capturing products that were not intended by Congress.

Thankfully, my amendment resolves the problem for this technology and prevents it from being included in other broad rulemakings.

The lighting industry is already strenuously regulated for energy efficiency, accounting for 20 percent of DOE’s total efficiency regulations.

Regulations like this have had a negative impact of 750 million dollars to U.S. lighting manufacturers.

This regulation will only stifle innovation, ultimately leading to less energy efficient products and higher energy prices for consumers.

Manufacturers cannot operate in an uncertain marketplace and without Congressional action, this rule will unintentionally threaten thousands of jobs.

In North Carolina alone this industry provides over 3,000 jobs.

I urge my colleagues to join this bipartisan effort.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. UPTON).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 22 OFFERED BY MR. TONKO

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 22 printed in House Report 114-359.

Mr. TONKO. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

In chapter 2 of subtitle A of title IV, add at the end the following new section:

SEC. 4128. WEATHERIZATION ASSISTANCE AND STATE ENERGY PROGRAMS.

(a) REAUTHORIZATION OF WEATHERIZATION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.—Section 422 of the Energy Conservation and Production Act (42 U.S.C. 6872) is amended by striking “appropriated—” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “appropriated \$450,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2016 through 2020.”.

(b) REAUTHORIZATION OF STATE ENERGY PROGRAMS.—Section 365(f) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6325(f)) is amended by striking “\$125,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2007 through 2012” and inserting “\$75,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2016 through 2020”.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentleman from New York (Mr. TONKO) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New York.

Mr. TONKO. Mr. Chairman, my amendment reauthorizes two existing programs, the Weatherization Assistance Program and the State Energy Program.

Both of these programs have been operating successfully for many years. The Federal dollars delivered through these programs leverage additional funding from our States and the private sector. These programs address real problems. They are effective, and they create and sustain jobs.

As we heard during debate yesterday, H.R. 8 does very little to advance energy efficiency, an issue that has enjoyed strong, bipartisan support in the past. In fact, some provisions are more likely to be a setback to efficiency standards. While this bill contains plenty of benefits for energy suppliers, there is very little in there designed to address the needs of average Americans.

The Weatherization Assistance Program supports State-based programs to improve the energy efficiency of the homes of low-income families. The Department of Energy provides grants to the States, United States territories, and tribal governments to deliver these services through local weatherization agencies. The weatherization measures used include air sealing, wall and attic insulation, duct sealing, and furnace repair and replacement.

Mr. Chairman, the benefits of weatherization are well known and result in a reduced energy bill for many years into the future. Insulating our walls and our roofs, for example, can provide savings for the lifetime of a house. Other measures, such as making heating or cooling equipment more efficient, can provide savings for more than a decade.

Since 1976, the Weatherization Assistance Program has helped improve the lives of more than 7 million families by reducing their electricity bills. The program provides energy efficiency services to thousands of homes every year, reducing average costs by more than \$400 per household in annual utility bills.

Investments in energy efficiency pay for themselves over time, but the up-front costs can be significant, and when a family's budget is severely limited, those costs are simply too high.

The Weatherization Assistance Program helps those in our communities who do not have the financial resources to make energy efficiency investments on their own. That includes our elder-

ly, our disabled, and our low-income families.

These vulnerable households are often on fixed incomes and are the most susceptible to volatile changes in electricity prices. They are particularly vulnerable to spikes in electricity bills during heat waves or cold weather due to poor insulation or inefficient appliances.

A sudden increase in expenses is difficult to manage for many of our families. Low-income families already spend a disproportionate amount of their income on energy costs.

Mr. Chairman, the State Energy Program provides funding to the States to support the work of their energy offices. It ensures that each State will have basic funding available to support its programs.

These offices play a role in helping States define the least costly ways to meet State goals for energy efficiency, for air quality, for fuel diversity, and for energy security.

According to a study by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, the State Energy Program often leverages, for every 1 Federal dollar, \$10.71 in State and private funds. That is a great return on investment.

Congress reauthorized these programs back in 2007 for a 5-year period at about \$1 billion per year for Weatherization and \$125 million per year for the State Energy Program.

My amendment authorizes the Weatherization Assistance Program for another 5 years, but at lower levels—\$450 million per year—and the State Energy Program is authorized for 5 years at \$75 million per year.

These are robust authorization levels for certain. While I believe these programs should be appropriated even more funding, this amendment authorizes them at lower levels to be more in tune with today's fiscal constraints.

Mr. Chairman, I ask my colleagues to support my amendment and to help to extend the benefits of energy efficiency to our families so that more families can be supported by local jobs, businesses, and certainly contractors that do this extremely important work.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Michigan is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, I do so to oppose the amendment because, as we all know, this amendment reauthorizes the Federal Weatherization Assistance Program at \$2.2 billion through 2020 and the State Energy Program at \$375 million through 2020.

But our feeling is that it is not needed because the Department of Energy's Weatherization Assistance Program is already extremely well funded.

I support weatherization, as I think most of our colleagues on both sides of the aisle do, but Congress has been funding the program at or near the Department's requested levels.

So this is, in essence, billions above in new spending on an existing program that the Department of Energy has not requested.

I would note that the 2009 stimulus bill included an extra \$5 billion to the Department of Energy for weatherization, roughly 17 times what was originally appropriated for that year.

Furthermore, using experiments considered the gold standard for evidence, researchers from UC Berkeley, MIT, and the University of Chicago recently released a report on a first-of-its-kind field test of the Federal Weatherization Assistance Program.

The study found that the costs of energy efficiency investments were about double the actual savings, that model-projected savings are 2½ times the actual savings, and that, even when accounting for the broader societal benefits of energy efficiency investments, the costs will substantially outweigh the benefits. The average rate of return is a minus 9½ percent annually.

So, Mr. Chairman, the overall legislation today that is before us is extremely specific in authorizing budget-neutral spending for energy security efforts only. Authorizing additional money—beyond requested amounts—as this Weatherization amendment does, does not have the offset.

Therefore, I would ask my colleagues to vote “no” on the amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. TONKO. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, certainly the numbers here speak to the most vulnerable in our society. There are waiting lists that I know exist in States. There are more things we can do for energy efficiency's sake for our most stressed family budgets.

This is a situation where energy costs, as a wedge of the pie for our poor families for their household budgets, is far greater a slice than it is for the average residents of this country. This is a hardhearted approach taken to our elderly, to our low-income families, and to the disabled.

Also, Mr. Chairman, I would suggest that our goal here should be to be as resourceful as possible with our energy mix across this country. Anytime we can reduce consumption we are doing a big thing for all ratepayers. The statements show a missing of the focus that is needed.

Finally, to the study, it was a one-State, one-utility study. It was not peer reviewed. It was flawed. It did not really suggest to show the real issues out there for this program.

I yield back the balance of my time. The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New York (Mr. TONKO).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. TONKO. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by

the gentleman from New York will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 23 OFFERED BY MS. CASTOR OF FLORIDA

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 23 printed in House Report 114-359.

Ms. CASTOR of Florida. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

In subtitle A of title IV, add at the end the following new chapter:

CHAPTER 8—LOCAL ENERGY SUPPLY AND RESILIENCY

SEC. 4181. DEFINITIONS.

In this chapter:

(1) **COMBINED HEAT AND POWER SYSTEM.**—The term “combined heat and power system” means generation of electric energy and heat in a single, integrated system that meets the efficiency criteria in clauses (ii) and (iii) of section 48(c)(3)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, under which heat that is conventionally rejected is recovered and used to meet thermal energy requirements.

(2) **DEMAND RESPONSE.**—The term “demand response” means changes in electric usage by electric utility customers from the normal consumption patterns of the customers in response to—

(A) changes in the price of electricity over time; or

(B) incentive payments designed to induce lower electricity use at times of high wholesale market prices or when system reliability is jeopardized.

(3) **DISTRIBUTED ENERGY.**—The term “distributed energy” means energy sources and systems that—

(A) produce electric or thermal energy close to the point of use using renewable energy resources or waste thermal energy;

(B) generate electricity using a combined heat and power system;

(C) distribute electricity in microgrids;

(D) store electric or thermal energy; or

(E) distribute thermal energy or transfer thermal energy to building heating and cooling systems through a district energy system.

(4) **DISTRICT ENERGY SYSTEM.**—The term “district energy system” means a system that provides thermal energy to buildings and other energy consumers from 1 or more plants to individual buildings to provide space heating, air conditioning, domestic hot water, industrial process energy, and other end uses.

(5) **ISLANDING.**—The term “islanding” means a distributed generator or energy storage device continuing to power a location in the absence of electric power from the primary source.

(6) **LOAN.**—The term “loan” has the meaning given the term “direct loan” in section 502 of the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 (2 U.S.C. 661a).

(7) **MICROGRID.**—The term “microgrid” means an integrated energy system consisting of interconnected loads and distributed energy resources, including generators and energy storage devices, within clearly defined electrical boundaries that—

(A) acts as a single controllable entity with respect to the grid; and

(B) can connect and disconnect from the grid to operate in both grid-connected mode and island mode.

(8) **RENEWABLE ENERGY SOURCE.**—The term “renewable energy source” includes—

(A) biomass;

(B) geothermal energy;

(C) hydropower;

(D) landfill gas;

(E) municipal solid waste;

(F) ocean (including tidal, wave, current, and thermal) energy;

(G) organic waste;

(H) photosynthetic processes;

(I) photovoltaic energy;

(J) solar energy; and

(K) wind.

(9) **RENEWABLE THERMAL ENERGY.**—The term “renewable thermal energy” means heating or cooling energy derived from a renewable energy resource.

(10) **SECRETARY.**—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Energy.

(11) **THERMAL ENERGY.**—The term “thermal energy” means—

(A) heating energy in the form of hot water or steam that is used to provide space heating, domestic hot water, or process heat; or

(B) cooling energy in the form of chilled water, ice, or other media that is used to provide air conditioning, or process cooling.

(12) **WASTE THERMAL ENERGY.**—The term “waste thermal energy” means energy that—

(A) is contained in—

(i) exhaust gases, exhaust steam, condenser water, jacket cooling heat, or lubricating oil in power generation systems;

(ii) exhaust heat, hot liquids, or flared gas from any industrial process;

(iii) waste gas or industrial tail gas that would otherwise be flared, incinerated, or vented;

(iv) a pressure drop in any gas, excluding any pressure drop to a condenser that subsequently vents the resulting heat;

(v) condenser water from chilled water or refrigeration plants; or

(vi) any other form of waste energy, as determined by the Secretary; and

(B)(i) in the case of an existing facility, is not being used; or

(ii) in the case of a new facility, is not conventionally used in comparable systems.

SEC. 4182. DISTRIBUTED ENERGY LOAN PROGRAM.

(a) **LOAN PROGRAM.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to the provisions of this subsection and subsections (b) and (c), the Secretary shall establish a program to provide to eligible entities—

(A) loans for the deployment of distributed energy systems in a specific project; and

(B) loans to provide funding for programs to finance the deployment of multiple distributed energy systems through a revolving loan fund, credit enhancement program, or other financial assistance program.

(2) **ELIGIBILITY.**—Entities eligible to receive a loan under paragraph (1) include—

(A) a State, territory, or possession of the United States;

(B) a State energy office;

(C) a tribal organization (as defined in section 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 450b));

(D) an institution of higher education (as defined in section 101 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001)); and

(E) an electric utility, including—

(i) a rural electric cooperative;

(ii) a municipally owned electric utility; and

(iii) an investor-owned utility.

(3) **SELECTION REQUIREMENTS.**—In selecting eligible entities to receive loans under this section, the Secretary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, ensure—

(A) regional diversity among eligible entities to receive loans under this section, including participation by rural States and small States; and

(B) that specific projects selected for loans—

(i) expand on the existing technology deployment program of the Department of Energy; and

(ii) are designed to achieve 1 or more of the objectives described in paragraph (4).

(4) **OBJECTIVES.**—Each deployment selected for a loan under paragraph (1) shall include 1 or more of the following objectives:

(A) Improved security and resiliency of energy supply in the event of disruptions caused by extreme weather events, grid equipment or software failure, or terrorist acts.

(B) Implementation of distributed energy in order to increase use of local renewable energy resources and waste thermal energy sources.

(C) Enhanced feasibility of microgrids, demand response, or islanding;

(D) Enhanced management of peak loads for consumers and the grid.

(E) Enhanced reliability in rural areas, including high energy cost rural areas.

(5) **RESTRICTION ON USE OF FUNDS.**—Any eligible entity that receives a loan under paragraph (1) may only use the loan to fund programs relating to the deployment of distributed energy systems.

(b) **LOAN TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—

(1) **TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in providing a loan under this section, the Secretary shall provide the loan on such terms and conditions as the Secretary determines, after consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury, in accordance with this section.

(2) **SPECIFIC APPROPRIATION.**—No loan shall be made unless an appropriation for the full amount of the loan has been specifically provided for that purpose.

(3) **REPAYMENT.**—No loan shall be made unless the Secretary determines that there is reasonable prospect of repayment of the principal and interest by the borrower of the loan.

(4) **INTEREST RATE.**—A loan provided under this section shall bear interest at a fixed rate that is equal or approximately equal, in the determination of the Secretary, to the interest rate for Treasury securities of comparable maturity.

(5) **TERM.**—The term of the loan shall require full repayment over a period not to exceed the lesser of—

(A) 20 years; or

(B) 90 percent of the projected useful life of the physical asset to be financed by the loan (as determined by the Secretary).

(6) **USE OF PAYMENTS.**—Payments of principal and interest on the loan shall—

(A) be retained by the Secretary to support energy research and development activities; and

(B) remain available until expended, subject to such conditions as are contained in annual appropriations Acts.

(7) **NO PENALTY ON EARLY REPAYMENT.**—The Secretary may not assess any penalty for early repayment of a loan provided under this section.

(8) **RETURN OF UNUSED PORTION.**—In order to receive a loan under this section, an eligible entity shall agree to return to the general fund of the Treasury any portion of the loan amount that is unused by the eligible entity within a reasonable period of time after the date of the disbursement of the loan, as determined by the Secretary.

(9) **COMPARABLE WAGE RATES.**—Each laborer and mechanic employed by a contractor or subcontractor in performance of construction work financed, in whole or in part, by the loan shall be paid wages at rates not less than the rates prevailing on similar construction in the locality as determined by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with subchapter IV of chapter 31 of title 40, United States Code.

(c) RULES AND PROCEDURES; DISBURSEMENT OF LOANS.—

(1) RULES AND PROCEDURES.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall adopt rules and procedures for carrying out the loan program under subsection (a).

(2) DISBURSEMENT OF LOANS.—Not later than 1 year after the date on which the rules and procedures under paragraph (1) are established, the Secretary shall disburse the initial loans provided under this section.

(d) REPORTS.—Not later than 2 years after the date of receipt of the loan, and annually thereafter for the term of the loan, an eligible entity that receives a loan under this section shall submit to the Secretary a report describing the performance of each program and activity carried out using the loan, including itemized loan performance data.

(e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section such sums as are necessary.

SEC. 4183. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish a technical assistance and grant program (referred to in this section as the “program”)—

(A) to disseminate information and provide technical assistance directly to eligible entities so the eligible entities can identify, evaluate, plan, and design distributed energy systems; and

(B) to make grants to eligible entities so that the eligible entities may contract to obtain technical assistance to identify, evaluate, plan, and design distributed energy systems.

(2) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—The technical assistance described in paragraph (1) shall include assistance with 1 or more of the following activities relating to distributed energy systems:

(A) Identification of opportunities to use distributed energy systems.

(B) Assessment of technical and economic characteristics.

(C) Utility interconnection.

(D) Permitting and siting issues.

(E) Business planning and financial analysis.

(F) Engineering design.

(3) INFORMATION DISSEMINATION.—The information disseminated under paragraph (1)(A) shall include—

(A) information relating to the topics described in paragraph (2), including case studies of successful examples;

(B) computer software and databases for assessment, design, and operation and maintenance of distributed energy systems; and

(C) public databases that track the operation and deployment of existing and planned distributed energy systems.

(b) ELIGIBILITY.—Any nonprofit or for-profit entity shall be eligible to receive technical assistance and grants under the program.

(c) APPLICATIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—An eligible entity desiring technical assistance or grants under the program shall submit to the Secretary an application at such time, in such manner, and containing such information as the Secretary may require.

(2) APPLICATION PROCESS.—The Secretary shall seek applications for technical assistance and grants under the program—

(A) on a competitive basis; and

(B) on a periodic basis, but not less frequently than once every 12 months.

(3) PRIORITIES.—In selecting eligible entities for technical assistance and grants under the program, the Secretary shall give priority to eligible entities with projects that have the greatest potential for—

(A) facilitating the use of renewable energy resources;

(B) strengthening the reliability and resiliency of energy infrastructure to the impact of extreme weather events, power grid failures, and interruptions in supply of fossil fuels;

(C) improving the feasibility of microgrids or islanding, particularly in rural areas, including high energy cost rural areas;

(D) minimizing environmental impact, including regulated air pollutants and greenhouse gas emissions; and

(E) maximizing local job creation.

(d) GRANTS.—On application by an eligible entity, the Secretary may award grants to the eligible entity to provide funds to cover not more than—

(1) 100 percent of the costs of the initial assessment to identify opportunities;

(2) 75 percent of the cost of feasibility studies to assess the potential for the implementation;

(3) 60 percent of the cost of guidance on overcoming barriers to implementation, including financial, contracting, siting, and permitting issues; and

(4) 45 percent of the cost of detailed engineering.

(e) RULES AND PROCEDURES.—

(1) RULES.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall adopt rules and procedures for carrying out the program.

(2) GRANTS.—Not later than 120 days after the date of issuance of the rules and procedures for the program, the Secretary shall issue grants under this chapter.

(f) REPORTS.—The Secretary shall submit to Congress and make available to the public—

(1) not less frequently than once every 2 years, a report describing the performance of the program under this section, including a synthesis and analysis of the information provided in the reports submitted to the Secretary under section 4181(c); and

(2) on termination of the program under this section, an assessment of the success of, and education provided by, the measures carried out by eligible entities during the term of the program.

(g) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$250,000,000 for the period of fiscal years 2016 through 2020, to remain available until expended.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. CASTOR) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Florida.

Ms. CASTOR of Florida. Mr. Chairman, my amendment focuses on thermal energy and combined heat power, which are essential to a smart energy future for our country, but they are often overlooked components of our national energy supply.

In the United States, up to 36 percent of the total energy produced is lost from power plants, industrial facilities, and buildings in the form of waste heat. My amendment will help industry, universities, hospitals, and others capture that waste heat and use renewables for heating, cooling, and power generation.

Now, I want to read the definition of what is included in renewables so that everyone is aware: biomass, geothermal, hydropower, landfill gas, mu-

nicipal solid waste, ocean energy, organic waste, photosynthetic processes, photovoltaic energy, solar energy, and wind.

What is happening across America are businesses and nonprofits are getting really smart about this wasted energy and they are putting it back into their facilities to save energy and save money.

The overall resilience and cost savings that can be achieved through combined heat and power and distributed energy systems is proven every day, but it was especially proven during Superstorm Sandy and other natural disasters.

During Superstorm Sandy, businesses and nonprofits, such as hospitals and universities, were able to keep the lights on and actually had heat and water in the aftermath of the storm because they have these self-contained, energy-efficient waste heat projects.

Mr. Chairman, we have also heard testimony in the Energy and Power Subcommittee extensively on the importance in the future of these smaller, distributed, locally based energy systems.

I have also seen it in my hometown in Tampa, where St. Joseph's Hospital burns the medical waste, turns it into waste heat, and they are now saving \$200,000 a year on their energy bills where they can keep the lights on. They don't have to pay that out to the power company. That can go back into the care of patients.

Mr. Chairman, what my amendment proposes to do is to help overcome the financing hurdles that will be key in implementing this highly efficient and resilient energy infrastructure.

My amendment would establish an initiative to provide cost-shared funding for technical assistance for feasibility studies and engineering, and it would enable qualifying energy infrastructure projects to access lower interest debt financing through a loan guarantee program.

Industrial competitiveness will be enhanced because these businesses will be able to develop new revenue streams, reduce energy costs, reduce emissions, and enhance energy supply resiliency.

We have got to plan ahead here in America. We have got to be smarter. According to a joint DOE and EPA study, roughly 65 gigawatts of technical potential remain in the Nation's hospitals, universities, wastewater treatment plants, and other critical infrastructure.

□ 1745

My amendment will help to reduce the up-front capital cost of installing these locally based energy-efficient systems. These systems have proven themselves, and we should encourage them.

So I respectfully request that the House act with an eye towards the future. Take this modest but very important step to help unleash American innovation. We know how to do this. We

can do this. Let's give our businesses, our universities, and hospitals an incentive to put waste energy to work and at the same time save some money.

I urge an "aye" vote on my amendment.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Michigan is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, this amendment would establish a DOE loan program to support distributed generation. While I support some of the goals in this amendment—distributed generation, microgrids, combined heat and power—I cannot support a new loan guarantee program given the failures this administration has had in issuing loans. I remember one called Solyndra a long time ago.

In any event, this amendment is too broad. Locally grown energy may make some sense in some circumstances but not in others. There are often economic reasons to use nonlocal energy sources and to use them on a larger scale than distributed generation.

Moreover, this provision is duplicative of other DOE programs as well as tax incentives and State programs that encourage the use of distributed renewable energy.

Circumstances do vary across regions, so States should decide whether and how to encourage distributed generation. The Federal Government shouldn't be picking winners and losers.

I urge my colleagues to vote "no."

I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. CASTOR of Florida. Mr. Chairman, I thank the chairman for supporting some of the goals contained in the amendment.

This is not an open-ended loan program. This is very modest, only authorized for \$250 million. The appropriators will probably scale that back.

But what it does is it allows our hospitals, universities, and other industrial users across the country some upfront technical assistance that will save them a lot of money and a lot of energy on the down side. This modest investment will have a great payoff for taxpayers and for industrial users, our hospitals, and universities.

I have seen it work right in my district. I know it worked during Superstorm Sandy. We have to think with an eye to the future and act that way.

I request an "aye" vote on this amendment.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. CASTOR).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Ms. CASTOR of Florida. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further pro-

ceedings on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Florida will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 24 OFFERED BY MR. POLIS

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No 24 printed in House Report 114-359.

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

In subtitle A of title IV, add at the end the following new chapter:

CHAPTER 8—SURFACE ESTATE OWNER NOTIFICATION

SEC. 4181. SURFACE ESTATE OWNER NOTIFICATION.

The Secretary of the Interior shall—

(1) notify surface estate owners and all owners of land located within 1 mile of a proposed oil or gas lease tract in writing at least 45 days in advance of lease sales;

(2) within 10 working days after a lease is issued, notify surface estate owners and all owners of land located within 1 mile of a lease tract, regarding the identity of the lessee;

(3) notify surface estate owners and all owners of land located within 1 mile of a lease tract in writing within 10 working days concerning any subsequent decisions regarding the lease, such as modifying or waiving stipulations and approving rights-of-way; and

(4) notify surface estate owners and all owners of land located within 1 mile of a lease tract, within 5 business days after issuance of a drilling permit under a lease.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. POLIS) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Colorado.

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Chairman, I want to explain how in many States, including my home State of Colorado, landowners—if you live in a home, you own your property, you bought it—you are not necessarily and in most cases, in fact, you are not also the owner of the minerals beneath your land. That is called a split estate.

Many, in fact most, surface estates in my State were split from their subsurface or mineral rights—severed. And Congress rewrote the rules of the Homestead Act to maintain ownership over minerals even as they gave away western lands for development.

So, again, what that means is we have suburban subdivisions, people's homes—people live in their homes—and the Federal Government owns the mineral rights under those homes. Along with that comes the right to extract those minerals.

Unfortunately, what fails to be present in the Homestead Act is protections and notification requirements for the people who live there, the homeowners. So, in some cases, in Colorado and elsewhere, landholders and homeowners don't even know that there has been a lease or a drill permit on their land where they own the surface rights.

Literally, one day an oil company can drive up to the property and construct a horizontal drill in the middle of your backyard without notification. So you can imagine the result—harm and loss of cattle or crops, infrastructure on the property—not knowing what is occurring.

And, really, it has been amazing to see the ability of the extraction industry to operate without having to address the legitimate concerns of surface owners.

Now, my bill doesn't change all of that, and, frankly, I would like to go a lot further and will in other legislative efforts. This amendment is really a commonsense effort that is a critical first step to right those wrongs.

It would simply require that the BLM notify a landowner sitting above mineral rights that they plan to put out for bid, award, lease, or sale a drilling permit on that land.

The BLM will argue that there are notification requirements. What that means is it might be posted on a Web site or in the Federal Register. Well, I guarantee you that Mr. or Mrs. Smith in a suburban subdivision are not eagerly checking the Federal Register every day. They are not even generally aware that there are mineral rights under their property, nor should they have to be. They should simply get a letter in the mail saying what is happening if and when there is going to be mineral development on their property.

And I think that is a simple, commonsense step that would protect American taxpayers from undue, unreasonable burdens placed upon them and protect property rights. I really hope it is not controversial and that we can adopt this amendment.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LAMBORN. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Colorado is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. LAMBORN. Mr. Chairman, I want to let my colleague from Colorado know that this is an unnecessary amendment, so I would ask Members to oppose it.

There already is a lot of built-in notification that does take place. I don't know if my colleague is aware of this or not, but when an expression of interest for leasing is made, the BLM requires that all of the surface owners, wherever this expression of interest for leasing applies to, are notified by mail.

Secondly, before a permit is issued, there is another notification to the surface owners of wherever that lease is located.

Thirdly, under the NEPA process, before the leases are even issued, the public is notified. I know this amendment talks about notifying everyone within 1 mile. The public notification is a lot broader than just 1 mile, so, actually, current law does more than what this amendment calls for.

But there are two different steps, in addition to the public notice, where the

surface landowner actually is notified by mail by a good faith effort required by the Bureau of Land Management for Federal lands.

On top of all that, Mr. Chairman, I ask opposition for this amendment because it is poorly written. It is ambiguous as to whether it is only applying to Federal lands or is broader and would include tribal lands, private lands, and things way out of the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Land Management.

But, in any case, even if it would just apply to the Federal lands, it is unnecessary. Because of the different steps that are required under the language of this amendment, it would add a lot of paperwork and red tape and really not accomplish anything more than what is already clearly accomplished two or three times under existing law.

For all those reasons, Mr. Chairman, I ask that we oppose this amendment. I know it is well-intentioned, but the law already takes care of this. This amendment, besides being poorly written, would add a lot of time and paperwork and red tape to the process right now.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Chairman, I wish that this amendment weren't necessary. There are hundreds, if not thousands, of homeowners in Colorado who fail to be notified by the BLM.

Now, there is a good faith effort requirement, but there is no system in place to ensure that the person gets a notification. So, in effect, what happens is the agency will sign off, "We made a good faith effort, couldn't find who the property owner was," and it is posted in the Federal Register or in a newspaper in an ad that the homeowner is extremely unlikely to ever see.

What we are simply saying is have a step to implement this directive that already exists. Give this meaning; give this teeth. Make sure that homeowners are actually notified in the mail, that there is an effort to actually find out who they are, and not just a bureaucratic signoff that we don't know who they are and, therefore, they are never going to find out until trucks drive onto their property.

It is a real problem, and there is a real simple, commonsense solution. I urge my colleagues to adopt it.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LAMBORN. Mr. Chairman, just to finish this, I would say that this is an unnecessary amendment because there are already two, if not three, different times that the notice to the surface owner already takes place: once to the public at large, twice to the surface owner in particular.

Secondly, this is poorly written. I am afraid that it does not just refer strictly to Federal lands that the BLM controls, but this could apply to tribal lands and private lands. So it makes a mess in that regard.

And, thirdly, it goes 1 mile away. The current law does refer to the surface

owner and accomplishes the things that the proponent of the amendment wants to accomplish, so it is unnecessary.

For those reasons, Mr. Chairman, I urge opposition to this amendment.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Chairman, I respect my good friend and colleague from Colorado.

Part of the goal of this amendment is to ensure that the full area of disruption receives notification. So where you have a suburban subdevelopment, it is one thing for the owner under which the activity is occurring to get notice.

But keep in mind the activity also has an impact certainly within a mile radius of that activity in terms of loud noises, trucks, et cetera. Families may choose to leave town; others may choose to stick it out and make sure they are prepared for whatever activity will occur, when it occurs.

But, clearly, if there are notification aspects in the current law, which there are, they are insufficient, because I come before you telling you that there are homeowners in Colorado who have no prior word of extraction activity on their land until, literally, they see it occurring. They see trucks, they see people. They go out, they say, "What are you doing?" and they say, "We are getting ready to drill."

This happens in my State. This amendment would make sure that, more than a good faith effort that is simply signed off on by some bureaucrat and therefore waived, there is a real effort of implementation. We give full rulemaking authority to the BLM to actually come up with a system for notifying homeowners and adjacent property owners about extraction work that is occurring for the mineral rights that occur under where they live.

I hope that this is a basis of common sense from which we can build a concept of homeowner protections and surface owner rights to balance the rights that the mineral owners have. Certainly, transparency and notification is a simple one and an easy one for the BLM to implement. That is all the amendment would do.

I urge my colleagues to vote "yes."

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. POLIS).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Colorado will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 25 OFFERED BY MR. BARTON

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 25 printed in House Report 114-359.

Mr. BARTON. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of the bill, add the following:

TITLE VII—CHANGING CRUDE OIL MARKET CONDITIONS

SEC. 7001. FINDINGS.

The Congress finds the following:

(1) The United States has enjoyed a renaissance in energy production, establishing the United States as the world's leading oil producer.

(2) By authorizing crude oil exports, the Congress can spur domestic energy production, create and preserve jobs, help maintain and strengthen our independent shipping fleet that is essential to national defense, and generate State and Federal revenues.

(3) An energy-secure United States that is a net exporter of energy has the potential to transform the security environment around the world, notably in Europe and the Middle East.

(4) For our European allies and Israel, the presence of more United States oil in the market will offer more secure supply options, which will strengthen United States strategic alliances and help curtail the use of energy as a political weapon.

(5) The 60-ship Maritime Security Fleet is a vital element of our military's strategic sealift and global response capability. It assures United States-flag ships and United States crews will be available to support the United States military when it needs to mobilize to protect our allies, and is the most prudent and economical solution to meet current and projected sealift requirements for the United States.

(6) The Maritime Security Fleet program provides a labor base of skilled American mariners who are available to crew the United States Government-owned strategic sealift fleet, as well as the United States commercial fleet, in both peace and war.

(7) The United States has reduced its oil consumption over the past decade, and increasing investment in clean energy technology and energy efficiency will lower energy prices, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and increase national security.

SEC. 7002. REPEAL.

Section 103 of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6212) and the item relating thereto in the table of contents of that Act are repealed.

SEC. 7003. NATIONAL POLICY ON OIL EXPORT RESTRICTIONS.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, to promote the efficient exploration, production, storage, supply, marketing, pricing, and regulation of energy resources, including fossil fuels, no official of the Federal Government shall impose or enforce any restriction on the export of crude oil.

SEC. 7004. STUDIES.

(a) GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS.—Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Energy shall conduct, and transmit to the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate the results of, a study on the net greenhouse gas emissions that will result from the repeal of the crude oil export ban under section 7002.

(b) CRUDE OIL EXPORT STUDY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Department of Commerce, in consultation with the Department of Energy, and other departments as appropriate, shall conduct a study of the State and national implications of lifting the crude oil export ban with respect to consumers and the economy.

(2) CONTENTS.—The study conducted under paragraph (1) shall include an analysis of—

(A) the economic impact that exporting crude oil will have on the economy of the United States;

(B) the economic impact that exporting crude oil will have on consumers, taking into account impacts on energy prices;

(C) the economic impact that exporting crude oil will have on domestic manufacturing, taking into account impacts on employment; and

(D) the economic impact that exporting crude oil will have on the refining sector, taking into account impacts on employment.

(3) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Bureau of Industry and Security shall submit to Congress a report containing the results of the study conducted under paragraph (1).

SEC. 7005. SAVINGS CLAUSE.

Nothing in this title limits the authority of the President under the Constitution, the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.), the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.), part B of title II of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6271 et seq.), the Trading With the Enemy Act (50 U.S.C. App. 1 et seq.), or any other provision of law that imposes sanctions on a foreign person or foreign government (including any provision of law that prohibits or restricts United States persons from engaging in a transaction with a sanctioned person or government), including a foreign government that is designated as a state sponsor of terrorism, to prohibit exports.

SEC. 7006. PARTNERSHIPS WITH MINORITY SERVING INSTITUTIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Department of Energy shall continue to develop and broaden partnerships with minority serving institutions, including Hispanic Serving Institutions (HSI) and Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs) in the areas of oil and gas exploration, production, midstream, and refining.

(b) PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS.—The Department of Energy shall encourage public-private partnerships between the energy sector and minority serving institutions, including Hispanic Serving Institutions and Historically Black Colleges and Universities.

SEC. 7007. REPORT.

Not later than 10 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Energy and the Secretary of Commerce shall jointly transmit to Congress a report that reviews the impact of lifting the oil export ban under this title as it relates to promoting United States energy and national security.

SEC. 7008. REPORT TO CONGRESS.

Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Energy and the Secretary of Commerce shall jointly transmit to Congress a report analyzing how lifting the ban on crude oil exports will help create opportunities for veterans and women in the United States, while promoting energy and national security.

SEC. 7009. PROHIBITION ON EXPORTS OF CRUDE OIL, REFINED PETROLEUM PRODUCTS, AND PETROCHEMICAL PRODUCTS TO THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN.

Nothing in this title shall be construed to authorize the export of crude oil, refined petroleum products, and petrochemical products by or through any entity or person, wherever located, subject to the jurisdiction of the United States to any entity or person located in, subject to the jurisdiction of, or sponsored by the Islamic Republic of Iran.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 542, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BARTON) and a Mem-

ber opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. BARTON. Mr. Chairman, I offer this amendment on behalf of myself, Mr. CUELLAR, Mr. FLORES, Mr. CONAWAY, and Mr. MCCAUL.

This amendment is almost identical to H.R. 702, which passed the House floor on a strong bipartisan basis several months ago with 261 votes, I believe, in favor of it.

This is necessary because, while we had hoped that H.R. 702 would be brought up in the other body as a stand-alone bill, it doesn't appear that is going to happen this session, so we want to try to put this on another vehicle that the Senate may yet bring up.

I will also point out that there are a number of larger bills in play, and there is a possibility we will try to attach it to those also.

In any event, this amendment is true to the bill that was brought up on the House floor. It is identical, with two exceptions:

One, it does not have the maritime provision to provide some additional funding for our maritime merchant marine fleet because that was not germane—not because we don't support it, but it was not germane.

And, two, we had a requirement that we do a study of the Strategic Petroleum Reserve. That is no longer necessary because that part of the bill has become law.

□ 1800

Other than that, all of the amendments that were offered and accepted on both sides are in this amendment that is before us today.

We are the third largest oil producer in the world. We have the capability to significantly increase our production, but under current law, Mr. Chairman, that is not possible because it is prohibited by a law that was passed in 1975. The gist of this bill is that it would repeal that ban and allow American crude oil to be put out on the world market, just like our refined oil products are today.

I ask everybody who voted for it before to vote for it again, and for those of you who didn't see the light the last time, we are going to give you a second chance tonight to vote for it.

I want to see if there is anybody willing to stand up and be in opposition to this amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GARAMENDI. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the gentleman's amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from California is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. GARAMENDI. Mr. Chairman, ever since I got involved in public policy, which was about 40 years ago, this Nation has been crying for energy independence.

I remember my very first campaign in 1974, during the oil energy crisis,

when there was all around the world no oil available and no gas available, and we wanted to be energy independent. We are actually getting close to it; although, we continue to import 25 percent of our crude oil, but maybe we are on the cusp of being energy independent.

So what does Big Oil want to do? It is not good enough that they should be the wealthiest of all corporations in America and the world. They want to take our precious and almost energy independent oil and export it.

Where is it going to go? Where is the market? China, for sure, wants oil. They are going to need to double their import of oil. So where is Big Oil going to go with our precious natural resource that we have for at least the last 40 years been trying to use to achieve energy independence?

Why would my good friend from Texas give away to Big Oil our energy independence? Why would we do that?

By the way, the 1975 law does not prohibit. It puts the hand of the government—the President and the Secretary of Commerce—on the spigot, and if it is not in America's interest to export, they can shut the spigot down. There is no such protection in this. The only hand on the spigot for the export of oil is Big Oil. There is \$30 billion a year of additional revenue for Big Oil—as if they don't already have enough.

What about the rest of the Nation? Shouldn't this natural resource asset of America's be shared? It could be. Control the spigot to the benefit of the people at the gas pump. My farmers need chemicals and fertilizer coming from the oil industry. They need the pipes—they need all of the material—and they need the diesel. Oh, we can forget about the farmers. After all, Big Oil wants to ship our precious natural resource—oil—overseas, probably to China.

So why don't we put a control on this, and if it is not in the public interest, don't do it? \$8.7 billion of refining infrastructure will not be built as a result of this export. Whose jobs are those? They are the American middle class', which, apparently, all of us want to protect and enhance. Those are middle class jobs. \$8.7 billion of infrastructure is not going to be built in our refineries.

This is not a big deal. After all, Big Oil wants it. It is no big deal that we would take, as we move towards energy independence, the one product that is available that could diminish the 25 percent oil we currently import. No. We are simply going to ship it offshore. For whose benefit? Are the American mariners going to benefit from that? No. Are the American shipbuilders going to benefit from that? No, not at all. Who is going to benefit? Some in the oil patch will benefit for sure, and, certainly, the Big Oil companies will benefit; but will the American consumer at the gasoline pump benefit?

I have seen the studies. You can design a study that will show it, but it

means nothing. Remember this: \$30 billion of oil a year is going to leave this country. For whose benefit? For Big Oil? It is not for the person at the gas pump. It is not for the farmer who is buying the diesel. It is not for the farmer who wants to buy the fertilizer. Give it away. Let them have it—as if they don't already have enough. For a century, Big Oil has been subsidized by the American public. Enough already.

I don't think this is a good idea. I don't think it is a good idea to take our crude oil and allow it to be shipped overseas with absolutely no restrictions whatsoever. You want a strong vote on this? Then make it a strong "no" vote.

I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. BARTON. I will put the gentleman from California down as being undecided on the amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from College Station, Texas (Mr. FLORES).

Mr. FLORES. Mr. Chair, I rise in strong support of this amendment, which would strengthen our Nation's energy, its security, its jobs, and its economy.

We have heard some interesting rhetoric tonight, but here are the facts. This amendment results in five key benefits to our country:

First, it benefits the American consumer with resulting overall lower energy prices. This particularly benefits lower-income and lower middle-income Americans, providing greater economic security for those hard-working families;

Two, it benefits American producers and allows them to further reinvest in our domestic energy infrastructure, furthering our energy security and good-paying American jobs. Most of those companies are small, independent oil and gas companies, not the major companies that were just talked about;

Three, it benefits our geopolitical standing and strengthens ties with our global friends and allies, and it hurts those countries like Russia, Iran, and Venezuela, which are opposed to American interests;

Four, it benefits the downstream refining community as lower prices will stimulate volume demand for their refined products. This gives them more financial capital to hire skilled American workers and to reinvest in their operations;

Five, it helps cure our trade imbalances.

These are five critical reasons as to why everybody wins if we lift the ban.

The Acting CHAIR. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. BARTON. I yield the gentleman an additional 15 seconds.

Mr. FLORES. Mr. Chairman, I thank Mr. BARTON for his work on this important amendment. I also thank the chairman for his support.

I strongly encourage my colleagues to support the amendment and the underlying bill.

Mr. BARTON. Mr. Chairman, how much time remains?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Texas has 1¾ minutes remaining, and the gentleman from California has yielded back the balance of his time.

Mr. BARTON. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself the balance of my time. I don't see any other speakers on our side.

Let me simply say that this amendment is about jobs for America. There is only one commodity that we prohibit, by law, from being exported, and it is crude oil. We don't prohibit cotton; we don't prohibit corn; we don't prohibit ethanol; we don't prohibit automobiles; we don't prohibit video games or movies. We only prohibit crude oil. That is number one.

Number two, since the oil prices have precipitously fallen in the last 13 or 14 months, we have lost over 250,000 jobs in the United States. Those aren't just oil patch jobs. Those are truck driver jobs; they are warehouse jobs; they are computer programmer jobs; they are restaurant jobs. You name it; those are real jobs. It is estimated, Mr. Chairman, that we are losing as many as 1,000 jobs a week right now. If we repeal this antiquated law, we can put some of those people back to work.

We can put American-made oil in the world marketplace. It makes no sense to let Iran export oil, but we can't let American oil be put on the world market. We don't know who is going to buy the oil, but we do know that the money we will receive from it is going to come back to the United States. It is going to create jobs, and it is going to help our economy. It is going to be good for every American in every State of the 50 States in the Union. Vote for this amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BARTON).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. GARAMENDI. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Texas will be postponed.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, proceedings will now resume on those amendments printed in House Report 114-359 on which further proceedings were postponed, in the following order:

Amendment No. 1 by Mr. UPTON of Michigan.

Amendment No. 2 by Mr. TONKO of New York.

Amendment No. 14 by Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas.

Amendment No. 17 by Mr. BEYER of Virginia.

Amendment No. 19 by Ms. SCHA-KOWSKY of Illinois.

Amendment No. 22 by Mr. TONKO of New York.

Amendment No. 23 by Ms. CASTOR of Florida.

Amendment No. 24 by Mr. POLIS of Colorado.

Amendment No. 25 by Mr. BARTON of Texas.

The Chair will reduce to 2 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote after the first vote in this series.

AMENDMENT NO. 1 OFFERED BY MR. UPTON

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. UPTON) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 246, noes 177, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 656]

AYES—246

Abraham	Ellmers (NC)	King (NY)
Aderholt	Emmer (MN)	Kinzinger (IL)
Allen	Farenthold	Kline
Amash	Fincher	Knight
Amodei	Fitzpatrick	Labrador
Babin	Fleischmann	LaHood
Barletta	Fleming	LaMalfa
Barr	Flores	Lamborn
Barton	Forbes	Lance
Benishek	Fortenberry	Larson (CT)
Bilirakis	Fox	Latta
Bishop (MI)	Franks (AZ)	LoBiondo
Bishop (UT)	Frelinghuysen	Long
Black	Garrett	Loudermilk
Blackburn	Gibbs	Love
Blum	Gibson	Lucas
Bost	Gohmert	Luetkemeyer
Boustany	Goodlatte	Lummis
Brady (TX)	Gosar	MacArthur
Brat	Gowdy	Marchant
Bridenstine	Granger	Marino
Brooks (AL)	Graves (GA)	Massie
Brooks (IN)	Graves (LA)	McCarthy
Buchanan	Graves (MO)	McCaul
Buck	Green, Gene	McClintock
Bucshon	Griffith	McHenry
Burgess	Grothman	McKinley
Byrne	Guinta	McMorris
Calvert	Guthrie	Rodgers
Carter (GA)	Hanna	McSally
Carter (TX)	Hardy	Meadows
Chabot	Harper	Meehan
Chaffetz	Harris	Messer
Clawson (FL)	Hartzler	Mica
Coffman	Heck (NV)	Miller (FL)
Cole	Hensarling	Miller (MI)
Collins (GA)	Herrera Beutler	Moolenaar
Collins (NY)	Hice, Jody B.	Mooney (WV)
Comstock	Hill	Mullin
Conaway	Holding	Mulvaney
Cook	Hudson	Murphy (PA)
Costa	Huelskamp	Neugebauer
Costello (PA)	Huizenga (MI)	Newhouse
Cramer	Hultgren	Noem
Crawford	Hunter	Nugent
Crenshaw	Hurd (TX)	Nunes
Culberson	Hurt (VA)	Olson
Curbelo (FL)	Issa	Palazzo
Davis, Rodney	Jenkins (KS)	Palmer
Denham	Jenkins (WV)	Paulsen
Dent	Johnson (OH)	Pearce
DeSantis	Johnson, Sam	Perry
DesJarlais	Jolly	Peterson
Diaz-Balart	Jordan	Pittenger
Dold	Joyce	Pitts
Donovan	Katko	Poe (TX)
Duffy	Kelly (MS)	Poliquin
Duncan (SC)	Kelly (PA)	Pompeo
Duncan (TN)	King (IA)	Posey

Price, Tom
Ratcliffe
Reed
Reichert
Renacci
Ribble
Rice (SC)
Rigell
Roby
Roe (TN)
Rogers (AL)
Rogers (KY)
Rohrabacher
Rokita
Rooney (FL)
Ros-Lehtinen
Roskam
Ross
Rothfus
Rouzer
Royce
Russell
Salmon
Sanford

NOES—177

Adams
Ashford
Bass
Beatty
Becerra
Bera
Beyer
Bishop (GA)
Blumenauer
Bonamici
Boyle, Brendan F.
Brady (PA)
Brown (FL)
Brownley (CA)
Bustos
Butterfield
Capps
Capuano
Cárdenas
Carney
Carson (IN)
Cartwright
Castor (FL)
Castro (TX)
Chu, Judy
Cicilline
Clark (MA)
Clarke (NY)
Clay
Cleaver
Clyburn
Cohen
Connolly
Conyers
Cooper
Courtney
Crowley
Cummings
Davis (CA)
Davis, Danny
DeFazio
DeGette
Delaney
DeLauro
DelBene
DeSaulnier
Deutch
Dingell
Doggett
Doyle, Michael F.
Duckworth
Edwards
Ellison
Engel
Eshoo
Esty
Farr
Fattah
Foster
Frankel (FL)

NOT VOTING—10

Aguilar
Cuellar
Meeks
Payne

□ 1838

Mr. RIGELL changed his vote from “no” to “aye.”

Scalise
Schrader
Schweikert
Scott, Austin
Sensenbrenner
Sessions
Shimkus
Shuster
Simpson
Smith (MO)
Smith (NE)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)
Stewart
Stivers
Stutzman
Thompson (PA)
Thornberry
Tiberi
Tipton
Trott
Turner
Upton
Valadao

NOES—177

Wagner
Walberg
Walden
Walker
Walorski
Walters, Mimi
Weber (TX)
Wenstrup
Westerman
Westmoreland
Whitfield
Wilson (SC)
Wittman
Womack
Woodall
Yoder
Yoho
Young (AK)
Young (IA)
Young (IN)
Zeldin
Zinke

NOT VOTING—10

Nadler
Napolitano
Neal
Nolan
Norcross
O'Rourke
Pallone
Pascarell
Pelosi
Perlmutter
Peters
Pingree
Pocan
Polis
Price (NC)
Quigley
Rangel
Rice (NY)
Richmond
Roybal-Allard
Ruiz
Rush
Ryan (OH)
Sánchez, Linda T.
Sarbanes
Schakowsky
Schiff
Scott (VA)
Scott, David
Serrano
Sewell (AL)
Sherman
Sinema
Sires
Slaughter
Smith (WA)
Speier
Swalwell (CA)
Takano
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Titus
Tonko
Torres
Tsongas
Van Hollen
Vargas
Veasey
Vela
Velázquez
Visclosky
Walz
Wasserman
Schultz
Waters, Maxine
Watson Coleman
Welch
Wilson (FL)
Yarmuth

□ 1838

Webster (FL)
Williams

So the amendment was agreed to.
The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 2 OFFERED BY MR. TONKO
The Acting CHAIR (Mrs. BLACK). The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New York (Mr. TONKO) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.
The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE
The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.
The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 179, noes 244, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 657]

AYES—179

Adams
Bass
Beatty
Becerra
Bera
Beyer
Bishop (GA)
Blumenauer
Bonamici
Boyle, Brendan F.
Brady (PA)
Brown (FL)
Brownley (CA)
Bustos
Butterfield
Capps
Capuano
Cárdenas
Carney
Carson (IN)
Cartwright
Castor (FL)
Castro (TX)
Chu, Judy
Cicilline
Clark (MA)
Clarke (NY)
Clay
Cleaver
Clyburn
Cohen
Connolly
Conyers
Cooper
Costello (PA)
Courtney
Crenshaw
Crowley
Cummings
Davis (CA)
Davis, Danny
DeFazio
DeGette
Delaney
DeLauro
DelBene
DeSaulnier
Deutch
Dingell
Doggett
Duckworth
Edwards
Ellison
Engel
Eshoo
Esty
Farr
Fattah
Foster
Frankel (FL)

Abraham
Aderholt
Allen
Amash
Amodeli
Ashford
Babin
Barletta
Barr
Barton
Benishek
Bilirakis
Bishop (MI)
Bishop (UT)
Black
Blackburn
Blum
Bost
Boustany
Brady (TX)
Brat
Bridenstine
Brooks (AL)
Brooks (IN)
Buchanan
Bucshon
Burgess
Byrne
Calvert
Carter (GA)
Carter (TX)
Chabot
Chaffetz
Clawson (FL)
Coffman
Cole
Collins (GA)
Collins (NY)
Comstock
Conaway
Cook
Costa
Cramer
Crawford
Culberson
Curbelo (FL)
Davis, Rodney
Denham
Dent
DeSantis
DesJarlais
Diaz-Balart
Dold
Donovan
Doyle, Michael F.
Duffy
Duncan (SC)
Duncan (TN)
Ellmers (NC)
Emmer (MN)
Farenthold
Fincher
Fleischmann
Fleming
Flores
Forbes
Fortenberry
Franks (AZ)
Frelinghuysen
Garrett
Gibbs
Gohmert
Goodlatte
Gosar
Gowdy
Granger
Graves (GA)
Graves (LA)
Graves (MO)

NOT VOTING—10

Aguilar
Cuellar
Marchant
Meeks

Griffith
Grothman
Guinta
Guthrie
Hanna
Hardy
Harper
Harris
Hartzler
Heck (NV)
Hensarling
Herrera Beutler
Hice, Jody B.
Hill
Hinojosa
Hudson
Huelskamp
Huizenga (MI)
Hultgren
Hunter
Hurd (TX)
Hurt (VA)
Issa
Jenkins (KS)
Jenkins (WV)
Johnson (OH)
Johnson, Sam
Jolly
Jones
Jordan
Joyce
Katko
Kelly (MS)
Kelly (PA)
King (IA)
King (NY)
Kinzinger (IL)
Kline
Knight
Labrador
LaHood
LaMalfa
Lamborn
Latta
LoBiondo
Long
Loudermilk
Love
Lowey
Lucas
Luetkemeyer
Lummis
MacArthur
Marino
Massie
McCarthy
McClintock
McHenry
McKinley
McMorris
Rodgers
McSally
Meadows
Meehan
Messer
Mica
Miller (FL)
Miller (MI)
Moolenaar
Mooney (WV)
Mullin
Mulvaney
Murphy (PA)
Neugebauer
Newhouse
Noem
Nugent
Nunes
Olson
Palazzo
Palmer

Paulsen
Pearce
Perlmutter
Peters
Peterson
Pittenger
Pitts
Poe (TX)
Poliquin
Pompeo
Price, Tom
Ratcliffe
Reed
Reichert
Renacci
Ribble
Rice (SC)
Rigell
Rohrabacher
Rokita
Rooney (FL)
Ros-Lehtinen
Roskam
Ross
Rothfus
Rouzer
Royce
Russell
Salmon
Sanford
Scalise
Schrader
Schweikert
Scott, Austin
Sessions
Shimkus
Shuster
Simpson
Sinema
Smith (MO)
Smith (NE)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)
Stefanik
Stewart
Stivers
Stutzman
Thompson (PA)
Thornberry
Tiberi
Tipton
Trott
Tsongas
Turner
Upton
Valadao
Wagner
Walberg
Walden
Walker
Walorski
Walters, Mimi
Weber (TX)
Wenstrup
Westerman
Westmoreland
Whitfield
Wilson (SC)
Wittman
Womack
Woodall
Yoder
Yoho
Young (AK)
Young (IA)
Young (IN)
Zeldin
Zinke

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR
The Acting CHAIR (during the vote).
There is 1 minute remaining.
□ 1843
So the amendment was rejected.
The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 14 OFFERED BY MR. GENE GREEN OF TEXAS

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GENE GREEN) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 263, noes 158, not voting 12, as follows:

[Roll No. 658]

AYES—263

Abraham	Fleming	LoBiondo
Adams	Flores	Long
Aderholt	Forbes	Loudermilk
Allen	Fortenberry	Love
Amash	Fox	Lucas
Amodei	Franks (AZ)	Luetkemeyer
Ashford	Frelinghuysen	Lummis
Babin	Garrett	MacArthur
Barletta	Gibbs	Maloney,
Barr	Gibson	Carolyn
Barton	Gohmert	Marchant
Bass	Goodlatte	Marino
Benishek	Gosar	Massie
Bilirakis	Gowdy	McCarthy
Bishop (GA)	Graham	McClintock
Bishop (MI)	Granger	McHenry
Bishop (UT)	Graves (GA)	McKinley
Black	Graves (LA)	McMorris
Blackburn	Graves (MO)	Rodgers
Blum	Green, Al	McSally
Bost	Green, Gene	Meadows
Boustany	Griffith	Meehan
Brady (TX)	Grothman	Messer
Brat	Guinta	Mica
Bridenstine	Guthrie	Miller (FL)
Brooks (AL)	Hanna	Miller (MI)
Brooks (IN)	Hardy	Moolenaar
Buchanan	Harper	Mooney (WV)
Buck	Harris	Mullin
Buschon	Hartzler	Mulvaney
Burgess	Heck (NV)	Murphy (PA)
Butterfield	Hensarling	Neugebauer
Byrne	Herrera Beutler	Newhouse
Calvert	Hice, Jody B.	Noem
Carter (GA)	Hill	Norcross
Carter (TX)	Hinojosa	Nugent
Chabot	Holding	Nunes
Chaffetz	Hudson	Olson
Clawson (FL)	Huelskamp	Palazzo
Cleaver	Huizenga (MI)	Palmer
Coffman	Hultgren	Paulsen
Cole	Hunter	Pearce
Collins (GA)	Hurd (TX)	Perlmutter
Collins (NY)	Hurt (VA)	Perry
Comstock	Issa	Peters
Conaway	Jackson Lee	Peterson
Cook	Jenkins (KS)	Pittenger
Costa	Jenkins (WV)	Pitts
Cramer	Johnson (OH)	Poe (TX)
Crawford	Johnson, E. B.	Poliquin
Culberson	Johnson, Sam	Pompeo
Curbelo (FL)	Jolly	Posey
Davis, Rodney	Jordan	Price, Tom
Denham	Kaptur	Ratcliffe
Dent	Katko	Reed
DeSantis	Kelly (MS)	Reichert
DesJarlais	Kelly (PA)	Renacci
Diaz-Balart	King (NY)	Ribble
Dold	Kinzinger (IL)	Rice (SC)
Donovan	Kline	Richmond
Duffy	Knight	Rigell
Duncan (SC)	Labrador	Roby
Duncan (TN)	LaHood	Roe (TN)
Ellmers (NC)	LaMalfa	Rogers (AL)
Emmer (MN)	Lamborn	Rogers (KY)
Farenthold	Lance	Rohrabacher
Fitzpatrick	Larsen (WA)	Rokita
Fleischmann	Latta	Rooney (FL)

Ros-Lehtinen	Smith (NE)
Roskam	Smith (NJ)
Ross	Smith (TX)
Rothfus	Stefanik
Rouzer	Stewart
Royce	Stivers
Russell	Stutzman
Salmon	Thompson (MS)
Sanford	Thompson (PA)
Scalise	Thornberry
Schrader	Tiberi
Schweikert	Tipton
Scott, Austin	Trott
Scott, David	Turner
Sensenbrenner	Upton
Sessions	Valadao
Shimkus	Veasey
Shuster	Vela
Simpson	Wagner
Sires	Walberg
Smith (MO)	Walden

NOES—158

Beatty	Foster
Becerra	Frankel (FL)
Bera	Fudge
Beyer	Gabbard
Blumenauer	Gallego
Bonamici	Garamendi
Boyle, Brendan F.	Grayson
Brady (PA)	Grijalva
Brown (FL)	Gutiérrez
Brownley (CA)	Hahn
Bustos	Hastings
Capps	Heck (WA)
Capuano	Higgins
Cardenas	Himes
Carney	Honda
Carson (IN)	Hoyer
Cartwright	Huffman
Castor (FL)	Israel
Castro (TX)	Jeffries
Chu, Judy	Johnson (GA)
Cicilline	Jones
Clark (MA)	Keating
Clarke (NY)	Kelly (IL)
Clay	Kennedy
Clyburn	Kildee
Cohen	Kilmer
Connolly	King
Conyers	King (IA)
Cooper	Kirkpatrick
Courtney	Kuster
Crowley	Langevin
Cummings	Larson (CT)
Davis (CA)	Lawrence
Davis, Danny	Lee
DeFazio	Levin
DeGette	Lewis
Delaney	Lieu, Ted
DeLauro	Lipinski
DeBene	Loebsack
DeSaulnier	Lofgren
Deutch	Lowenthal
Dingell	Lowe
Doggett	Lujan Grisham
Doyle, Michael F.	(NM)
Duckworth	Luján, Ben Ray
Edwards	(NM)
Ellison	Lynch
Engel	Maloney, Sean
Eshoo	Matsui
Esty	McCaul
Farr	McCollum
Fattah	McDermott
Fincher	McGovern
	McNerney
	Meng

NOT VOTING—12

Aguilar	Joyce
Costello (PA)	Meeks
Crenshaw	Payne
Cuellar	Ruppersberger

Walker	Young (AK)
Walorski	Young (IA)
Walters, Mimi	Young (IN)
Weber (TX)	Zeldin
Wenstrup	Zinke
Westerman	
Westmoreland	
Whitfield	
Wilson (SC)	
Wittman	
Womack	
Woodall	
Yoder	
Yoho	

AMENDMENT NO. 17 OFFERED BY MR. BEYER

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. BEYER) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This is a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 172, noes 246, not voting 15, as follows:

[Roll No. 659]

AYES—172

Adams	Grayson	Neal
Becerra	Green, Al	Nolan
Bera	Grijalva	Norcross
Beyer	Gutiérrez	O'Rourke
Bishop (GA)	Hahn	Pallone
Blumenauer	Hastings	Pascrell
Bonamici	Heck (WA)	Pelosi
Boyle, Brendan F.	Higgins	Perlmutter
Brady (PA)	Himes	Peters
Brown (FL)	Hinojosa	Pingree
Brownley (CA)	Honda	Pocan
Bustos	Hoyer	Polis
Butterfield	Huffman	Price (NC)
Capuano	Israel	Quigley
Cardenas	Jackson Lee	Reichert
Carney	Jeffries	Rice (NY)
Carson (IN)	Johnson (GA)	Richmond
Cartwright	Johnson, E. B.	Ros-Lehtinen
Castor (FL)	Kaptur	Roybal-Allard
Castro (TX)	Keating	Ruiz
Chu, Judy	Kelly (IL)	Rush
Cicilline	Kennedy	Ryan (OH)
Clark (MA)	Kildee	Sánchez, Linda T.
Clarke (NY)	Kilmer	Sarbanes
Clay	Kind	Schakowsky
Clyburn	Kirkpatrick	Schiff
Cohen	Kuster	Scott (VA)
Connolly	Langevin	Scott, David
Conroy	Larsen (WA)	Serrano
Cooper	Larson (CT)	Sewell (AL)
Courtney	Lawrence	Sherman
Crowley	Lee	Sinema
Cummings	Levin	Lieu, Ted
Davis (CA)	Lewis	Lipinski
Davis, Danny	Lieu, Ted	LoBiondo
DeFazio	Lie, Ted	Loebsack
DeGette	Lipinski	Lofgren
Delaney	LoBiondo	Lowenthal
DeLauro	Loebsack	Deutch
DeBene	Lofgren	Dingell
DeSaulnier	Lowenthal	Doggett
Deutch	Lowe	Dold
Dingell	Lujan Grisham	Duckworth
Doggett	(NM)	Edwards
Doyle, Michael F.	Luján, Ben Ray	Ellison
Duckworth	(NM)	Engel
Edwards	Lynch	Eshoo
Ellison	Maloney, Sean	Esty
Engel	Matsui	Farr
Eshoo	McCollum	Fattah
Esty	McDermott	Fitzpatrick
Farr	McGovern	Foster
Fattah	McNerney	Frankel (FL)
Fincher	Meng	Gabbard
		Gallego
		Garamendi
		Gibson
		Graham

NOES—246

Abraham	Barletta	Bishop (MI)
Aderholt	Barr	Bishop (UT)
Allen	Barton	Black
Amash	Bass	Blackburn
Amodei	Beatty	Blum
Ashford	Benishek	Bost
Babin	Bilirakis	Boustany

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR
The Acting CHAIR (during the vote).
There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1848

Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS of Illinois changed his vote from "aye" to "no."
Mrs. BLACK and Mr. AMODEI changed their vote from "no" to "aye."
So the amendment was agreed to.
The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Brady (TX)	Hensarling	Pittenger
Brat	Herrera Beutler	Pitts
Bridenstine	Hice, Jody B.	Poe (TX)
Brooks (AL)	Hill	Poliquin
Brooks (IN)	Holding	Pompeo
Buchanan	Hudson	Posey
Buck	Huelskamp	Price, Tom
Bucshon	Huizenga (MI)	Ratcliffe
Burgess	Hultgren	Reed
Byrne	Hunter	Renacci
Calvert	Hurd (TX)	Ribble
Carter (GA)	Hurt (VA)	Rice (SC)
Carter (TX)	Issa	Rigell
Chabot	Jenkins (KS)	Roby
Chaffetz	Jenkins (WV)	Roe (TN)
Clawson (FL)	Johnson (OH)	Rogers (AL)
Coffman	Johnson, Sam	Rogers (KY)
Cole	Jolly	Rohrabacher
Collins (GA)	Jones	Rokita
Collins (NY)	Jordan	Rooney (FL)
Comstock	Joyce	Roskam
Conaway	Katko	Ross
Cook	Kelly (MS)	Rothfus
Cooper	Kelly (PA)	Rouzer
Costa	King (IA)	Royce
Costello (PA)	King (NY)	Russell
Cramer	Kinzinger (IL)	Salmon
Crawford	Kline	Sanford
Crenshaw	Knight	Scalise
Culberson	Labrador	Schraeder
Davis, Rodney	LaHood	Schweikert
DeFazio	LaMalfa	Scott, Austin
Denham	Lamborn	Sensenbrenner
Dent	Lance	Sessions
DeSantis	Latta	Shimkus
DesJarlais	Long	Shuster
Diaz-Balart	Loudermilk	Simpson
Donovan	Love	Smith (MO)
Doyle, Michael	Lucas	Smith (NE)
F.	Luetkemeyer	Smith (NJ)
Duffy	Lummis	Smith (TX)
Duncan (SC)	MacArthur	Stefanik
Duncan (TN)	Marchant	Stivers
Ellmers (NC)	Marino	Stutzman
Emmer (MN)	Massie	Stivers
Farenthold	McCarthy	Stutzman
Fincher	McCaul	Thompson (PA)
Fleischmann	McClintock	Thornberry
Fleming	McHenry	Tiberi
Flores	McKinley	Tipton
Forbes	McMorris	Trott
Fortenberry	Rodgers	Turner
Fox	McSally	Upton
Franks (AZ)	Meadows	Valadao
Frelinghuysen	Meehan	Wagner
Fudge	Messer	Walberg
Garrett	Mica	Walden
Gibbs	Miller (FL)	Walker
Gohmert	Miller (MI)	Walters, Mimi
Goodlatte	Moolenaar	Weber (TX)
Gosar	Mooney (WV)	Wilson (SC)
Gowdy	Mullin	Wittman
Granger	Mulvaney	Womack
Graves (GA)	Murphy (PA)	Woodall
Graves (LA)	Neugebauer	Yoder
Graves (MO)	Newhouse	Yoho
Griffith	Noem	Young (AK)
Grothman	Nugent	Young (IA)
Guinta	Nunes	Young (IN)
Guthrie	Olson	Zeldin
Hanna	Palazzo	Zinke
Hardy	Palmer	
Harper	Paulsen	
Harris	Pearce	
Hartzler	Perry	
Heck (NV)	Peterson	

NOT VOTING—15

Aguilar	Green, Gene	Sanchez, Loretta
Capps	Meeks	Takai
Cleaver	Payne	Walorski
Conyers	Rangel	Webster (FL)
Cuellar	Ruppersberger	Williams

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1851

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated against:

Mrs. WALORSKI. Madam Chair, on rollcall No. 659 I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "no."

AMENDMENT NO. 19 OFFERED BY MS.

SCHAKOWSKY

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Illinois (Ms. SCHAKOWSKY) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This is a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 183, noes 239, not voting 11, as follows:

[Roll No. 660]

AYES—183

Adams	Foster	Moore
Amash	Frankel (FL)	Moulton
Ashford	Fudge	Murphy (FL)
Bass	Gabbard	Nadler
Beatty	Gallego	Napolitano
Becerra	Garamendi	Neal
Bera	Gibson	Nolan
Beyer	Graham	Norcross
Bishop (GA)	Grayson	O'Rourke
Bonamici	Green, Al	Pallone
Boyle, Brendan	Green, Gene	Pascarell
F.	Grijalva	Pelosi
Brady (PA)	Gutiérrez	Perlmutter
Brooks (AL)	Hahn	Peterson
Brown (FL)	Hastings	Pingree
Brownley (CA)	Heck (WA)	Pocan
Bustos	Herrera Beutler	Price (NC)
Butterfield	Higgins	Quigley
Capps	Hinojosa	Rangel
Capuano	Honda	Reichert
Cárdenas	Hoyer	Rice (NY)
Carney	Huffman	Richmond
Carson (IN)	Israel	Ros-Lehtinen
Cartwright	Jackson Lee	Roybal-Allard
Castor (FL)	Jeffries	Ruiz
Castro (TX)	Johnson (GA)	Rush
Chu, Judy	Johnson, E. B.	Ryan (OH)
Ciilline	Jones	Sánchez, Linda
Clark (MA)	Kaptur	T.
Clarke (NY)	Keating	Sarbanes
Clay	Kelly (IL)	Schakowsky
Kennedy	Kennedy	Schiff
Cleaver	Kildee	Scott (VA)
Kildee	Kilmer	Scott, David
Cohen	Kind	Serrano
Connolly	Kirkpatrick	Sewell (AL)
Conyers	Kuster	Sherman
Costa	Langevin	Sires
Costello (PA)	Larsen (WA)	Slaughter
Courtney	Larson (CT)	Smith (WA)
Crowley	Lawrence	Speier
Cummings	Lee	Swalwell (CA)
Curbelo (FL)	Levin	Takano
Davis (CA)	Lewis	Thompson (CA)
Davis, Danny	Lieu, Ted	Thompson (MS)
DeFazio	Lipinski	Titus
DeGette	LoBiondo	Tonko
Delaney	Loeb sack	Torres
DeLauro	Lofgren	Tsongas
DelBene	Lowenthal	Van Hollen
DeSaulnier	Lowe	Vargas
Deutch	Lujan Grisham	Veasey
Diaz-Balart	(NM)	Vela
Dingell	Luján, Ben Ray	Velázquez
Doggett	(NM)	Visclosky
Doyle, Michael	Lynch	Walz
F.	Maloney,	Wasserman
Duckworth	Carolyn	Schultz
Duncan (TN)	Maloney, Sean	Waters, Maxine
Edwards	Matsui	Watson Coleman
Ellison	McCollum	Wilson (FL)
Engel	McDermott	Yarmuth
Eshoo	McGovern	
Farr	Meng	
Fattah		

NOES—239

Abraham	Guthrie	Pearce
Aderholt	Hanna	Perry
Allen	Hardy	Peters
Amodei	Harper	Pittenger
Babin	Harris	Pitts
Barletta	Hartzler	Poe (TX)
Barr	Heck (NV)	Poliquin
Barton	Hensarling	Polis
Benishek	Hice, Jody B.	Pompeo
Billirakis	Hill	Posey
Bishop (MI)	Himes	Price, Tom
Bishop (UT)	Holding	Ratcliffe
Black	Hudson	Reed
Blackburn	Huelskamp	Renacci
Blum	Huizenga (MI)	Ribble
Blumenauer	Hultgren	Rice (SC)
Bost	Hunter	Rigell
Boustany	Hurd (TX)	Roby
Brady (TX)	Hurt (VA)	Roe (TN)
Brat	Issa	Rogers (AL)
Bridenstine	Jenkins (KS)	Rogers (KY)
Brooks (IN)	Jenkins (WV)	Rohrabacher
Buchanan	Johnson (OH)	Rokita
Buck	Johnson, Sam	Rooney (FL)
Bucshon	Jolly	Roskam
Burgess	Jordan	Ross
Byrne	Joyce	Rothfus
Calvert	Katko	Rouzer
Carter (GA)	Kelly (MS)	Russell
Carter (TX)	Kelly (PA)	Salmon
Chabot	King (IA)	Sanford
Chaffetz	King (NY)	Scalise
Clawson (FL)	Kinzinger (IL)	Schraeder
Coffman	Klaine	Schweikert
Collins (GA)	Knight	Scott, Austin
Collins (NY)	Labrador	Sensenbrenner
Comstock	LaHood	Sessions
Conaway	LaMalfa	Shimkus
Cook	Lamborn	Shuster
Cooper	Lance	Simpson
Cramer	Latta	Sinema
Crawford	Long	Smith (MO)
Crayshaw	Loudermilk	Smith (NE)
Culberson	Love	Smith (NJ)
Davis, Rodney	Lucas	Smith (TX)
Denham	Luetkemeyer	Stefanik
Dent	Lummis	Stewart
DeSantis	MacArthur	Stivers
DesJarlais	Marchant	Stutzman
Dold	Marino	Thompson (PA)
Donovan	Massie	Thornberry
Duffy	McCarthy	Tiberi
Duncan (SC)	McCaul	Tipton
Duncan (TN)	McClintock	Trott
Ellmers (NC)	McHenry	Turner
Emmer (MN)	McKinley	Upton
Farenthold	McMorris	Valadao
Fincher	Rodgers	Wagner
Fleischmann	Fitzpatrick	Walberg
Fleming	Fleischmann	Walder
Flores	Meadows	Walker
Forbes	Meehan	Walorski
Fortenberry	Messer	Walters, Mimi
Fox	Mica	Weber (TX)
Franks (AZ)	Miller (FL)	Welch
Frelinghuysen	Miller (MI)	Wenstrup
Fudge	Moolenaar	Westerman
Garrett	Mooney (WV)	Westmoreland
Gibbs	Mullin	Whitfield
Gohmert	Mulvaney	Wilson (SC)
Goodlatte	Murphy (PA)	Wittman
Gosar	Neugebauer	Womack
Gowdy	Newhouse	Woodall
Granger	Noem	Yoder
Graves (GA)	Nugent	Yoho
Graves (LA)	Nunes	Young (AK)
Graves (MO)	Olson	Young (IA)
Griffith	Palazzo	Young (IN)
Grothman	Palmer	Zeldin
Guinta	Paulsen	Zinke

NOT VOTING—11

Aguilar	Payne	Takai
Cole	Royce	Webster (FL)
Cuellar	Ruppersberger	Williams
Meeks	Sanchez, Loretta	

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1854

Mr. POLIS changed his vote from "aye to "no." So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 22 OFFERED BY MR. TONKO

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New York (Mr. TONKO) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This is a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 198, noes 224, not voting 11, as follows:

[Roll No. 661]

AYES—198

Adams	Foster	McKinley
Ashford	Frankel (FL)	McNerney
Bass	Fudge	McSally
Beatty	Gabbard	Meng
Becerra	Gallego	Moore
Bera	Garamendi	Moulton
Beyer	Gibson	Murphy (FL)
Bishop (GA)	Graham	Nadler
Blum	Grayson	Napolitano
Blumenauer	Green, Al	Neal
Bonamici	Green, Gene	Nolan
Boyle, Brendan	Grijalva	Norcross
F.	Hahn	O'Rourke
Brady (PA)	Hanna	Pallone
Brown (FL)	Hastings	Pascarell
Brownley (CA)	Heck (WA)	Pelosi
Bustos	Higgins	Perlmutter
Butterfield	Himes	Peters
Capps	Hinojosa	Peterson
Capuano	Honda	Pingree
Cárdenas	Hoyer	Pocan
Carney	Huffman	Poliquin
Carson (IN)	Israel	Polis
Cartwright	Jackson Lee	Price (NC)
Castor (FL)	Jeffries	Quigley
Castro (TX)	Johnson (GA)	Rangel
Chu, Judy	Johnson, E. B.	Reed
Ciциlline	Jolly	Rice (NY)
Clark (MA)	Kaptur	Richmond
Clarke (NY)	Katko	Ros-Lehtinen
Clay	Keating	Roybal-Allard
Cleaver	Kelly (IL)	Ruiz
Clyburn	Kennedy	Rush
Cohen	Kildee	Ryan (OH)
Connolly	Kilmer	Sánchez, Linda
Conyers	Kind	T.
Cooper	Kinzinger (IL)	Sarbanes
Costa	Kirkpatrick	Schakowsky
Costello (PA)	Kuster	Schiff
Courtney	Langevin	Schrader
Crowley	Larsen (WA)	Scott (VA)
Cummings	Larson (CT)	Scott, David
Curbeo (FL)	Lawrence	Serrano
Davis (CA)	Lee	Sewell (AL)
Davis, Danny	Levin	Sherman
DeFazio	Lewis	Sinema
DeGette	Lieu, Ted	Sires
Delaney	Lipinski	Slaughter
DeLauro	LoBiondo	Smith (WA)
DelBene	Loebsack	Speier
Dent	Lofgren	Swalwell (CA)
DeSaulnier	Lowenthal	Takano
Deutch	Lowey	Thompson (CA)
Dingell	Lujan Grisham	Thompson (MS)
Doggett	(NM)	Titus
Doyle, Michael	Lujan, Ben Ray	Tonko
F.	(NM)	Torres
Duckworth	Lynch	Tsongas
Edwards	MacArthur	Van Hollen
Ellison	Maloney,	Vargas
Engel	Carolyn	Veasey
Eshoo	Maloney, Sean	Vela
Esty	Matsui	Velázquez
Farr	McCollum	Vislosky
Fattah	McDermott	Walz
Fitzpatrick	McGovern	

Wasserman
Schultz
Waters, Maxine

Watson Coleman
Welch
Wilson (FL)

Yarmuth
Young (IA)

AMENDMENT NO. 23 OFFERED BY MS. CASTOR OF FLORIDA

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. CASTOR) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This is a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 175, noes 247, not voting 11, as follows:

[Roll No. 662]

AYES—175

Adams	Gallego	Murphy (FL)
Ashford	Garamendi	Nadler
Bass	Gibson	Napolitano
Becerra	Graham	Neal
Bera	Grayson	Nolan
Beyer	Green, Al	Norcross
Bishop (GA)	Green, Gene	O'Rourke
Blumenauer	Grijalva	Pallone
Bonamici	Gutiérrez	Pascarell
Boyle, Brendan	Hahn	Pelosi
F.	Hastings	Perlmutter
Brady (PA)	Heck (WA)	Peters
Brown (FL)	Higgins	Pingree
Brownley (CA)	Himes	Pocan
Bustos	Hinojosa	Polis
Butterfield	Honda	Price (NC)
Capps	Hoyer	Quigley
Capuano	Huffman	Rangel
Cárdenas	Israel	Rice (NY)
Carney	Jackson Lee	Richmond
Carson (IN)	Jeffries	Roybal-Allard
Cartwright	Johnson (GA)	Ruiz
Castor (FL)	Johnson, E. B.	Rush
Castro (TX)	Kaptur	Ryan (OH)
Chu, Judy	Keating	Sánchez, Linda
Ciциlline	Kelly (IL)	T.
Clark (MA)	Kennedy	Sarbanes
Clarke (NY)	Kildee	Schakowsky
Clay	Kilmer	Schiff
Clyburn	Kind	Schrader
Cohen	Kirkpatrick	Scott (VA)
Connolly	Kuster	Serrano
Cooper	Langevin	Sewell (AL)
Costa	Larsen (WA)	Sherman
Costello (PA)	Lawrence	Sinema
Courtney	Lee	Sires
Crowley	Levin	Slaughter
Cummings	Lewis	Smith (WA)
Curbeo (FL)	Lieu, Ted	Speier
Davis (CA)	Lipinski	Swalwell (CA)
Davis, Danny	LoBiondo	Takano
DeFazio	Loebsack	Thompson (CA)
DeGette	Loegren	Titus
Delaney	Lowenthal	Tonko
DeLauro	Lowey	Torres
DelBene	Lujan Grisham	Tsongas
Dent	(NM)	Van Hollen
DeSaulnier	Lujan, Ben Ray	Vargas
Deutch	(NM)	Veasey
Dingell	Lynch	Vela
Doggett	MacArthur	Velázquez
Doyle, Michael	Maloney,	Vislosky
F.	Carolyn	Walz
Duckworth	Maloney, Sean	Wasserman
Edwards	Matsui	Schultz
Ellison	McCollum	Waters, Maxine
Engel	McDermott	Watson Coleman
Eshoo	McGovern	Welch
Esty	McNerney	Wilson (FL)
Farr	Meng	Yarmuth
Fattah	Moore	
Foster	Moulton	
Frankel (FL)		
Gabbard		

NOT VOTING—11

Aguilar	Meeks	Takai
Cole	Payne	Webster (FL)
Cuellar	Ruppersberger	Williams
Gutiérrez	Sanchez, Loretta	

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1858

So the amendment was rejected. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

NOES—247

Abraham	Amodei	Barton
Aderholt	Babin	Beatty
Allen	Barletta	Benishek
Amash	Barr	Bilirakis

Bishop (MI) Harper
 Bishop (UT) Harris
 Black Hartzler
 Blackburn Heck (NV)
 Blum Hensarling
 Bost Herrera Beutler
 Boustany Hice, Jody B.
 Brady (TX) Hill
 Brat Holding
 Bridenstine Hudson
 Brooks (AL) Huelskamp
 Brooks (IN) Huizenga (MI)
 Buchanan Hultgren
 Buck Hunter
 Bucshon Hurd (TX)
 Burgess Hurt (VA)
 Byrne Issa
 Calvert Jenkins (KS)
 Carter (GA) Jenkins (WV)
 Carter (TX) Johnson (OH)
 Chabot Johnson, Sam
 Chaffetz Jolly
 Clawson (FL) Jones
 Cleaver Jordan
 Coffman Joyce
 Cole Katko
 Collins (GA) Kelly (MS)
 Collins (NY) Kelly (PA)
 Comstock King (IA)
 Conaway King (NY)
 Conyers Kinzinger (IL)
 Cook Kline
 Costello (PA) Knight
 Cramer Labrador
 Crawford LaHood
 Crenshaw LaMalfa
 Culberson Lamborn
 Curbelo (FL) Lance
 Davis, Rodney Latta
 Denham LoBiondo
 Dent Long
 DeSantis Loudermilk
 DesJarlais Love
 Diaz-Balart Lucas
 Dold Luetkemeyer
 Donovan Lummis
 Duffy Marchant
 Duncan (SC) Marino
 Duncan (TN) Massie
 Ellmers (NC) McCarthy
 Emmer (MN) McCaul
 Farenthold McClintock
 Fincher McHenry
 Fitzpatrick McKinley
 Fleischmann McMorris
 Fleming Rodgers
 Flores McSally
 Forbes Meadows
 Fortenberry Meehan
 Foxx Messer
 Franks (AZ) Mica
 Frelinghuysen Miller (FL)
 Fudge Miller (MI)
 Garrett Moolenaar
 Gibbs Mooney (WV)
 Gohmert Mullin
 Goodlatte Mulvaney
 Gosar Murphy (PA)
 Gowdy Neugebauer
 Granger Newhouse
 Graves (GA) Noem
 Graves (LA) Nugent
 Graves (MO) Nunes
 Griffith Olson
 Grothman Palazzo
 Guinta Palmer
 Guthrie Paulsen
 Hanna Pearce
 Hardy Perry

NOT VOTING—11

Aguilar Payne
 Cuellar Ruppertsberger
 Larson (CT) Sanchez, Loretta
 Meeks Scott, David

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote).
 There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1901

So the amendment was rejected.
 The result of the vote was announced
 as above recorded.
 Stated for:
 Mr. CONYERS. Madam Chair, during rollcall
 vote No. 662 on H.R. 8, I mistakenly recorded

my vote as “no” when I should have voted
 “yes.”

AMENDMENT NO. 24 OFFERED BY MR. POLIS

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished
 business is the demand for a recorded
 vote on the amendment offered by the
 gentleman from Colorado (Mr. POLIS)
 on which further proceedings were
 postponed and on which the noes pre-
 vailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the
 amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amend-
 ment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote
 has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This is a 2-
 minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic de-
 vice, and there were—ayes 206, noes 216,
 not voting 11, as follows:

[Roll No. 663]

AYES—206

Adams Fitzpatrick
 Amash Portenberry
 Ashford Foster
 Bass Frankel (FL)
 Beatty Fudge
 Becerra Gabbard
 Bera Gallego
 Beyer Garamendi
 Bishop (GA) Gibson
 Blumenauer Graham
 Bonamici Grayson
 Boyle, Brendan Green, Al
 F. Green, Gene
 Brady (PA) Grijalva
 Brown (FL) Gutiérrez
 Brownley (CA) Hahn
 Burgess Hanna
 Bustos Hastings
 Butterfield Heck (WA)
 Capps Herrera Beutler
 Capuano Higgins
 Cárdenas Himes
 Carney Hinojosa
 Carson (IN) Honda
 Cartwright Hoyer
 Castor (FL) Huffman
 Castro (TX) Hurt (VA)
 Chu, Judy Israel
 Cicilline Jackson Lee
 Clark (MA) Jeffries
 Clarke (NY) Jenkins (WV)
 Clay Johnson (GA)
 Cleaver Johnson, E. B.
 Clyburn Jolly
 Coffman Jones
 Cohen Kaptur
 Connolly Katko
 Conyers Keating
 Cooper Kelly (IL)
 Costa Kennedy
 Costello (PA) Kildee
 Courtney Kilmer
 Crowley Kind
 Cummings King (IA)
 Davis (CA) Kirkpatrick
 Davis, Danny Kuster
 DeFazio Lance
 DeGette Langevin
 Delaney Larsen (WA)
 DeLauro Larson (CT)
 DeBene Lawrence
 Dent Lee
 DeSaulnier Levin
 Deutch Lewis
 Dingell Lieu, Ted
 Doggett Lipinski
 Doyle, Michael LoBiondo
 F. Loebsack
 Duckworth Lofgren
 Edwards Lowenthal
 Ellison Lowey
 Engel Lujan Grisham
 Eshoo (NM)
 Esty Luján, Ben Ray
 Farr (NM)
 Fattah Lummis

Van Hollen
 Vargas
 Veasey
 Vela
 Velázquez

Visclosky
 Walz
 Wasserman
 Schultz
 Waters, Maxine

Watson Coleman
 Welch
 Wilson (FL)
 Yarmuth
 Young (IA)

NOES—216

Abraham
 Aderholt
 Allen
 Amodei
 Babin
 Barletta
 Barr
 Barton
 Benishek
 Bilirakis
 Bishop (MI)
 Bishop (UT)
 Black
 Blackburn
 Blum
 Bost
 Boustany
 Brady (TX)
 Brat
 Bridenstine
 Brooks (AL)
 Brooks (IN)
 Buchanan
 Buck
 Bucshon
 Byrne
 Calvert
 Carter (GA)
 Carter (TX)
 Chabot
 Chaffetz
 Clawson (FL)
 Collins (GA)
 Collins (NY)
 Comstock
 Conaway
 Conyers
 Cook
 Cramer
 Crawford
 Crenshaw
 Culberson
 Curbelo (FL)
 Davis, Rodney
 Denham
 Dent
 DeSantis
 DesJarlais
 Diaz-Balart
 Dold
 Donovan
 Duffy
 Duncan (SC)
 Duncan (TN)
 Ellmers (NC)
 Emmer (MN)
 Farenthold
 Fincher
 Fitzpatrick
 Fleischmann
 Fleming
 Flores
 Forbes
 Fortenberry
 Foxx
 Franks (AZ)
 Frelinghuysen
 Fudge
 Garrett
 Gibbs
 Gohmert
 Goodlatte
 Gosar
 Gowdy
 Granger
 Graves (GA)
 Graves (LA)
 Graves (MO)
 Griffith
 Grothman
 Guinta
 Guthrie
 Hanna
 Hardy

Griffith
 Grothman
 Guinta
 Guthrie
 Hardy
 Harper
 Harris
 Hartzler
 Heck (NV)
 Hensarling
 Hice, Jody B.
 Hill
 Holding
 Hudson
 Huelskamp
 Huizenga (MI)
 Hultgren
 Hunter
 Hurd (TX)
 Hurt (VA)
 Issa
 Jenkins (KS)
 Jenkins (WV)
 Johnson (OH)
 Johnson, Sam
 Jolly
 Jones
 Jordan
 Joyce
 Katko
 Kelly (MS)
 Kelly (PA)
 King (IA)
 King (NY)
 Kinzinger (IL)
 Kline
 Knight
 Labrador
 LaHood
 LaMalfa
 Lamborn
 Lance
 Latta
 LoBiondo
 Long
 Loudermilk
 Love
 Lucas
 Luetkemeyer
 Love
 Lucas
 Mica
 Miller (FL)
 Miller (MI)
 Moolenaar
 Mooney (WV)
 Mullin
 Mulvaney
 Murphy (PA)
 Neugebauer
 Newhouse
 Noem
 Nugent
 Nunes
 Olson
 Palazzo
 Palmer
 Paulsen
 Pearce
 Perry

Lynch
 Maloney,
 Carolyn
 Maloney, Sean
 Matsui
 McCollum
 McDermott
 McGovern
 McKinley
 McNerney
 Meng
 Messer
 Moore
 Moulton
 Murphy (FL)
 Nadler
 Napolitano
 Neal
 Nolan
 Norcross
 O'Rourke
 Pallone
 Pascarell
 Paulsen
 Pelosi
 Perlmutter
 Peters
 Peterson
 Pingree
 Pocan
 Polis
 Price (NC)
 Quigley
 Rangel
 Rice (NY)
 Richmond
 Roybal-Allard
 Ruiz
 Rush
 Ryan (OH)
 Sánchez, Linda
 T.
 Sarbanes
 Schakowsky
 Schiff
 Schrader
 Scott (VA)
 Scott, David
 Sensenbrenner
 Serrano
 Sewell (AL)
 Sherman
 Sinema
 Sires
 Slaughter
 Smith (WA)
 Speier
 Swalwell (CA)
 Takano
 Thompson (CA)
 Thompson (MS)

McHenry
 Collins (NY)
 Johnson (OH)
 Johnson, Sam
 Jordan
 Kelly (MS)
 Kelly (PA)
 King (NY)
 Kinzinger (IL)
 Knight
 Labrador
 LaHood
 LaMalfa
 Lamborn
 Latta
 Long
 Loudermilk
 Love
 Lucas
 Luetkemeyer
 MacArthur
 Marchant
 Marino
 Massie
 McCarthy
 McCaul
 McClintock
 McHenry
 McMorris
 Rodgers
 McSally
 Meadows
 Meehan
 Mica
 Miller (FL)
 Miller (MI)
 Moolenaar
 Mooney (WV)
 Mullin
 Mulvaney
 Murphy (PA)
 Neugebauer
 Newhouse
 Noem
 Nugent
 Nunes
 Olson
 Palazzo
 Palmer
 Pearce
 Perry
 Pittenger
 Pitts

Smith (MO)
 Smith (NE)
 Smith (NJ)
 Smith (TX)
 Stivers
 Stutzman
 Thompson (MS)
 Thompson (PA)
 Thornberry
 Tiberi
 Tipton
 Trott
 Turner
 Upton
 Valadao
 Wagner
 Walberg
 Walden
 Walker
 Walorski
 Walters, Mimi
 Weber (TX)
 Wenstrup
 Westerman
 Westmoreland
 Whitfield
 Wilson (SC)
 Wittman
 Womack
 Woodall
 Yoder
 Yoho
 Young (AK)
 Young (IA)
 Young (IN)
 Zeldin
 Zinke

NOT VOTING—11

Aguilar Meeks
 Cole Payne
 Cuellar Ruppertsberger
 Joyce Sanchez, Loretta

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote).
 There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1905

Mr. YOUNG of Iowa changed his vote
 from “no” to “aye.”
 So the amendment was rejected.
 The result of the vote was announced
 as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 25 OFFERED BY MR. BARTON

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BARTON) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This is a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 255, noes 168, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 664]

AYES—255

Abraham	Forbes	Lujan Grisham
Aderholt	Fortenberry	(NM)
Allen	Fox	Lummis
Amash	Franks (AZ)	MacArthur
Amodei	Frelinghuysen	Marchant
Ashford	Garrett	Marino
Babin	Gibbs	Massie
Barletta	Gibson	McCarthy
Barr	Gohmert	McCaul
Barton	Goodlatte	McClintock
Benishkek	Gosar	McHenry
Bilirakis	Gowdy	McKinley
Bishop (GA)	Graham	McMorris
Bishop (MI)	Granger	Rodgers
Bishop (UT)	Graves (GA)	McNerney
Black	Graves (LA)	McSally
Blackburn	Graves (MO)	Meadows
Blum	Griffith	Messer
Bost	Grothman	Mica
Boustany	Guinta	Miller (FL)
Brady (TX)	Guthrie	Miller (MI)
Brat	Hanna	Moolenaar
Bridenstine	Hardy	Mooney (WV)
Brooks (AL)	Harper	Mullin
Brooks (IN)	Harris	Mulvaney
Buchanan	Hartzler	Murphy (PA)
Buck	Heck (NV)	Neugebauer
Bucshon	Hensarling	Newhouse
Burgess	Herrera Beutler	Noem
Byrne	Hice, Jody B.	Nugent
Calvert	Hill	Nunes
Cárdenas	Himes	O'Rourke
Carter (GA)	Hinojosa	Olson
Carter (TX)	Holding	Palazzo
Chabot	Hudson	Palmer
Chaffetz	Huelskamp	Paulsen
Clawson (FL)	Huizenga (MI)	Pearce
Coffman	Hultgren	Perlmutter
Collins (GA)	Hunter	Perry
Collins (NY)	Hurd (TX)	Peterson
Comstock	Hurt (VA)	Pittenger
Conaway	Issa	Pitts
Cook	Jenkins (KS)	Poe (TX)
Cooper	Jenkins (WV)	Poliquin
Costa	Johnson (OH)	Pompeo
Costello (PA)	Johnson, Sam	Posey
Cramer	Jolly	Price, Tom
Crawford	Jordan	Ratcliffe
Crenshaw	Joyce	Reed
Culberson	Katko	Reichert
Curbelo (FL)	Kelly (MS)	Renacci
Davis, Rodney	Kelly (PA)	Ribble
Denham	King (IA)	Richmond
Dent	King (NY)	Rigell
DeSantis	Kinzinger (IL)	Roby
DesJarlais	Kline	Roe (TN)
Diaz-Balart	Knight	Rogers (AL)
Dold	Labrador	Rogers (KY)
Donovan	LaHood	Rohrabacher
Duffy	LaMalfa	Rokita
Duncan (SC)	Lamborn	Rooney (FL)
Duncan (TN)	Lance	Ros-Lehtinen
Ellmers (NC)	Latta	Roskam
Emmer (MN)	Lipinski	Ross
Farenthold	Long	Rothfus
Fincher	Loudermilk	Rouzer
Fleischmann	Love	Royce
Fleming	Lucas	Russell
Flores	Luetkemeyer	Ryan (OH)

Salmon	Stivers
Scalise	Stutzman
Schrader	Thompson (PA)
Schweikert	Thornberry
Scott, Austin	Tiberi
Sensenbrenner	Tipton
Sessions	Trott
Shimkus	Turner
Shuster	Upton
Simpson	Valadao
Sinema	Vela
Sires	Wagner
Smith (MO)	Walberg
Smith (NE)	Walden
Smith (TX)	Walker
Stefanik	Walorski
Stewart	Walters, Mimi

NOES—168

Adams	Frankel (FL)
Bass	Fudge
Beatty	Gabbard
Becerra	Gallego
Bera	Garamendi
Beyer	Grayson
Blumenauer	Green, Al
Bonamici	Green, Gene
Boyle, Brendan	Grijalva
F.	Gutiérrez
Brady (PA)	Hahn
Brown (FL)	Hastings
Brownlie (CA)	Heck (WA)
Bustos	Higgins
Butterfield	Honda
Capps	Hoyer
Capuano	Huffman
Carney	Israel
Carson (IN)	Jackson Lee
Cartwright	Jeffries
Castor (FL)	Johnson (GA)
Castro (TX)	Johnson, E. B.
Chu, Judy	Jones
Cicilline	Kaptur
Clark (MA)	Keating
Clarke (NY)	Kelly (IL)
Clay	Kennedy
Cleaver	Kildee
Clyburn	Kilmer
Cohen	Kind
Connolly	Kirkpatrick
Conyers	Kuster
Courtney	Langevin
Crowley	Larsen (WA)
Cummings	Larson (CT)
Davis (CA)	Lawrence
Davis, Danny	Lee
DeFazio	Levin
DeGette	Lewis
Delaney	Lieu, Ted
DeLauro	LoBiondo
DelBene	Loebsack
DeSaulnier	Lofgren
Deutch	Lowenthal
Dingell	Lowey
Doggett	Lujan, Ben Ray
Doyle, Michael	(NM)
F.	Lynch
Duckworth	Maloney,
Edwards	Carolyn
Ellison	Maloney, Sean
Engel	Matsui
Eshoo	McCollum
Esty	McDermott
Farr	McGovern
Fattah	Meehan
Fitzpatrick	Meng
Foster	Moore

NOT VOTING—10

Aguilar	Payne	Webster (FL)
Cole	Ruppersberger	Williams
Cuellar	Sanchez, Loretta	
Meeks	Takai	

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1910

So the amendment was agreed to. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mrs.

Weber (TX)
Wenstrup
Westerman
Westmoreland
Whitfield
Wilson (SC)
Wittman
Womack
Woodall
Yoder
Yoho
Young (AK)
Young (IA)
Young (IN)
Zeldin
Zinke

BLACK) having assumed the chair, Mr. FLEISCHMANN, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 8) to modernize energy infrastructure, build a 21st century energy and manufacturing workforce, bolster America's energy security and diplomacy, and promote energy efficiency and government accountability, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

AMENDMENT PROCESS FOR H.R. 2310

(Mr. SESSIONS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. SESSIONS. Madam Speaker, today the Rules Committee issued a Dear Colleague letter outlining the amendment process for H.R. 2310, the Red River Private Property Protection Act. An amendment deadline has been set for Monday, December 7, 2015, at 12:00 p.m. Amendments should be drafted to the text as reported by the Committee on Natural Resources and is posted on the Rules Committee Web site. Please feel free to contact me or my staff with any questions.

CONFERENCE REPORT ON S. 1177, STUDENT SUCCESS ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, 5-minute voting will continue.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the question on adoption of the conference report on the bill (S. 1177) to reauthorize the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 to ensure that every child achieves, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the conference report.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 359, nays 64, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 665]

YEAS—359

Abraham	Boustany	Castor (FL)
Adams	Boyle, Brendan	Castro (TX)
Aderholt	F.	Chu, Judy
Allen	Brady (PA)	Cicilline
Amodei	Brady (TX)	Clark (MA)
Ashford	Brooks (IN)	Clarke (NY)
Barletta	Brown (FL)	Clay
Barr	Brownley (CA)	Cleaver
Barton	Buchanan	Clyburn
Bass	Bucshon	Coffman
Beatty	Burgess	Cohen
Becerra	Bustos	Cole
Benishkek	Butterfield	Collins (GA)
Bera	Byrne	Collins (NY)
Beyer	Calvert	Comstock
Bilirakis	Capps	Connolly
Bishop (GA)	Capuano	Conyers
Bishop (MI)	Cárdenas	Carney
Black	Carson (IN)	Cook
Blum	Carter (GA)	Cooper
Blumenauer	Carter (TX)	Costa
Bonamici	Cartwright	Costello (PA)
Bost		Courtney